Implication of a Rare Deletion at Distal 16p11.2 in Schizophrenia
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Context: Large genomic copy number variations have been implicated as strong risk factors for schizophrenia. However, the rarity of these events has created challenges for the identification of further pathogenic loci, and extremely large samples are required to provide convincing replication.

Objective: To detect novel copy number variations that increase the susceptibility to schizophrenia by using 2 ethnically homogeneous discovery cohorts and replication in large samples.

Design: Genetic association study of microarray data.

Setting: Samples of DNA were collected at 9 sites from different countries.

Participants: Two discovery cohorts consisted of 790 cases with schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorder and 1347 controls of Ashkenazi Jewish descent and 662 parent-offspring trios from Bulgaria, of which the offspring had schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder. Replication data sets consisted of 12 398 cases and 17 945 controls.

Main Outcome Measures: Statistically increased rate of specific copy number variations in cases vs controls.

Results: One novel locus was implicated: a deletion at distal 16p11.2, which does not overlap the proximal 16p11.2 locus previously reported in schizophrenia and autism. Deletions at this locus were found in 13 of 13 850 cases (0.094%) and 3 of 19 954 controls (0.015%) (odds ratio, 6.25 [95% CI, 1.78-21.93]; P = .001, Fisher exact test).

Conclusions: Deletions at distal 16p11.2 have been previously implicated in developmental delay and obesity. The region contains 9 genes, several of which are implicated in neurological diseases, regulation of body weight, and glucose homeostasis. A telomeric extension of the deletion, observed in about half the cases but no controls, points to a possible association between this locus and SZ were obtained independently by 2 teams of investigators. During the process of obtaining replication data, the 2 groups became aware of each other’s work and decided to combine results from their discovery and replication cohorts. Using high-resolution microarrays, one group (from New York and Israel) examined an SZ case-control cohort from the Ashkenazi Jewish (AJ) population, whereas the other group
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(from Cardiff, Wales) examined a cohort of parent-offspring trios from Bulgaria (BG). Because of the need for large-scale replication, we contacted research groups worldwide who were willing to share raw data from microarray-based CNV studies in cohorts of SZ cases and controls and obtained data from a total of approximately 34,000 individuals.

**METHODS**

**BG TRIOS SAMPLE**

**Sample Description**

The final sample (after quality control [QC]) consisted of 662 BG offspring with all their parents in 638 families (615 families with 1 offspring, 22 families with 2, and 1 family with 3). Details on this cohort have been previously described,4 but that lies with 1 offspring, 22 families with 2, and 1 family with 3). The final sample (after quality control [QC]) consisted of 662 offspring trios from Bulgaria (BG). Because of the need for large-scale replication, we contacted research groups worldwide who were willing to share raw data from microarray-based CNV studies in cohorts of SZ cases and controls and obtained data from a total of approximately 34,000 individuals.

**Table. CNVs at the Distal 16p11.2 Region in the Discovery and Replication Sets**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Platform</th>
<th>Discovery Samples</th>
<th>Replication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No. of Subjects</td>
<td>No. With 16p11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Undergoing Testing</td>
<td>Deletion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cases</td>
<td>Controls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Discovery samples</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgarian trios</td>
<td>Affymetrix 6.0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>662</td>
<td>662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashkenazi Jewish subjects</td>
<td>HumanOmn1-quad&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>1347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Replication</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAIN/MGS EA</td>
<td>Affymetrix 6.0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2671</td>
<td>2648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAIN/MGS AA</td>
<td>Affymetrix 6.0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1274</td>
<td>963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISC</td>
<td>Affymetrix 6.0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;/5.0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3045</td>
<td>3185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacic et al&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Array CGH HD1&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>757</td>
<td>742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WTCCC2/Irish</td>
<td>Affymetrix 6.0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;/Illumina 1m&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1269</td>
<td>6175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>Affymetrix 5.0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swedish</td>
<td>Affymetrix 6.0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1506</td>
<td>2089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German</td>
<td>HH550, 610, 660&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1386</td>
<td>1627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Replication Samples, No. or No. (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>12,398</td>
<td>17,945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total (Discovery + Replication), No. or No. (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>13,850</td>
<td>19,954</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AA, African Americans; EA, European Americans; CNVs, copy number variations; ISC, International Schizophrenia Consortium; GAIN/MGS, Genetic Association Information Network/Molecular Genetics of Schizophrenia Consortium; WTCCC2, Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium 2.

