Influence of CRTC1 Polymorphisms on Body Mass Index and Fat Mass in Psychiatric Patients and the General Adult Population
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**IMPORTANCE** There is a high prevalence of obesity in psychiatric patients, possibly leading to metabolic complications and reducing life expectancy. The CREB-regulated transcription coactivator 1 (CRTC1) gene is involved in energy balance and obesity in animal models, but its role in human obesity is unknown.

**OBJECTIVE** To determine whether polymorphisms within the CRTC1 gene are associated with adiposity markers in psychiatric patients and the general population.

**DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS** Retrospective and prospective data analysis and population-based samples at Lausanne and Geneva university hospitals in Switzerland and a private clinic in Lausanne, Switzerland. The effect of 3 CRTC1 polymorphisms on body mass index (BMI) and/or fat mass was investigated in a discovery cohort of psychiatric outpatients taking weight gain–inducing psychotropic drugs (sample 1, n = 152). The CRTC1 variant that was significantly associated with BMI and survived Bonferroni corrections for multiple comparison was then replicated in 2 independent psychiatric samples (sample 2, n = 174 and sample 3, n = 118) and 2 white population-based samples (sample 4, n = 5338 and sample 5, n = 123,865).

**INTERVENTION** Noninterventional studies.

**MAIN OUTCOME AND MEASURE** Difference in BMI and/or fat mass between CRTC1 genotype groups.

**RESULTS** Among the CRTC1 variants tested in the first psychiatric sample, only rs3746266A>G was associated with BMI ($P_{\text{adjusted}} = .003$). In the 3 psychiatric samples, carriers of the rs3746266 G allele had a lower BMI than noncarriers (AA genotype) (sample 1, $P = .001$; sample 2, $P = .05$; and sample 3, $P = .0003$). In the combined analysis, excluding patients taking other weight gain–inducing drugs, G allele carriers (n = 98) had a 1.81–kg/m² lower BMI than noncarriers (n = 226; $P < .0001$). The strongest association was observed in women younger than 45 years, with a 3.87–kg/m² lower BMI in G allele carriers (n = 25) compared with noncarriers (n = 48; $P < .0001$), explaining 9% of BMI variance. In the population-based samples, the T allele of rs6510997C>T (a proxy of the rs3746266 G allele; $r^2 = 0.7$) was associated with lower BMI (sample 5, n = 123,865; $P = .01$) and fat mass (sample 4, n = 5338; $P = .03$). The strongest association with fat mass was observed in premenopausal women (n = 1192; $P = .02$).

**CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE** These findings suggest that CRTC1 contributes to the genetics of human obesity in psychiatric patients and the general population. Identification of high-risk subjects could contribute to a better individualization of the pharmacological treatment in psychiatry.
high prevalence of obesity has been described in psychiatric patients, with reported increased mortality. Atypical antipsychotics and other drugs, such as the mood stabilizers lithium and valproate, can induce substantial weight gain in selected patients. This is a serious adverse effect considering that obesity can lead to metabolic complications such as dyslipidemia, type 2 diabetes, and cardiovascular disease, which may ultimately reduce life expectancy by several years.

Psychiatric, psychological, sociodemographic, and behavioral factors, as well as heritability, have been shown to influence individual susceptibility to overweight or obesity, both in the general population and in psychiatric patients before and after treatment with potentially weight gain-inducing psychotropic drugs. Genome-wide association studies conducted to date only explain a small fraction of body mass index (BMI) heritability and more obesity susceptibility genes remain to be discovered. Whereas genome-wide association studies meta-analyses have been extremely valuable, other approaches are also needed to further understand the biology of human obesity.

