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IMPORTANCE Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) research has long focused on the dopaminergic system’s contribution to pathogenesis, although the results have been inconclusive. However, a case has been made for the involvement of the noradrenergic system, which modulates cognitive processes, such as arousal, working memory, and response inhibition, all of which are typically affected in ADHD. Furthermore, the norepinephrine transporter (NET) is an important target for frequently prescribed medication in ADHD. Therefore, the NET is suggested to play a critical role in ADHD.

OBJECTIVE To explore the differences in NET nondisplaceable binding potential (NET BP_{ND}) using positron emission tomography and the highly selective radioligand \((S,S)-\[\text{F}^{18}\]FMENER-D2 \((S,S)-2-(\alpha-(2-[\text{F}^{18}\text{F}]\text{fluoro}[2\text{H}_2]\text{methoxyphenoxy})\text{benzyl})\text{morpholine}\)) between adults with ADHD and healthy volunteers serving as controls.

DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS Twenty-two medication-free patients with ADHD (mean [SD] age, 30.7 [10.4] years; 15 [68%] men) without psychiatric comorbidities and 22 age- and sex-matched healthy controls (30.9 [10.6] years; 15 [68%] men) underwent positron emission tomography once. A linear mixed model was used to compare NET BP_{ND} between groups.

MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES The NET BP_{ND} in selected regions of interest relevant for ADHD, including the hippocampus, putamen, pallidum, thalamus, midbrain with pons (comprising a region of interest that includes the locus coeruleus), and cerebellum. In addition, the NET BP_{ND} was evaluated in thalamic subnuclei (13 atlas-based regions of interest).

RESULTS We found no significant differences in NET availability or regional distribution between patients with ADHD and healthy controls in all investigated brain regions \((F_{1,41} < 0.01; P = .96)\). Furthermore, we identified no significant association between ADHD symptom severity and regional NET availability. Neither sex nor smoking status influenced NET availability. We determined a significant negative correlation between age and NET availability in the thalamus \((R^2 = 0.29; P < .01\) corrected) and midbrain with pons, including the locus coeruleus \((R^2 = 0.18; P < .01\) corrected), which corroborates prior findings of a decrease in NET availability with aging in the human brain.

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE Our results do not indicate involvement of changes in brain NET availability or distribution in the pathogenesis of ADHD. However, the noradrenergic transmitter system may be affected on a different level, such as in cortical regions, which cannot be reliably quantified with this positron emission tomography ligand. Alternatively, different key proteins of noradrenergic neurotransmission might be affected.
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Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), which is characterized by inattention, impulsivity, and hyperactivity, affects between 8% and 12% of children, persists into adulthood in approximately 30% of cases, and exhibits rising prevalence rates. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder is often associated with detrimental comorbidities as well as with a large personal and social burden. As a result, many individuals with ADHD routinely receive psychopharmacologic treatment.

Patients with ADHD often receive methylphenidate hydrochloride and amphetamine sulfate, which are stimulant medications that enhance dopaminergic and noradrenergic signaling. Alternatively, atomoxetine hydrochloride, which is a nonstimulant drug that blocks the norepinephrine transporter (NET), is used. By blocking the NET, atomoxetine affects noradrenergic signaling and, particularly in brain regions lacking the dopamine transporter, increases dopaminergic transmission. Treatment using methylphenidate, amphetamine, and atomoxetine is associated with improvement of clinical symptoms and performance in controlled tasks eliciting executive functions, such as inhibitory control, and of cognitive functions, such as working memory and attention.

Although amphetamine and methylphenidate have been suggested to exert therapeutic efficacy via an increase in extracellular dopamine, they also have been shown to modulate noradrenergic neurotransmission, which may be therapeutically relevant. Methylphenidate may dose-dependently block the NET, thereby regulating noradrenergic and dopamine reuptake. In a similar manner, atomoxetine has been shown to facilitate therapeutic response by binding the NET. In addition, quetiapine fumarate, which is not used as an ADHD medication but has been shown to improve cognitive function in patients with psychosis, was shown to bind to the NET. Ultimately, facilitation of therapeutic response by catecholamines in general and the NET in particular suggests that these systems may be relevant to ADHD.

Furthermore, ADHD symptoms have long been attributed to abnormalities within the frontostriatal and frontoparietal networks implicated in executive functions modulated by catecholaminergic systems. The noradrenergic system, which originates in the locus coeruleus and exerts virtually ubiquitous influence within the brain, modulates, among other cortical regions, the prefrontal cortex through dynamic adaption of tonic and phasic firing.