<sup>a</sup>Details on all samples are presented in eMaterial 1. For significance for the rate of deletions in the replication sample by 1-tailed Fisher exact test, P = .018; by Cochran Mantel-Haenszel test, P = .04. For significance for the total sample (discovery + replication) by 2-tailed Fisher exact test, P = .0014; by Cochran Mantel-Haenszel test, P = .0031. Odds ratio for replication samples were 4.35 (95% CI, 1.18-16.06); for the total sample, 6.25 (95% CI, 1.78-21.93). The rate of duplications in the region is not significantly different between cases and controls.

<sup>b</sup>Available from the Broad Institute.

<sup>c</sup>Available from Illumina, Inc.

<sup>d</sup>Available from NimbleGen.

Genotyping and QC

All samples were genotyped on a single-nucleotide polymorphism array (Affymetrix 6.0; Broad Institute). Analysis was performed using software from the manufacturer (Affymetrix Genotyping Console 4.0 software; Broad Institute) one batch at a time, with each batch containing 70 to 90 arrays. The QC process included removal of CNVs if they were from the X or Y chromosome, were less than 15 kilobases (kb), were covered by fewer than 15 probes, or had a probe density (size/probe number) greater than 7500 base pairs. We used the PLINK tool, version 1.07<sup>a</sup> to exclude CNVs if 50% or more of their length was covered by a segmental duplication (SD). Copy number variation loci with a frequency of greater than 1% were excluded. Individuals with multiple large duplicate CNVs on the same chromosome were excluded because these duplications are likely to be artifacts.<sup>a</sup> Samples were also removed if their total number of CNVs was very high and constituted an outlier for the distribution within that sample (>50 CNVs for this experiment).

For additional QC of the BG trios, we used a modification of the median z-score outlier detection algorithm proposed by McCarthy et al<sup>10</sup> in 2009 and described in detail by Kirov et al<sup>11</sup> in 2012. A z score is the median of the standardized log, ratios for all probes within a specified chromosomal region. Through comparison of all individual z scores for a given region, true CNVs are represented as outliers from the normal distribution of the z scores. We show the distribution of the z scores for the 16p11.2 distal region in eFigure 1 (http://www.jamapsych.com), which demonstrates that the only outliers for this region are the 2 probands with deletions and their parents.
AJ SAMPLE

Sample Description
Case (n = 1156) and control (n = 2279) samples were selected from an AJ repository (Hebrew University Genetic Resource; http://hugr.huji.ac.il). Patients for the discovery analysis were recruited from hospitalized inpatients at 7 medical centers in Israel. All diagnoses were assigned after direct interview using the Structured Clinical Interview.1,4 a questionnaire with inclusion and exclusion criteria, and cross-references to medical records. Chronic medical disorders and conditions were recorded on the basis of patient report and hospital records. The inclusion criteria specified that subjects had to be diagnosed as having SZ or schizoaffective disorder by DSM-IV criteria,5 that all 4 grandparents of each subject were reported to be of AJ ethnic origin, and that each subject or the subject’s legal representative had signed the informed consent form. Exclusion criteria included any psychotic disorder due to a general medical condition, substance-induced psychotic disorder, pervasive developmental disorders, or any cluster A (ie, schizotypal, schizoid, or paranoid) personality disorder. Samples from healthy AJ individuals were collected from volunteers at the Israeli Blood Bank; these subjects did not undergo psychiatric screening but reported no chronic disease and were taking no medication at the time of the blood draw. Corresponding institutional review boards and the National Genetic Committee of the Israeli Ministry of Health approved the studies. All samples were fully anonymized immediately after collection, and genomic DNA was extracted subsequently from blood samples through the use of a commercially available kit (Nucleon; Pharmacia). Genotyping and analyses were performed under protocols approved by the institutional review board of the North Shore–Long Island Jewish Health System.