Obesity results from an imbalance between energy intake and energy expenditure. One component of energy balance is the control of food intake that is achieved at least in part via highly specialized neurons located in the hypothalamus and modulated by peripheral metabolic signals. Recent research has begun to unravel some of the neuronal pathways regulating food intake, but the exact mechanisms by which peripheral signals are sensed in the hypothalamus and how these signals are then integrated and translated into a coordinated peripheral response are still unclear. We and others have recently shown that mice lacking the CREB-regulated transcription coactivator 1 (CRTC1) gene eat more and have less energy expenditure than wild-type mice, thus developing an obese feature, including obesity-related metabolic complications, under normal diets. These results suggest that CRTC1 is playing a major role in the hypothalamic control of food intake. The CRTC family (also called TORCs) comprises 3 members, CRTC1, CRTC2, and CRTC3, that are expressed in different tissues. CRTC1 is mainly expressed in the brain where it may modulate leptin anorexic effect in the hypothalamus. In the cell, the inactive phosphorylated form of CRTC1 is sequestered in the cytoplasm, and its migration to the nucleus requires the concomitant activation of the phosphatase calcineurin and the inactivation of kinases of the adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase (AMPK) family. Interestingly, antipsychotics may increase weight by selective and potent stimulation of hypothalamic AMPK, which has been shown to regulate food intake and reverse the actions of the anorexigenic hormone leptin. AMPK stimulation parallels the orexigenic actions of the drugs, with clozapine and olanzapine producing the most marked effects. The obese phenotype of CRTC1-deficient mice, the regulation of CRTC1 activity by AMPK, the hypothalamic activation of this kinase by antipsychotics, and the high prevalence of obesity in psychiatric patients prompted us to examine the role of the CRTC1 gene in human obesity especially in the psychiatric population.

### Methods

#### Psychiatric Samples

Written informed consent was given by all subjects or their legal representatives. The studies were approved by the ethics committee of their corresponding institutions. White subjects treated with antipsychotics and/or mood stabilizers were first recruited from outpatient psychiatric centers of Geneva University Hospital. The association of the CRTC1 variant with BMI (calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared) was then replicated in 2 independent samples from Lausanne University Hospital.

#### Samples 1 and 3

Samples 1 (n = 152) and 3 (n = 118) are 2 retrospective studies; study of sample 1 was conducted in outpatient psychiatric centers of Geneva University Hospital from 2006 to 2008, while study of sample 3 was conducted in 2 outpatient psychiatric centers of Lausanne, Switzerland (Lausanne University Hospital and a private psychiatric center), from 2010 to 2011. Treatment for more than 3 months (sample 1) and 9 months (sample 2) with clozapine, olanzapine, quetiapine, risperidone, lithium, and/or valproate (sample 1 and sample 3) and/or aripiprazole, amisulpride, and/or sertindole (sample 3) were indicated as inclusion criteria. Seventy-two percent and 52% of sample 1 and sample 3, respectively, had already received other psychotropic treatments before the current treatment. At inclusion of both samples, body weight and height were measured for all patients, while their baseline weight before the initiation of the current treatment and/or at different times during treatment was collected from the medical file or was self-reported (baseline weight was self-reported in 76% of sample 1 and 78% of sample 3). In the subset of patients for whom both data were available, self-reported weight was in agreement with weight obtained from the medical files (r = 0.9; r = 0.9 for sample 1 and n = 39; r = 0.8 for sample 3). In addition to the baseline and measured weight at inclusion, 54% and 29% of patients in sample 1 and sample 3, respectively, had at least 1 additional recorded weight from the medical files during the study duration that was also included in the statistical analysis. Finally, self-reported weights before the initiation of the first psychotropic treatment were also obtained for most of the patients (98% and 95% for sample 1 and sample 3, respectively). Both samples consisted of a single visit performed during the usual clinical psychiatric follow-up. If the patient was taking more than 1 psychotropic medication, the medication with the longest treatment duration was entered in the model and the other potential weight gain–inducing drugs of interest, including typical and atypical antipsychotics and mood stabilizers, were classified as other possible weight gain–inducing drugs, listed in eTable 1 in Supplement.

#### Sample 2

A follow-up study has been ongoing since 2007 in all psychiatric wards of Lausanne University Hospital. One hundred seventy-four patients with newly prescribed aripiprazole, amisulpride, clozapine, olanzapine, quetiapine, risperidone,
sertindole, and/or lithium or valproate were recruited. Sixty-six percent had already received other psychotropic treatments and were included in the study after having switched medication. No washout period was required. Weights and clinical variables were prospectively recorded at several points during the first 12 months according to published recommended monitoring guidelines (ie, before starting the current psychotropic drugs, then at months 1, 2, 3, 6, 9, and 12). At the baseline and follow-up visits, the severity of disorders was rated using the Clinical Global Impression rating scale, which is a commonly used measure of psychotic symptom severity. This scale measured the severity of the disorder at each visit relative to the baseline state at the introduction of the newly studied psychotropic drug, rather than the onset of the disorder. For statistical analyses, patients were dichotomized according to this scale into moderately to severely ill vs mildly ill or not ill at all. If the patient was taking more than 1 psychotropic medication, the newly introduced studied drug was considered as the main psychotropic medication, and the other potential weight gain-inducing drugs of interest, including typical and atypical antipsychotics and mood stabilizers, were classified as other possible weight gain-inducing drugs.