Studies displaying improvement of such symptoms by application of α2 agonists further link noradrenergic influence on prefrontal cortex-mediated cognitive functions to ADHD.

More assertive investigation of underlying neurobiological correlates is made possible through positron emission tomography (PET) imaging studies, which have focused on ADHD-related changes in the dopaminergic system. Although changes in dopamine transporter and dopamine D1 and D2 receptor levels and distribution as well as dopamine release have been investigated, the results remain inconclusive. However, the proposition that methylphenidate, amphetamine, and atomoxetine may induce therapeutic response via NET modulation suggests that noradrenergic factors, and more specifically changes in the NET, may play a role in ADHD pathogenesis.

Therefore, we proposed a thorough investigation of ADHD-related NET distribution, as has been performed for the serotonin transporter and dopamine transporter. To address this issue, we used the recently developed NET-specific radiotracer [(S,S)-[18F]MeNER-D2, (S,S)-2-(α-2-[18F]fluoro[12H1]methoxyphenoxyl)benzyl]morpholine, which has been successfully applied in healthy control groups. To investigate the role of noradrenergic changes within ADHD, NET imaging was carried out in a region of interest (ROI) approach focusing on brain areas integral to the noradrenergic system.

### Methods

#### Participants

Written informed consent was obtained from all participants after detailed explanation of the study protocol, and the participants received financial reimbursement. The study was approved by the ethics committee of the Medical University of Vienna and the General Hospital of Vienna (EK 552/2010).

Twenty-two adults with ADHD (mean [SD] age, 30.7 [10.4] years; 15 [68%] men) and 22 age- and sex-matched healthy individuals serving as controls (30.9 [10.6] years; 15 [68%] men) (Table 1) were recruited through an ADHD outpatient clinic at...
the Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria, and from the local community via advertisement. Patients had not received psychopharmacologic treatment for at least 6 months before the screening visit; all control participants were naive to all psychopharmacologic treatment. Of the original 51 study participants, 2 (4%) were excluded because of substance abuse, 2 (4%) because of somatic disorders, and 3 (6%) because of radiosynthesis difficulties.

Medical Examination and Clinical Exploration
Participants underwent standard medical examination including general physical and neurologic status evaluation, electrocardiography, and routine laboratory tests at the screening and final visits to ensure their physical health. Women underwent a urine pregnancy test at the screening visit and before PET measurement. A multidrug urine test was performed at the screening visit to exclude current substance abuse. Participants were interviewed by experienced psychiatrists using the Conners Adult ADHD Diagnostic Interview for DSM-IV to evaluate current and childhood attentional and hyperactivity/impulsivity symptoms and confirm the ADHD diagnosis. The Conners Adult ADHD Rating Scale (CAARS)-Investigator Screening Version (Table I) was applied to assess the presence and severity of inattentive and hyperactivity/impulsivity symptoms, and third-party-reported and self-reported symptoms were determined with the CAARS-Observer Screening Version and the CAARS-Self-Report Screening Version. The Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I and Axis II disorders was performed to exclude comorbid psychiatric disorders. Handedness was evaluated with the Edinburgh Inventory and IQ was determined with the Viennese Matrices Test–2. Patients with ADHD did not differ significantly from the controls in IQ (ADHD, 92.86 [15.22]; controls, 98.77 [12.89]; P = .16; paired t test). Participants were subdivided into groups best describing their smoking status according to the quantity of consumption, which was assessed in an open-question format (non-smokers, 5 cigarettes/wk, 5 cigarettes/d, 5-10 cigarettes/d, 10 cigarettes/d, 10-15 cigarettes/d, 15 cigarettes/d, and 20 cigarettes/d; ranks were 1-8, respectively). The ADHD group did not significantly differ in smoking status compared with the control group (median rank: ADHD, 0; control, 0.5; z = −0.48, P = .63, Mann-Whitney test).

Individuals with PET- or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)-incompatible implants or in pregnancy or breastfeeding were not included in this study.