Genotyping and QC
Genotyping was performed with commercially available arrays (HumanOmn1-Quad; Illumina, Inc) according to the manufacturer’s specifications for approximately 1.4 million genome-wide markers (approximately 900 000 single-nucleotide polymorphisms [SNPs] and 500 000 CNV intensity probes). The SNPs were filtered on the following basis: call rate of less than 98%, minor allele frequency of less than 0.02, and Hardy-Weinberg exact test results of $P < .000001$ in controls. Samples were filtered on the basis of genotype QC filtration criteria: at least 20 probes, at least 100 kb in size, and less than 1% frequency in the total sample. Copy number variations of the same type (ie, deletion or duplication) that were found in the 2 discovery data sets at loci already reported to increase the risk for development of SZ; because they are already known susceptibility factors, how- ever, we only list them in eTable 4. In the AJ cohort, CNVs were found in the 2 discovery data sets at loci already reported to increase the risk for development of SZ; because they are already known susceptibility factors, however, we only list them in eTable 4. In the AJ cohort, CNVs

CNV Calls and Validation
Normalization and log ratio data calculation for 904 cases and 1640 controls were performed using the manufacturer’s software platform (GenomeStudio; Illumina, Inc). The resulting log R ratios and B-allele frequencies were used to identify CNVs on autosomes for each subject. We used variations of 3 algorithms for CNV detection: PennCNV,3 QuantiSNP,13 and cnvPartition (http://www.illumina.com). QuantiSNP and PennCNV are based on the hidden Markov model, and cnvPartition is based on bivariate Gaussian distribution as implemented on the manufacturer’s software platform (http://www.illumina.com).

Following the methods of Neel et al12 and Sanders et al,13 we excluded any individuals with a PennCNV threshold of log R standard deviation of at least 0.30, B-allele frequency drift of at least 0.002, and/or waviness factor deviating from 0 by greater than 0.04. Individuals containing more than 500 CNVs (before filtration described in the following paragraphs) were also excluded from the analysis. The final data set contains 790 cases and 1347 controls.

We further excluded CNV calls on the basis of the QC thresholds recommended by each of the respective algorithms. Thus, CNV calls were excluded from further analysis if the log Bayes factor was no greater than 10 in QuantiSNP, if the confidence threshold was no greater than 39 in cnvPartition, or if default QC parameters in PennCNV were not obtained.

After the QC steps, all the CNV calls were merged using the CNVision program.13 The final rare CNV calls were based on consensus calls from all 3 algorithms (with no more than 25% of the length drawn from 1 algorithm only), with the following filtration criteria: at least 20 probes, at least 100 kb in size, and less than 1% frequency in the total sample. Copy number variations of the same type (ie, deletion or duplication) that were separated by at least 3 probes were merged into 1 contiguous segment as recommended by Vacic et al.13 All CNVs were annotated using the CNVision program. Based on previous findings in SZ and other neuropsychiatric disorders,16 purely intergenic CNVs were excluded.

REPLICATION SAMPLES
Evidence for replication of the findings was obtained from 8 case-control samples recruited and genotyped by other teams from the United States, Europe, and Japan. These samples consisted of 12,398 cases and 17,945 controls who underwent genotyping with high-resolution arrays. Details on the samples, genotyping platforms, and QC used by these teams are specified in eMaterial 1. The minimally affected region was covered well by all arrays used by the other teams (eFigure 3).

All coordinates in this study are based on the Human Genome Build NCBI36/hg18.