**Population-Based Sample (Cohorte Lausannoise)**

Participants in the population-based sample (Cohorte Lausannoise [CoLaus]) were recruited between June 2003 and May 2006, as previously described. The Genetic Investigation of Anthropometric Traits Consortium (GIANT) consortium performed a meta-analysis of genome-wide association study data with a discovery set of 123,865 individuals of European ancestry from 46 studies for height, BMI, and waist to hip ratio. Genetic polymorphisms have been reported in humans until now. Three CRTC1 single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were selected in the psychiatric sample based on high frequencies of the minor allele in their respective regions in white individuals: rs10402536G>A in intron 1, rs8104411C>T in the 3′ untranslated region (because the CRTC N- and C-terminal domains were reported to contain CREB binding and transactivation domains), and a third coding SNP (rs3746266A>G) that leads to threonine to alanine substitution at position 328 (Thr328Ala). The rs3746266A>G SNP, which was significantly associated to the threonine to alanine substitution at position 328 (Thr328Ala).

**Statistical Analysis**

**Psychiatric Sample**

Univariate analyses were done using t tests. The association of the 3 CRTC1 SNPs with BMI in the first sample was assessed by fitting a generalized additive mixed model (GAMM) to allow a smooth trend for the response in time based on multiple observations for each patient (using a thin plate regression spline basis) adjusting for age, sex, smoking status, current psychotropic drug, and standardized dose. The P values of these 3 models were adjusted for multiple comparisons according to Bonferroni. Similar models were applied to test the association between CRTC1 rs3746266A>G (chosen according to the analysis in sample 1) and BMI in samples 2 and 3. A random effect at the subject level was also introduced to take the dependence structure of observed data into account. The GAMMs were fitted using the mgcv package of R (settings were fixed at package defaults); to be more conservative, the uncertainty of estimated parameters was assessed by 1000 bootstraps at the subject level and results were similar with those gained by 10,000 bootstraps. Whenever the P value for the 1000 bootstrap analysis was lower than .001 (P < .001), 10,000 bootstrap analysis was performed. To preserve homogeneity of the combined sample, only patients in samples 1 and 3 with less than 24 months of the current psychotropic treatment were taken into account. Because of the small number of individuals homozygous for the rs3746266 G allele (n = 6, n = 10, and n = 4 for samples 1 (n = 152), 2 (n = 174), and 3 (n = 118), respectively), the associations were analyzed using a dominant model.

The model is fitted on all observations of patients, so model coefficients provide information on both the direction and magnitude of the overall association between BMI and the genotypes for the specific period of treatment studied. The psychiatric drugs were classified according to their therapeutic class (antipsychotics vs mood stabilizers).

**Population-Based Studies**

The associations of CRTC1 rs6510997C>T with adiposity markers such as BMI, weight, waist circumference, and fat mass were analyzed using multiple linear regression with allele dosage (additive mode of action of the T allele) in which potential confounding factors such as age, sex, and smoking status were added as covariates in the CoLaus study, while BMI was the only marker analyzed in GIANT. We calculated the explained variance of fat mass in CoLaus by comparing $R^2$ from a reduced linear regression model, ie, a model including all covariates except rs6510997C>T, with $R^2$ from a full model including all covariates and rs6510997C>T.