Data Acquisition
All PET was carried out at the Department of Biomedical Imaging and Image-Guided Therapy, Division of Nuclear Medicine, Medical University of Vienna, using a full-ring scanner (GE Advance; General Electric Medical Systems) in a 3-dimensional acquisition mode. We applied (S,S)-[18F]FMeNER-D2, which is among the most suitable PET tracers for in vivo NET quantification as described previously for PET measurement owing to their 5-fold higher half-life; (2) in vivo defluorination can be reduced considerably, and the interpretability of regions in proximity to bone thereby increased, through the use of deuterated homologues; and (3) (S,S)-[18F]FMeNER-D2 has shown both high affinity and selectivity to the NET. A 5-minute transmission scan using retractable germanium Ge 68 rod sources for tissue attenuation correction was performed before the emission scan. Data acquisition started 120 minutes after a bolus intravenous injection of 4.7 MBq/kg of body weight (ADHD, 395.1 [98.7] MBq; controls, 379.0 [62.2] MBq; P = .53, 2-tailed, paired t test) of (S,S)-[18F]FMeNER-D2. Mean (SD) specific radioactivity of (S,S)-[18F]FMeNER-D2 was 589.4 (399.7) GBq/μmol (ADHD) and 440.4 (233.7) GBq/μmol (controls) (P = .15, 2-tailed, paired t test). Brain radioactivity was measured in a series of 6 consecutive time frames lasting 10 minutes each in the interval of 120 to 180 minutes after administration of the bolus. Acquired data were reconstructed in volumes consisting of 35 transaxial sections (128 × 128 matrix) using an iterative filtered back-projection algorithm with a spatial resolution of 4.36 mm full-width at half of the maximum 1 cm next to the center of the field of view. For coregistration, MRIs were acquired from all participants on a 3-T scanner (Achieva; Philips) using a 3-dimensional T1 fast field-echo weighted sequence, yielding 0.88-mm section thickness and in-plane resolution of 0.8 × 0.8 mm.

Data Quantification
Each time frame of the dynamic PET scan was realigned to the mean of frames with no head motion, identified by visual inspection. Subsequently, each summed image (PET integral image from realigned data) was coregistered (rigid body transformation) to each participant’s MRI using a mutual information algorithm implemented in SPM8 (Wellcome Trust Centre for Neuroimaging; http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/). Parametric images of nondisplaceable binding potential (BPND) values were calculated using the caudate as the reference region because it was devoid of NET. According to nomenclature, the BPND values were defined as follows:

\[
BPND = \frac{\int_{0}^{180} C_{\text{target}} \, dz}{\int_{0}^{120} C_{\text{reference}} \, dz} - 1,
\]

where \(C_{\text{target}}\) indicates radioactivity concentration of the target region and \(C_{\text{reference}}\) radioactivity concentration of the reference region. Caudate ROIs were delineated on MRIs in individual-participant space using image analysis software (PMOD, version 3.1; PMOD Technologies Ltd; http://www.pmod.com), which were subsequently transferred to coregistered summed PET images. Individual MRIs were spatially normalized to the Ti-weighted MRI template provided in SPM8. Resulting transformation matrices were applied to the coregistered parametric images warping them into Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) standard space.
Regions of Interest
The ROIs selected included NET-rich regions, based on post-mortem and in vivo human brain studies, and show a good signal to noise ratio and an acceptable bone spillover due to (S,S)-[18F]FMeNER-D2 defluorination. Binding potential values were extracted from parametric maps from either atlas-generated ROIs or manually delineated ROIs. Atlas-generated ROIs were identified from the Hammers Maximum Probability Atlas including 6 regions: the hippocampus, putamen, pallidum, thalamus, midbrain with pons (including the locus coeruleus), and cerebellum. Since the NET concentration in the thalamus is not homogeneous, 13 thalamic subnuclei were generated with the Wake Forest University Pickatlas Tool (Table 2). To verify atlas-generated ROIs, 4 atlas ROIs were delineated on the MNI T1 single-participant brain: the midbrain (dorsally located including raphe nuclei, excluding pons), locus coeruleus located according to Keren et al., claustrum, and hypothalamus. In addition to the above-mentioned atlas ROIs, further ROIs, specifically the locus coeruleus and thalamus, which are brain regions highest in NET concentration, were delineated manually for each participant for confirmatory purposes. Atlas ROIs match the MNI standard space.

