Original Investigation

Anterior Insula Volume and Guilt
Neurobehavioral Markers of Recurrence
After Early Childhood Major Depressive Disorder

Andy C. Belden, PhD; Deanna M. Barch, PhD; Timothy J. Oakberg, MA; Laura M. April, BA; Michael P. Harms, PhD; Kelly N. Botteron, MD; Joan L. Luby, MD

IMPORTANCE This is the first study to date to examine volumetric alterations in the anterior insula (AI) as a potential biomarker for the course of childhood major depressive disorder (MDD).

OBJECTIVES To examine whether children with a history of preschool-onset (PO) MDD show reduced AI volume, whether a specific symptom of PO MDD (pathological guilt) is related to AI volume reduction (given the known relationship between AI and guilt processing), and whether AI volumes predict subsequent likelihood of having an episode of MDD.

DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS In a prospective longitudinal study, 306 children (age range, 3.00-5.11 years) and caregivers completed DSM diagnostic assessments at 6 annual time points during 10 years as part of the Preschool Depression Study. Magnetic resonance imaging was completed on a subset of 145 school-age children (age range, 6.11-12.11 years).

MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES Whole-brain–adjusted AI volume measured using magnetic resonance imaging at school age and children's diagnosis of MDD any time after their imaging.

RESULTS Compared with children without a history of PO MDD, school-age children previously diagnosed as having PO MDD had smaller left and right AI volumes (Wilks Λ = 0.94, F_{2,124} = 3.37, P = .04, Cohen d = 0.23). However, the effect of PO MDD on reduced AI volumes was better explained by children's experience of pathological guilt during preschool (Λ = 0.91, F_{2,120} = 6.17, P = .003, d = .30). When covarying for children's lifetime history of MDD episodes, their experience of pathological guilt during preschool, as well as their sex and age at the time of imaging, schoolchildren's right-side AI volume was a significant predictor of being diagnosed as having an MDD episode after imaging (odds ratio, 0.96; 95% CI, 0.01-0.75; P = .03).

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE These results provide evidence that structural abnormalities in AI volume are related to the neurobiology of depressive disorders starting in early childhood. The present findings are consistent with mounting research in adult MDD suggesting that insula function and structure may be a target biomarker for major depression.
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The search for early neurobiological markers for depression has been the focus of intense investigation for several decades.\(^1\) While important advances have been made in understanding atypical structural and functional brain correlates of emotion processing and regulation in depressed individuals, the identification of specific regions or networks associated with symptom manifestations and illness onset and course remains an important and somewhat elusive goal. The identification of early symptom-specific neurobehavioral markers of a chronic and recurrent course of depression could inform which symptom domains and therefore which individuals to target for early interventions. Furthermore, understanding brain-behavior relationships in this risk trajectory could be critical to illuminating the mechanisms of risk, information that is essential for the design of targeted early interventions.\(^3\)

Investigation of brain-behavior relationships in depressed preschool-age children is a new direction that has the potential to elucidate trajectories of risk and the development of preventive interventions.\(^4\) A growing body of literature has established construct and discriminant validity for a form of depression in preschool children that shows continuity with DSM-5 major depressive disorder (MDD) at school age and early adolescence.\(^5\) More specifically, findings indicated that approximately 50% of children diagnosed as having a preschool-onset (PO) form of MDD (ie, developmentally modified duration and symptom manifestations) went on to develop full DSM-5 criteria for a major depressive episode (with no modifications). Preschool-onset MDD is a specific and stable syndrome that has been identified in several independent study samples and is characterized by age-adjusted core DSM symptoms of depression (but excludes 2-week duration).\(^6,7\) Preschool depression has been detected in several epidemiological samples, and a 1% to 2% prevalence rate has been estimated.\(^8-10\) Furthermore, PO MDD has been associated with alterations in stress cortisol reactivity, altered neural functioning, atypical neural system connectivity, and volumetric brain alterations consistent with established findings in adult depression.\(^11-16\)

To date, one of the most consistent and robust correlates of PO depression has been the tendency for pathological guilt.\(^17-19\) This includes both the experience of excessive guilt and infrequent or chronic maladaptive attempts to repair, amend, or correct wrongdoings (real or imagined) from which a sense of guilt emerged. For example, toddlers (between 12 and 35 months old) who manifest pathological forms of guilt before age 3 years were on average 10 times as likely as same-age peers without pathological guilt to be diagnosed as having MDD at age 5 years.\(^19\) Notably, high levels of guilt in conjunction with the chronic use of maladaptive reparation strategies (eg, rumination) to reduce excessive feelings of guilt have been shown to be a highly specific marker of preschool depression, differentiating it from other disorders, including anxiety disorders.\(^17,20\) While the etiology of the early development of guilt remains understudied, empirical data have established the important influence of caregiving behaviors, genetic factors, and experiences of adversity, stress, and trauma.\(^21-33\)