All tests were 2-sided and a P value ≤ .05 was considered statistically significant. All the analyses were performed using Stata version 11 (StataCorp) and R version 2.11.1 software. Power analysis was conducted using Quanto software ver-
Results

eTable 2 in Supplement shows characteristics of the 444 psychiatric patients and 5338 participants of the CoLaus study. Obesity prevalence was higher in the psychiatric samples as compared with the CoLaus sample and findings derived from other general Swiss populations,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymorphism</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>BMI Difference Between G and AA Carriers (95% CI)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Explained Variance, b %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rs3746266A&gt;G</td>
<td>Sample 1 105</td>
<td>2.13 (0.62 to 3.49)</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sample 2 140</td>
<td>1.00 (-0.29 to 2.16)</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sample 3 87</td>
<td>3.64 (1.65 to 5.38)</td>
<td>.0003 d</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Combined sample 324</td>
<td>1.81 (1.00 to 2.64)</td>
<td>&lt;.0001 d</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men 176</td>
<td>1.34 (0.35 to 2.40)</td>
<td>.007</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women 148</td>
<td>2.14 (0.82 to 3.46)</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women ≥45 y 75</td>
<td>1.24 (-0.56 to 3.09)</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women &lt;45 y 73</td>
<td>3.87 (1.98 to 5.76)</td>
<td>&lt;.0001 d</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women &lt;5 y 107</td>
<td>2.44 (0.98 to 4.02)</td>
<td>.0005 d</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women &lt;55 y 120</td>
<td>2.20 (0.78 to 3.69)</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: BMI, body mass index (calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared).

a Results were obtained by fitting generalized additive mixed models for patients with no other weight gain–inducing drugs (other than the studied psychotropic drug), controlling for age, sex (whenever appropriate), smoking status, current psychotropic drug, and standardized doses.

b Explained variance by the polymorphism percentage.

c Two missing data.

d Ten thousand bootstraps were used for this analysis.

e To have similar treatment duration, only patients treated for up to 24 months were included.

For the psychiatric sample 1, the influence of 3 polymorphisms within the CRTC1 gene on BMI was investigated by fitting a GAMM separately for each polymorphism: rs10402536G>A (β = 0.025; Padjusted = .872), rs8104411C>T (β = 0.133; Padjusted = .814), and rs3746266A>G (β = −2.125; Padjusted = .003). The CRTC1 polymorphism rs3746266A>G was associated with BMI and this polymorphism was used for replication in psychiatric samples 2 and 3. eTable 3 in Supplement shows genotype frequencies in the individual psychiatric samples and the combined sample.

To eliminate any possible cause of weight gain induced by other concomitant psychotropic and nonpsychotropic medications, we first analyzed patients taking only the main psychotropic drugs with no other weight gain–inducing drugs listed in eTable 1 in Supplement. A total of 336 psychiatric patients were thus investigated. The median BMI at the beginning of the current psychotropic treatment was significantly lower for carriers of the rs3746266 G allele as compared with those with AA genotypes in samples 1 (P = .03) and 3 (P = .003), but not in sample 2 (P = .51), as well as in the combined sample (P = .0009) (eTable 4 in Supplement). The same associations were observed with the current BMI at the end of the study follow-up period (eTable 4 in Supplement). eFigure 1 in Supplement shows the BMI at different periods for carriers of the CRTC1 rs3746266A>G genotype in the psychiatric samples. However, no significant association was observed between CRTC1 rs3746266A>G genotype and BMI before the initiation of the first psychotropic treatment in samples 1 (n = 83; P = .43) and 3 (n = 83; P = .52) and by combining them (n = 166; P = .46) (eTable 4 in Supplement).

The GAMM analyses showed a significant association between CRTC1 rs3746266A>G and BMI, with G carriers having a 2.13-kg/m² lower BMI than those with the AA genotype in sample 1 (P = .001; n = 105) (Table 1). Similar significant results were found in sample 3 (P = .0003; n = 87) and in the combined sample (P < .0001; n = 324) and were borderline for sample 2 (P = .05; n = 140), with carriers of the G allele having a 1.81- and 1.00-kg/m² lower BMI as compared with carriers of the AA genotype, respectively (Table 1). Interestingly, after adjustment for the severity of the psychiatric disorder in sample 2 (the severity rating was only available in this sample), we observed an even stronger association between BMI and CRTC1 rs37462666A>G, with G allele carriers having a 1.5-kg/m² lower BMI than carriers of the AA genotype (P = .02; 95% CI, 0.12–2.87).