Statistical Analysis
Data were analyzed using linear mixed models for the outcome measure NET BP_{ND} with the ROI as a repeated factor; participant groups, sex, and ROI as fixed factors; and participants and matched participant pairs as random factors. A separate model was calculated for the 6 ROIs based on the Hammers Maximum Probability Atlas and for the 13 thalamic subnuclei. Likewise, manually delineated ROIs were assessed in 2 additional models: one using the 4 atlas-based ROIs and the other using the 2 individual-based ROIs. Fixed effects were included in the model in a multifactorial approach, whereas interaction effects were dropped in instances of nonsignificance. In cases of significant interactions or main effects, post hoc pairwise comparisons were computed and Bonferroni correction was performed for multiple comparisons. In a second exploratory approach to examine the effects of handedness, smoking status, and age, a mixed model was calculated using a stepwise procedure with backward elimination, starting with all candidate variables (including participant groups and ROIs) and followed by a stepwise deletion of interactions and variables with the largest P values. Finally, mixed-models analyses were also applied to investigate the effects of the clinical variables inattentiveness and hyperactivity/impulsivity, which were assessed with the CAARS-Investigator Screening Version. According to the Akaike information criterion, repeated measurements were modeled using a compound symmetric covariance structure. As an exploratory analysis, we also compared NET BP_{ND} between patients and controls at the voxel level using SPM8 (paired t test); SPSS, version 19.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc), was used for statistical computations. The 2-tailed significance level was set at P = .05. Region of interest and voxel-wise analysis results were corrected for multiple comparisons using Bonferroni and false discovery rate analysis, respectively.

Table 2. Norepinephrine Transporter Binding Potential by ROI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>ADHD Group (n = 22)</th>
<th>Control Group (n = 22)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hammers Maximum Probability Atlas ROIs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalamus</td>
<td>0.36 (0.08)</td>
<td>0.37 (0.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td>0.12 (0.06)</td>
<td>0.11 (0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midbrain with pons</td>
<td>0.25 (0.11)</td>
<td>0.26 (0.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putamen</td>
<td>0.18 (0.06)</td>
<td>0.18 (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pallidum</td>
<td>0.23 (0.06)</td>
<td>0.22 (0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellium</td>
<td>0.15 (0.10)</td>
<td>0.16 (0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNI T1 single-participant brain-delineated ROIs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midbrain without pons</td>
<td>0.50 (0.12)</td>
<td>0.46 (0.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locus coeruleus</td>
<td>0.41 (0.12)</td>
<td>0.39 (0.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claustrum</td>
<td>0.18 (0.06)</td>
<td>0.18 (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothalamus</td>
<td>0.29 (0.11)</td>
<td>0.28 (0.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manually delineated individual ROIs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalamus</td>
<td>0.31 (0.13)</td>
<td>0.50 (0.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locus coeruleus</td>
<td>0.35 (0.14)</td>
<td>0.47 (0.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalamic subnuclei ROIs delineated with WFU Pickatlas Tool</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal nucleus</td>
<td>0.16 (0.20)</td>
<td>0.23 (0.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geniculum body</td>
<td>0.34 (0.13)</td>
<td>0.31 (0.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior nucleus</td>
<td>0.37 (0.11)</td>
<td>0.40 (0.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mamillary body</td>
<td>0.59 (0.14)</td>
<td>0.55 (0.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal nucleus</td>
<td>0.51 (0.41)</td>
<td>0.53 (0.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geniculum body</td>
<td>0.52 (0.18)</td>
<td>0.47 (0.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midline nucleus</td>
<td>0.06 (0.21)</td>
<td>0.13 (0.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulvinar</td>
<td>0.32 (0.13)</td>
<td>0.33 (0.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subthalamic nucleus</td>
<td>0.40 (0.14)</td>
<td>0.36 (0.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior nucleus</td>
<td>0.12 (0.12)</td>
<td>0.16 (0.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral nucleus</td>
<td>0.37 (0.10)</td>
<td>0.39 (0.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior lateral nucleus</td>
<td>0.60 (0.15)</td>
<td>0.59 (0.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior medial nucleus</td>
<td>0.75 (0.14)</td>
<td>0.73 (0.16)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ADHD, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; MNI, Montreal Neurological Institute; ROI, region of interest; WFU, Wake Forest University.