RESULTS
After stringent QC procedures, 790 cases and 1347 controls from the AJ cohort and 662 probands from 638 BG families were examined for rare large CNVs. Replication was sought in other case-control data sets for any CNVs that were observed in at least 2 cases and no controls (for the AJ cohort) and transmitted at least twice with no non-transmissions in the BG trios cohort. Several relevant CNVs were found in the 2 discovery data sets at loci already reported to increase the risk for development of SZ; because they are already known susceptibility factors, however, we only list them in eTable 4. In the AJ cohort, CNVs
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at 2 additional loci were observed in 2 cases and no controls. These loci were at chromosome 6q14.3 (hg18 coordinates: 85.25-85.58 megabases [Mb]) and 7q33 (133.39-133.50 Mb), but replication evidence was not observed. No other CNV of this type was supported by replication evidence in the BG data (apart from the known 16p11.2 deletion). The lists of all rare and large (>100 kb) CNVs in the 2 samples that intersected genes are available as files on request from the authors (AJ SZ CNVs >100 kb.xls and BG SZ trio CNVs >100 kb.xls).

The only CNV of interest that overlapped between the 2 discovery samples was a deletion at the distal region of 16p11.2, with a minimal common region extending from 28.73 to 28.95 Mb (build 36, hg18). This region intersects 9 genes and is flanked by 2 SD blocks (Figure). The region does not overlap the known 16p11.2 locus at 29.56 to 30.11 Mb that has been implicated in SZ, autism, and developmental delay. Deletions at this locus were found in 2 cases (and no controls) from the AJ cohort and 2 offspring from the BG samples, both transmitted from mothers (parents transmitted this CNV in every trio). Duplications at this locus were observed in 1 AJ control and in 1 BG parent (who transmitted it to an affected offspring).

We sought evidence for association between this deletion with SZ in 8 independent case-control cohorts (12,398 cases and 17,945 controls) for which we had access to the raw data (Table and eMaterial 1). Deletions overlapping this region were observed in an additional 9 cases and 3 controls (P = .018, 1-tailed Fisher exact test for the replication sample; odds ratio, 4.35 [95% CI, 1.18-16.06]). Combining the discovery and replication cohorts, we found 13 deletions among 13,850 cases (0.094%) and 3 among 19,954 controls (0.015%) (P = .0014, 2-tailed Fisher exact test; odds ratio, 6.25 [95% CI, 1.78-21.93]). The positions of the CNVs are shown in the Figure. No excess of duplications in cases was found at overlapping this region were observed in an additional 9 cases and 3 controls (P = .018, 1-tailed Fisher exact test for the replication sample; odds ratio, 4.35 [95% CI, 1.18-16.06]). Combining the discovery and replication cohorts, we found 13 deletions among 13,850 cases (0.094%) and 3 among 19,954 controls (0.015%) (P = .0014, 2-tailed Fisher exact test; odds ratio, 6.25 [95% CI, 1.78-21.93]). The positions of the CNVs are shown in the Figure. No excess of duplications in cases was found at distal 16p11.2.