A stratified sex analysis in the combined psychiatric sample (n = 176 and n = 148 for men and women, respectively) showed a significant association of CRTC1 rs3746266A>G in both sexes with, however, the association being stronger in women (Table 1). Because of the difference in fat distribution and cardiovascular risk factors in women with age, additional analyses showed the strongest protective effect of the G allele in women younger than 45 years, with a BMI decrease of 3.87 kg/m² for the G allele carriers (n = 25) compared with carriers with AA genotypes (n = 48; P < .0001), while no significant association was found in women older than 45 years (n = 75; P = .10). Similar significant results were obtained when using a threshold of 50 and 55 years (Table 1).
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The influence of the other weight gain–inducing drugs along with the main psychotrophic drugs was also analyzed in the whole sample (n = 444) and BMI remained significantly associated with the CRTC1 rs3746266A>G genotype in the G1AMM model (eTable 5 in Supplement).

Table 6 in Supplement shows the association of the calculated percentage of fat mass with the rs3746266A>G genotype in the combined psychiatric sample, with the strongest effect observed in women younger than 45 years. Thus, in these women, the polymorphism explains 9% and 10% of the variance in BMI and calculated percentage of fat mass, respectively (Table 1 and Table 6 in Supplement).

Nongenetic factors were also found to be associated with BMI in the G1AMM model. Indeed, age (0.09–kg/m² increase in BMI per year of age; P < .0001) and the type of psychotropic medication (1.41–kg/m² higher BMI in patients receiving antipsychotics compared with mood stabilizers; P = .003) were associated with BMI in the combined psychiatric sample. These 2 factors were consistently associated with BMI in each of the psychiatric samples and in analyses stratified by sex. A positive association of BMI with the severity of the disorder was observed for sample 2 as well, in which moderately to severely ill patients had a 0.50–kg/m² lower BMI than less severely ill patients (P = .02), which could be explained by lower food intake in the severely ill patients during the follow-up visits. Interestingly, by adding physical activity to the G1AMM model, the influence of age (0.09–kg/m²) was stronger in premenopausal women younger than 45 years, for whom carriers of the G allele had a 3.87–kg/m² lower BMI than noncarriers (P = .76), or waist circumference (P = .60) in the overall CoLaus sample, neither in men (P > .50) nor women (P > .50).

Using exome-sequencing data available for a subset (n = 413) of the CoLaus individuals (eMethods), we identified 8 rare, possibly damaging missense variants in the CRTC1 gene, according to predictions by PolyPhen. Only 1 individual was homozygous for the minor allele at position 18 853 754 (National Center for Biotechnology Information build 37), and his sex- and age-corrected BMI was 38.0. Thus, rare BMI-increasing CRTC1 variants with large effect may exist.

Discussion

Our results suggest a role for the CRTC1 gene in the regulation of human body weight and fat mass, which is consistent with data from animal models. The CRTC1 nonsynonymous polymorphism rs3746266A>G was associated with BMI in 3 independent psychiatric samples in which lower BMI values were measured in carriers of the G allele compared with noncarriers. The sex-stratified analysis in the combined sample showed a protective effect for the G allele both in men and women. However, the strongest and most clinically relevant association was observed in women younger than 45 years, for whom carriers of the G allele had a 3.87–kg/m² lower BMI than noncarriers. Additionally, we calculated the percentage of fat mass using a formula based not only on BMI, but also on age and sex, 2 factors that have profound impact on fat mass. The calculated fat mass was found to be significantly associated with CRTC1 rs3746266A>G in the psychiatric samples, with directional-consistent results. Furthermore, the protective effect of the T allele of rs6510997C>T against fat accumulation was also observed in a large population-based sample (CoLaus). The effect size of this association is clinically relevant and in a consistent direction with the one observed in the psychiatric cohorts. This effect was essentially seen in premenopausal women and, more specifically, in women taking contraceptive pills. Finally, these results were confirmed in 123 807 individuals from the GIANT consortium, with the T allele being associated with lower BMI.