Results
Linear mixed-models analysis revealed an expected main effect of ROI (F_{5,215} = 117.71; P < .001) but no main effects of participant group (F_{1,41} < 0.01; P = .96) (Table 2 and Figure 1) or sex (F_{1,41} < 0.01; P = .98) and no interaction effects (all P > .10). Post hoc pairwise comparisons revealed significant NET BP_{ND} differences between the 6 tested brain regions ( atlas-generated ROIs; P < .05, corrected) except for the

suggest that there are no significant differences in NET concentration between different ROIs within the same brain region.
comparisons of midbrain with pallidum and putamen with cerebellum, which had similar binding values (Table 2 and Figure 2). Analogous results were obtained from the 2 mixed models for the manually delineated ROIs, which showed main effects of ROI but no main effects of group and sex and no interaction effects. Similarly, the linear mixed model for NET binding within the thalamic subnuclei revealed a main effect of ROI ($F_{12,516} = 105.53; P < .001$) but no main effect of group ($F_{1,41} = 0.08; P = .78$) or sex ($F_{1,41} = 0.39; P = .54$) and no interaction effects (all $P > .10$). In addition, there was no significant difference in NET binding between patients with ADHD and the controls in any brain region at the voxel level (all $P > .05$, corrected).

When investigating the potential effects of handedness, smoking status, and age, mixed-models analysis for ROI NET BP$_{ND}$ based on the Hammers Maximum Probability Atlas revealed an interaction effect between ROI and age ($F_{5,190} = 9.94; P < .001$) in addition to a main effect of ROI but no main effect of age. Post hoc correlation analyses between regional NET BP$_{ND}$ and age revealed strong negative correlations in the thalamus ($R^2 = 0.29; P < .01$ corrected) and midbrain ($R^2 = 0.18 P < .01$ corrected) (Figure 3), but these correlations did not differ significantly between the control and ADHD groups. Handedness and smoking status had no effect on NET BP$_{ND}$, nor did they lead to any significant interactions. Comparable results were observed for manually delineated ROIs, which showed strong negative correlations between NET BP$_{ND}$ and age in the midbrain ($R^2 = 0.28; P < .01$ corrected), locus coeruleus ($R^2 = 0.26; P < .01$ corrected), and hypothalamus ($R^2 = 0.26; P < .01$ corrected).
rected). In addition, no main or interaction effects were observed for clinical variables (CAARS-Inattentiveness and CAARS-Hyperactivity/Impulsivity) and ROI BPND. Finally, exclusion of 3 patients with previous methylphenidate intake in childhood (intake duration was 4, 5, and 7 years) and 2 patients with previous atomoxetine consumption in adulthood (intake duration was 5 and 6 months) did not change NET binding results. We further excluded 2 patients exhibiting predominantly inattentive symptoms and 1 exhibiting predominantly hyperactivity/impulsivity symptoms and, in a separate analysis, 2 patients with past drug abuse. Exclusion of these participants did not change the results.

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, this is the first PET study to investigate the differences in brain NET distribution and availability in adults with ADHD. We found no significant differences in the BP_{ND} of (S,S)-[^18F]FMeNER-D_{2} between the patients with ADHD and the controls. Furthermore, exclusion of patients exhibiting either predominantly inattentive or predominantly hyperactivity/impulsivity symptoms and patients with previous ADHD pharmacotherapy or past drug abuse did not change the results. Our findings validate previous studies showing an age-related decrease in brain NET availability in the healthy human brain and show an age-related decrease in brain NET availability in adults with ADHD.

Randomized placebo-controlled studies\textsuperscript{54-56} have repeatedly shown that methylphenidate, amphetamine, and atomoxetine significantly decrease symptoms in adult ADHD patient cohorts. The clinical efficacy of a pharmaceutical agent implies that the mechanism of action through which it attains a response is relevant to the neurobiology and resulting symptoms of a particular disease. Therefore, modulation of the noradrenergic system by these 3 drugs suggests noradrenergic abnormalities in ADHD.

Executive functions, such as response inhibition, vigilance, working memory, and planning, are typically impaired in ADHD.\textsuperscript{57,58} The association of these functions with the prefrontal cortex, which exhibits pronounced noradrenergic innervation, once again implicates, more generally, the noradrenergic system in ADHD.\textsuperscript{59}