**Figure.** Microdeletions at the distal 16p11.2 region in the present study. The region intersects 9 genes and is flanked by 2 blocks of segmental duplications (SDs). Red lines represent deletions in schizophrenia cases and blue lines represent deletions in control subjects. The double-headed arrows indicate the intervals implicated in the present study (distal 16p11.2 deletion; minimal common region, 28.73-28.95 megabases [Mb], hg18) and the known 16p11.2 copy number variation locus (known proximal 16p11.2 region; minimal common region, 29.56-30.11 Mb). Bp indicates base pair; chr, chromosome; EA, European American; FISH, fluorescent in situ hybridization; GAIN/NGS, Genetic Association Information Network/Molecular Genetics of Schizophrenia Consortium; hg, human genome; RefSeq, Reference Sequence collection; and WTCC2, Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium 2.
The minimal common region for all deletions reported in the Table encompasses 9 genes within a 220-kb interval flanked by blocks of SD (Figure). Some CNVs extend over the SDs (however, we noted that no CNV in the 16p11.2 region was excluded on the basis of >50% overlap with an SD). Different break points that extend over the flanking SD regions (but do not reach the telomeric region that is free of SDs) are more likely to reflect the different coverage of arrays (eMaterial 2 and eFigure 3) and/or the problems of calling CNVs over repetitive regions rather than different pathogenicity, especially because these regions have fewer genes. Seven deletions cover an additional region of unique DNA sequence at the telomeric side (Figure, the interval free of SDs) that contains further genes. Evidence of pathogenicity of the 7 CNVs that extended over the telomeric region was nearly as strong as for the implicated critical region (7 of 13 850 cases vs 0 of 19 954 controls, P = .0019, 2-tailed Fisher exact test). However, the critical distal 16p11.2 region remains the more likely candidate owing to its confirmed involvement in other neurodevelopmental disorders discussed in the “Comment” section and the lack of isolated CNVs in the smaller telomeric region. Of the 3 controls with deletions, 1 (in the Swedish data set) was recruited at the age of 45 years and had type 2 diabetes mellitus and high blood pressure but no other medical or psychiatric problems. No further information is available on the 2 anonymized controls from the Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium 2/Irish data set; one is from the British Blood Transfusion service (therefore presumably healthy), and the other is from the 1958 cohort.

The new distal locus is approximately 600 kb telomeric from the previously implicated proximal 16p11.2 CNV (29.56-30.11 Mb). The CNVs at proximal 16p11.2 have been shown to increase risk for SZ, autism, and developmental delay when duplicated and for autism and developmental delay when deleted. None of the CNVs in our study extend over the proximal region (Figure).

We have previously demonstrated that the known SZ-associated CNVs have high mutation rates and that strong selection pressure operates against them. We can estimate the de novo rate for this deletion at 25% based on the present study (2 transmitted deletions and no information on inheritance in the other subjects) and available data sets with a total of 5 de novo occurrences of 20 events with a known inheritance (eMaterial 3 and eTable 3). This rate approximates to a selection pressure of 0.25. In line with this, we observe the 2 BG proband deletions to be found on different haplotypes and therefore very likely to be independent mutations.

Phenotypic data, where available, indicate a spectrum of typical presentations of SZ with no evidence of intellectual disability or a specific clinical profile (eTable 2). This indication is similar to the lack of specific clinical presentations reported for the other large CNVs implicated in neurodevelopmental disorders. The possible exception is the presence of 2 individuals with obesity and 2 with type 2 diabetes mellitus (plus 1 control with type 2 diabetes mellitus) in line with previous reports. In addition to the 13 cases listed in the Table and eTable 2, the brother of 1 case (in the Japanese sample) carries the same deletion and also has SZ. Further probands had positive family histories of SZ, but we do not know whether their affected relatives also carry the deletion. Although the transmission status of the CNVs is only available for the BG cohort, we further note that both deletions were transmitted maternally.

COMMENT

Several lines of evidence from the literature support the distal 16p11.2 deletion as a true SZ-associated CNV locus. The deletion has been implicated in developmental delay and other clinical phenotypes (details are given in eTable 1), similar to other SZ-associated CNVs.

Briefly, Cooper et al reported a very similar increased rate of 0.1% (15 of 15 767) for this deletion in children with intellectual disability, autism spectrum disorders, and congenital malformations who were referred for genetic testing compared with a control rate of 0.01% (1 of 8329). Similar rates were found in another large study on patients with developmental delay and a range of other abnormal phenotypes: 31 of 23 084 cases (0.13%) and 1 of 7700 controls (0.01%). Of the 6 cases in that study for whom detailed clinical information was available, one had autism, behavioral problems, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, and SZ; another had behavioral problems, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, and bipolar disorder; and a third had autism. Four of these 6 cases were overweight and all 6 had developmental delay. Moreover, additional telomeric extension of the deletion (to approximately 28.4 Mb) was present in 9 of the 31 cases and was never observed in the controls. Similarly, in our study, 7 of the 13 cases demonstrated this telomeric extension, which was not seen in the controls. The controls used in these studies partially overlap ours, so these control rates are not independent (eTable 1). Additional published reports of distal 16p11.2 deletions include 5 patients from 2 separate families, all of whom have developmental delay and behavioral problems, and 1 child of 4284 patients with mental retardation.