We found no association of rs6510997C>T with BMI in the CoLaus population-based sample. Body mass index may less accurately capture adiposity than estimated fat mass using bioimpedance. In CoLaus, fat mass enabled capture of 3 times more subjects with high cardiovascular risk than BMI. The CoLaus sample is better powered to detect an association of the rs6510997C>T SNP with fat mass (62% power for a variant explaining 0.06% of fat mass variance) than with BMI (11% and 18% power for a variant explaining 0.01% and 0.02% of BMI variance, respectively), whereas a 20-fold larger population.
A based sample used in the GIANT consortium has enough power to observe an association with BMI (94% and >99% power for a variant explaining 0.01% and 0.02% of BMI variance, respectively). Taken together, these observations suggest that psychiatric illness and/or potentially weight gain–inducing psychotropic drugs might play a role in genetically mediated energy homeostasis and that the association of CRTC1 variants with BMI in the general population is much weaker. The stronger association found in women compared with men could be caused by a differential role of the leptin-mediating satiety pathway in the enhancement of CRTC1 activity. Women have much higher leptin levels than men,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Fat Mass, kg, Adjusted Mean (SE)</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>P Value for Linear Trend</th>
<th>Explained Variance, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CoLaus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>21.84 (0.11)</td>
<td>3395</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>21.48 (0.16)</td>
<td>1744</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT</td>
<td>21.21 (0.47)</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men only</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>19.92 (0.14)</td>
<td>1614</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>19.81 (0.19)</td>
<td>814</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT</td>
<td>19.08 (0.55)</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>.23</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women only</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>23.57 (0.17)</td>
<td>1781</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>22.99 (0.24)</td>
<td>930</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT</td>
<td>23.08 (0.75)</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women, premenopausal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>20.83 (0.26)</td>
<td>767</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>20.00 (0.36)</td>
<td>389</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT</td>
<td>18.90 (1.18)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women, postmenopausal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>25.57 (0.24)</td>
<td>1014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>25.21 (0.32)</td>
<td>541</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT</td>
<td>25.98 (0.96)</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>.66</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women, premenopausal, no contraceptive pill</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>20.73 (0.29)</td>
<td>626</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>20.09 (0.41)</td>
<td>314</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT</td>
<td>19.29 (1.37)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women, premenopausal, with contraceptive pill</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>21.29 (0.55)</td>
<td>141</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>19.50 (0.75)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT</td>
<td>17.87 (2.30)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No drug</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>19.38 (0.16)</td>
<td>1357</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>19.08 (0.22)</td>
<td>710</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT</td>
<td>19.00 (0.63)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>.26</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any drug</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>23.51 (0.15)</td>
<td>2038</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>23.07 (0.22)</td>
<td>1034</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT</td>
<td>22.75 (0.66)</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drugs possibly increasing weight</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>23.87 (0.28)</td>
<td>630</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>23.13 (0.38)</td>
<td>348</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT</td>
<td>22.29 (1.15)</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other drugs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>23.32 (0.18)</td>
<td>1408</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>23.09 (0.26)</td>
<td>686</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT</td>
<td>23.16 (0.80)</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>.52</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data are fat mass (in kilograms) adjusted for age, sex (whenever appropriate), height, lean mass, and smoking.
* Explained variance by the polymorphism percentage.
* Drugs are listed in Table 1 in Supplement.
female sex was found to predict stronger weight gain during antipsychotic treatment.54 Interestingly, studies examining the influence of polymorphisms in the leptin or leptin receptor genes on weight increases induced by antipsychotics also showed sex-specific differences.55,56 CRTC1 transgenic animal models did not show sex differences in body weight or obesity.29,30 However, mice used in these studies were knockout for CRTC1, while the present polymorphism is associated with decreased BMI and/or fat mass, suggesting a possible gain of function that could interact with leptin and show differences between males and females. The difference found between women in the 2 age groups, with the protective effect of the minor allele (rs3746266 G or rs6510997 T) being attenuated with age, suggests a complex mechanism with potential interactions with gonadal sex hormones. Interestingly, although the sample size was small, women taking oral contraceptives appear to be more protected against fat accumulation when carrying the minor allele of rs6510997C>T than the other premenopausal women. Thus, estrogen levels appear to modulate the effect of the CRTC1 polymorphism on fat accumulation. A hypothetical mechanism of the effect of CRTC1 and its interaction with sex hormones is presented in eFigure 2 in Supplement. A recent meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies reported an association of an intronic SNP of CRTC1 (rs10423674A>C) with the age of menarche,57 supporting a potential interaction between sex hormones and CRTC1.