However, investigations into the involvement of other neurotransmitter systems in ADHD are similarly inconclusive. First, current data available on the dopaminergic contribution to ADHD are wrought with inconsistency. As is the case with the NET, therapeutic doses of methylphenidate have been shown\textsuperscript{60,61} using PET to reduce radiotracer striatal dopamine transporter binding in a dose-dependent manner in healthy individuals. Methylphenidate-induced dopamine transporter blockade has been causally linked to an
increase in striatal extracellular dopamine in the human brain,14 and this effect has been associated with therapeutic responses to methylphenidate in ADHD.62 Moreover, striatal dopamine transporter availability in patients with ADHD was correlated with improvement of clinical symptoms after methylphenidate treatment.63 Brain imaging studies,31,63-65 however, have reported an array of partially contradictory results ranging from dopamine transporter increases to a lack of change66 to decreases29,67 in the brain of adults with ADHD. Although methodologic factors (eg, tracer choice) and patient characteristics (including the presence of prior medication, comorbidities, and differing sample sizes) have been suggested29,30 to account for this variability in results, investigations of other components of the dopaminergic system, such as the D2 and D3 receptors, are similarly inconsistent.29,32 In addition, serotonergic alterations have been discussed in the context of ADHD68 and are primarily based on the relationship between serotonergic innervation and impulsivity and hyperactivity, which are 2 core ADHD symptoms.69 However, serotonergic involvement in ADHD is contradicted by data showing the limited clinical efficacy of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors in the improvement of ADHD symptoms. Furthermore, serotonin transporter imaging studies67,70 showed no difference in serotonin transporter distribution between patients with ADHD and healthy controls. Therefore, although existing evidence neither affirms nor disproves the neurotransmitter systems discussed above to be involved in ADHD, background pharmacologic evidence supporting, in particular, dopaminergic and noradrenergic contribution, is strong. It was recently suggested by del Campo et al32 that ADHD-related dopaminergic changes may reflect associated symptoms rather than a disease-specific endophenotype. Therefore, approaches that step away from the concept of endophenotypical noradrenergic changes in ADHD and focus on changes associated with ADHD symptoms may prove to be valuable. However, exclusion of patients exhibiting the predominantly inattentive subtype and predominantly hyperactivity/impulsivity subtype of ADHD did not change our main findings, strongly suggesting that our results reflect a lack of changes in the brain NET level in ADHD in general rather than a subtype-specific phenomenon. In this context, future studies may profit from incorporating cognitive tests and genetic data into analysis for further symptom-oriented and phenotypical classification of participants.

Despite the well-established link between modulation of the NET and improvement of ADHD symptoms, supported by recent genetic studies71 implicating the NET gene in ADHD, our study did not reveal differences in NET distribution between patients with ADHD and the controls. Atomoxetine, methylphenidate, and amphetamine modulation of the NET has yet to be investigated in individuals with ADHD. Therefore, one cannot exclude the possibility that pharmacologic mechanisms of stimulants and nonstimulants in patients with ADHD differ from those in healthy individuals, as has been proposed to be the case by some investigators,72 although not by others.73 However, the results of the present study may also be interpreted to suggest that, despite the proposed involvement in the efficacy of ADHD pharmaceuticals, the NET may not be integral to ADHD. Nevertheless, the missing difference in the NET between groups would not necessarily exclude the involvement of other components of the noradrenergic system in ADHD. In fact, guanfacine hydrochloride, an α2 adrenoceptor agonist and novel ADHD treatment option, appears to be a good treatment alternative to stimulant and nonstimulant medications.74 Although this finding does not necessarily imply that α2 adrenoceptors are integral to ADHD, it again underlines the link between noradrenergic innervation and ADHD symptoms while proposing that ADHD symptoms may also be modulated by other noradrenergic elements.

However, several characteristics attributed to the transporter limit PET investigations into the role of the NET in ADHD and therefore must be considered. First, although cortical and subcortical regions express NET, the levels of expression are generally considered to be low,36,75,76 particularly in frontal cortical regions. Therefore, comparability between participant groups is limited in these areas. Second, evaluation of NET levels in lateral cortical regions, including frontal regions, is made challenging by skull-bound radioactivity, which spills into adjacent regions and has been associated with (S,S)-[18F]FMeNER-D2.45,48 Therefore, owing to generally low frontal cortex NET levels, together with image contamina-

### Conclusions

The lack of differences observed in NET distribution between patients with ADHD and control participants does not exclude noradrenergic abnormalities in ADHD, since only one molecular aspect and not all regional aspects of the noradrenergic system were investigated. To further clarify NET involvement in ADHD, cortical brain regions must be investigated and occupancy studies must be carried out to solidify the relationship between pharmacologically induced clinical improvement and noradrenergic changes.
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