Distal 16p11.2 deletions have also been shown to be enriched in patients with severe early-onset obesity (3 of 300 [1%]) compared with unscreened population controls (2 of 7366 [0.03%]), consistent with the findings in the study by Bachmann-Gagescu et al. The most likely obesity candidate within the distal 16p11.2 region was postulated to be SH2BI because this gene plays a role in the regulation of body weight and glucose homeostasis in mice. Two of our cases were obese or overweight, and 2 cases and 1 control had type 2 diabetes mellitus (consistent with being overweight, although this information is not available). However, 1 carrier (from Japan) had documented evidence of normal weight, and several did not have recorded evidence of obesity despite being drawn from cohorts that were assessed for this and other medically relevant phenotypes.

Considerable heterogeneity of phenotypic expression has been reported for most large rare CNVs implicated in SZ, with carriers often manifesting nonsyndromic phenotypes, including intellectual disability, autism, epilepsy, obesity, and cardiac disorders.
Ototropy appears to also be the case for distal 16p11.2 deletions, possibly owing to the presence of multiple genes within the deleted region.

Clinical presentations for distal 16p11.2 deletion carriers are unremarkable for SZ, with diagnoses ranging across all major subtypes: paranoid, catatonic, undifferentiated, and schizoaffective. The age at SZ onset for deletion carriers ranges from 15 to 30 (mean age, 23.4) years, with no clear evidence of early onset. Two parents who transmitted the deletions to probands did not have psychotic disorders, although one had a mood disorder. Of the 3 controls who carry the deletion, one (from Sweden) did not report psychiatric problems at age 45 years, which is past the usual accepted age for the period of risk for SZ. Of the other 2 controls, one had also passed through the risk period (from the 1958 cohort, examined at age 44-45 years [eMaterial 1]) and the third one, a blood donor, is presumably healthy and not receiving any medication. These observations indicate that this CNV does not have full penetrance, similar to most other CNVs implicated in SZ. None of the carriers had any other SZ-associated CNVs.

Mutations in several of the 9 genes within the critical region of distal 16p11.2 have been implicated in neurological diseases. Homozygous mutations in the gene TUFM have been described in infants with fatal encephalopathy, ATP2A1 is implicated in Brody disease, in which patients are unable to relax their muscles during exercise, and its homologue, ATP2A2, has been implicated in neuropsychiatric phenotypes. In addition, ATXN2L (although unknown in function) encodes a protein belonging to the spinocerebellar ataxia family. The remaining genes are involved in immunity or in insulin and leptin signaling (SH2B1) or are of unknown function. In addition to the 9 genes in the minimal critical region, the larger CNVs with telomeric extensions include 8 additional deleted genes (7 of them in a DNA region that is free of SDs [Figure]), possibly increasing the pathogenicity of these larger CNVs. Most notable among these 8 genes is CLN3, for which recessive mutations are associated with Batten disease, characterized by childhood-onset neurodegeneration. Moreover, CLN3 is the only gene in the minimal or the extended region that is implicated in synaptic function on the basis of Gene Ontology annotation. Our previous study of de novo CNVs indicated an enrichment of such genes in SZ-related events; however, CLN3 is not among the postsynaptic density genes implicated in that study. Additional evidence from animal knockout models may help disentangle the contributions of each of these genes to the observed range of phenotypes.

In conclusion, we have obtained strong evidence of the role of a new CNV locus in SZ. Similar to other such loci, it is very rare and increases the risk for other neurodevelopmental phenotypes.
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