Because most of the patients had a long history of psychiatric illness, they were not drug naive, having previously received and experienced weight gain due to multiple treatments before the current one, a high prevalence of overweight and obesity was visible at the initiation of the current treatment. Thus, BMI at the beginning of the current treatment was already different between CRTC1 genotypes, with the G carriers showing lower BMI. However, no significant influence of CRTC1 genotypes was observed on BMI before the initiation of the first psychotropic treatment in samples 1 and 3. This suggests that weight gain induced by the psychotropic treatment contributes at least partially to the strong influence of CRTC1 genotypes on BMI in psychiatric patients. Interestingly, a recent study showed an influence of a CRTC3 polymorphism on BMI only in a population with a high prevalence of obesity. CRTC3 is mainly expressed in adipose tissue and has been shown to be involved in energy balance in mice.58 Higher energy expenditure and less adipose tissue mass were observed in CRTC3-knockout mice compared with their littermates, leading to lower weight gain under a hypercaloric diet. The CRTC3 rs8033595 polymorphism was associated with several anthropometric indices in 2 Mexican American populations (n = 779 and n = 987), with a high prevalence of obesity, but this finding could not be replicated in populations with other ethnicities with lower prevalence of obesity.58

Several limitations of this study need to be acknowledged. Most patients were not drug naive and had already developed weight gain due to previous treatments. It was therefore not possible to determine with certainty whether the strong association of CRTC1 genotypes with BMI and fat mass in psychiatric populations was due to the psychiatric illness and/or to the pharmacological treatment. Extensive hormonal measurements were not available for our samples, so the role of sex hormones on the association of CRTC1 variants with adiposity could not be explored. Baseline self-reported weights before the current treatment were only available in a subset of the patients. It was only considered when measured weight was not available, though in the patients for whom both data were available, a strong correlation was found between self-declarations and the medical files. Weights before the first psychotropic treatment were only self-reported. In addition, the potential influence of other environmental and social factors on weight could not be accounted for because of the naturalistic design of the psychiatric studies. However, the fact that the results were replicated in 2 independent psychiatric samples and in 2 large population-based samples strengthens the validity of our data. This study included white people and results cannot be generalized to other ethnic groups. Finally, these results do not allow the determination of whether the rs3746266A>G genetic polymorphism, although leading to an amino acid change, is the causative variant or merely a proxy of 1 or more yet unidentified variants.

Further studies are needed to elucidate the biochemical mechanisms underlying the observed associations.

To our knowledge, this is the first study showing an association of CRTC1 polymorphisms with BMI and fat mass in humans. Our results suggest that CRTC1 plays an important role in the high prevalence of overweight and obesity observed in psychiatric patients. Besides, CRTC1 could play a role in the genetics of obesity in the general population, thereby increasing our understanding of the multiple mechanisms influencing obesity. Finally, the strong associations of CRTC1 variants with adiposity in women younger than 45 years support further research on the interrelationship between adiposity and the reproductive function.
Research Original Investigation

Influence of CRTC1 Polymorphisms on BMI

Bioinformatics, Lausanne, Switzerland (Kutalik); Service of General Psychiatry, Department of Psychiatry, Lausanne University Hospital, Prilly, Switzerland (Conus); Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine, Lausanne University Hospital, Lausanne, Switzerland (Bochud); School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Geneva, University of Lausanne, Geneva, Switzerland (Eap).

Author Contributions: Dr Eap had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Study concept and design: Magistretti, Eap.

Acquisition of data: Choong, Cardinaux, Vandenberghen, Bondifin, Etter, Holzer, von Gunten, Preisig, Vollenweider, Waebcr, Conus.

Analysis and interpretation of data: Choong, Quineineh, Gholam-Rezaee, Dobrinas, Vollenweiler, Beckmann, Pralong, Kutalik, Bochud.

Drafting of the manuscript: Choong, Quineineh, Vandenberghen, Bochud.

Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Quineineh, Cardinaux, Gholam-Rezaee, Dobrinas, Bondifin, Etter, Holzer, Magistretti, von Gunten, Preisig, Vollenweiler, Beckmann, Pralong, Waebcr, Kutalik, Conus, Bochud.

Statistical analysis: Choong, Quineineh, Gholam-Rezaee, Kutalik, Bochud.

Obtained funding: Preisig, Vollenweiler, Waebcr, Eap.

Administrative, technical, and material support: Choong, Vandenberghen, Bondifin, Magistretti, Preisig, Pralong.

Study supervision: Eap.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: Drs Vollenweiler and Waebcr received an unrestricted grant from GlaxoSmithKline to build the CoLaus study. The CoLaus study received financial contributions from GlaxoSmithKline. Dr Eap received honoraria for conferences or teaching continuing medical education courses from Advisis, AstaZeneca, Bristol-Moys Squibb, Eli Lilly, Essex Chemie, GlaxoSmithKline, Janssen-Cilag, Lundbeck, Marck Sharp & Dohe, Novo Nordisk, Organon, Sandoz, and Vifor Pharma. Dr von Gunten received honoraria for a conference or workshop participation from Vifor Pharma and Bayer Schering. Dr Preisig received honoraria for conferences or teaching continuing medical education courses from AstraZeneca, Lundbeck, Servier SA, and swissprofessionalmedia AG. No other author reported disclosures.

Funding/Support: This work was funded in part by Swiss National Research Foundation grants 120868 (Drs Eap and Conus) and 135692 (Dr Cardinaux) and by the National Center of Competence in Research "SYNAPSIS—The Symptomatic Bases of Mental Diseases" financed by Swiss National Science Foundation grant 104401.25759. Dr Bochud is supported by the Swiss School of Public Health Plus. The CoLaus study received financial contributions from the Faculty of Biology and Medicine of University of Lausanne and Swiss National Science Foundation grants 135600.112863.1, 131000.112862.1, and 135692 (Dr Cardinaux) and by the National Center of Competence in Research "SYNAPSIS—The Symptomatic Bases of Mental Diseases" financed by Swiss National Science Foundation grant 104401.25759. Dr Bochud is supported by the Swiss School of Public Health Plus. The CoLaus study received financial contributions from the Faculty of Biology and Medicine of University of Lausanne and Swiss National Science Foundation grants 135600.112863.1, 131000.112862.1, and 135692 (Dr Cardinaux). Development of the Quanto program was supported in part by National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences grants ES00421 and ES050704/08-03 and National Cancer Institute grant CA58262.

Group Information: The ODEX team members are Linda C. McCarthy, Judong Shen, Matthew R. Nelson, Peter M. Woolard, Keith L. Nam, and Sang Hyon Song. Dawn M. Waterworth, and John C. Whitaker (GlosoxSmithKline members); Inês Barroso, Eleftheria Zeggini, Aaron Day-Williams, Margarida C. Lopes, Lorraine Southam, Joanna Tachmazidou, Jennifer Asmit, Eleanor Wheeler, Carol E. Scott, Alison J. Coffey, Chris Tyler-Smith, Vai Xue, Yuan Chen, Jillian Durham, and Felicity Payne (also Sarah Hunt, who has now left the institute and is working at the European Bioinformatics Institute) (the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute members); and Jacques S. Beckmann, Sven Bergmann, Miruille Bochud, Zoltan Kutalik, Pedro Marques-Vidal, Vincent Moojer, Peter Vollenweiler, and Gerard Waebcr (CoLaus members [alphabetical order]).

Additional Contributions: We thank Severine Crettol-Wave, PharmD, PhD, and Vincent Mooger, MD, for scientific support, Christian Brogli and Veronique Hodel for logistical assistance, Elisabeth Retamales for bibliographical help, and Anne-Catherine Aubert, Murielle Brocard, Nathalie Cochard, Myriam Delaew, Astrid Kottelat-Vulloud, Marylse Braward, Kerry Powell Golay, Sylvie Jaquet, and Michele Jonzier for sample analysis. We are also grateful for the access to exome sequence data from the CoLaus cohort, which was sequenced as part of a partnership between the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, the CoLaus principal investigators, and the Quantitative Sciences Department of GlosoxSmithKline. We thank the GIANT consortium for access to their BMI association results in 128,007 individuals. James Gauderman, PhD, author of the Quanto program, thanks Robert Elston, PhD, for kind permission to use the S.A.G.E. routine MAXFUN for function maximization and Joshua Millstein for providing the numerical integration routines.

REFERENCES


Downloaded From: https://archpsyc.jamanetwork.com/ by a Non-Human Traffic (NHT) User on 04/08/2019
Influence of CRTCI Polymorphisms on BMI