Given the central and specific role of guilt in preschool depression, it is critical to understand the neurobiological correlates of guilt in this group. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to examine pathological vs nonpathological guilt within the context of early childhood depression. There is mounting evidence from social neuroscience research indicating that structural and functional features of the anterior insula (AI) serve as a neural substrate for experiences and regulation of self-conscious emotions in general and guilt in particular.\(^34,35\) For example, researchers have used a guilt-focused autobiographical narrative task using neuroimaging methods to demonstrate the role of the AI in the experience of guilt.\(^36-37\) Investigations using functional magnetic resonance imaging have also implicated the insula in other complex social emotions such as empathy.\(^38\) Relevant to these basic brain-emotion relationships, atypical structural and activation properties of the AI have been identified in adults with past, current, and future episodes of MDD.\(^39,40\) Decreased volume of left and right AI has been detected in acutely depressed and remitted depressed adults.\(^40-48\) More specifically, variation in AI volume has been associated with MDD episode number and duration, symptom severity, and prognosis in older samples.\(^3-43,44-49\) Therefore, findings from disparate but highly related areas of social, affective, and clinical neuroscience provide empirical support for our hypothesis that preschool depression would predict AI volume reduction when measured at school age and that the early experience of pathological guilt may be an important symptom in the expected relationship between PO MDD and reduced AI volumes.

Although numerous other cortical and subcortical regions have been implicated in the processing and regulation of emotion in depressive and healthy samples, the AI is consistently implicated in the learning, processing, and regulation of social emotions such as guilt, a highly specific symptom of PO MDD. Furthermore, our focus on the AI as opposed to the posterior insula is based on extant findings that the anterior and not posterior portion of the insula has a prominent role in emotion processing. Therefore, the anterior portion of the insula was the focus of the present study based on mounting evidence for its role in depressotypic cognitions and emotion processing and its involvement in the complex social emotion of guilt.

In the present prospective longitudinal study, we investigated volume differences of the AI in a population of children who experienced depression during the preschool period compared with children who were without this history. Based on findings in older children and adults, we hypothesized that children with a history of PO MDD would have significantly smaller AI volumes than same-age peers without PO MDD, even after controlling for comorbid anxiety disorders. If AI volumes differed in relation to PO MDD, we aimed to test whether specific symptoms of MDD, particularly guilt, could be identified as a link between PO MDD and decreased AI volume. Preschool-onset pathological guilt (PO guilt) was tested as a moderator of the expected relationship between PO MDD and AI volume. That is, schoolchildren with a history of PO MDD and PO guilt were expected to have smaller insula volume than children with only one of these characteristics. The temporal na-
tecture of the data collection did not allow us to test guilt as a mediator of the hypothesized relationship between PO MDD and AI volume. However, we tested whether the expected relationship between PO MDD or preschool pathological guilt and AI volume remained significant when covarying for children's experience of stressful or traumatic life events, which are known to affect both guilt development and brain function and structure.50-52 The second major aim of the present study was to test AI volume as a candidate structural neuromarker of childhood MDD course. We hypothesized that reduced left and right AI volume would predict the likelihood of a recurrent course of MDD in later childhood.

Methods

Participants

Parental written consent and child assent were obtained before study participation. The institutional review board at Washington University in St Louis approved all procedures in accord with institutional ethical guidelines. Data were analyzed from 145 participants in the Preschool Depression Study, a prospective longitudinal study of 306 preschool-age children conducted at the Washington University School of Medicine in St Louis Early Emotional Development Program. For the original study, children 3.00 to 5.11 years old and their primary caregivers were recruited from day cares, preschools, and primary care sites in the St Louis, Missouri, area using the Preschool Feelings Checklist53 to oversample children with depression or at risk for depression. Children underwent 6 annual clinical assessments during 10 years (ie, approximately every 12 months), and a subset will have completed 3 neuroimaging sessions (ie, approximately every 18 months) between the ages of 6.11 and 12.11 years (eFigure 1 in the Supplement).

Original Preschool Depression Study participants who met all inclusion criteria based on data quality and availability were included in the present analyses. Of 306 children in the Preschool Depression Study, 145 completed the neuroimaging session and had complete data on all variables in the present analyses. Nine participants were excluded based on being born at approximately every 12 months, and the mother having an IQ of less than 80. Of the 136, an additional 7 children did not have diagnostic data available after imaging at the time of analyses, resulting in a final sample size of 129 for all proceeding analyses.

Key PO MDD Symptoms

Preschool-onset pathological guilt was based on the caregiver endorsing this item of the PAPA MDD module before the child turned 6 years. Pathological guilt in the present study is operationalized as a child perseverating on feeling guilt for minor misbehaviors or feeling guilt about behaviors that happened long ago. Pathological guilt could also include a child's statements to her parents about feeling as though she is a bad kid, as well as blaming herself for things that were not her fault. To be coded as clinically significant, the parent must have reported that the child's feelings of guilt are typically not modifiable and involve excessive self-blame. Multiple questions were asked as probes to determine children's experience of pathological guilt (yes or no). Therefore, guilt and the additional preschool symptoms examined are coded as dichotomous and do not have a dimensional equivalent obtained by the PAPA interview. Preschool-onset vegetative symptoms included caregivers' endorsement of 1 or more of the following: child displaying significant reduction in appetite, weight loss, increased need for sleep, and excessive fatigability. Preschool-onset somatic symptoms included children's frequent complaints of headaches or stomach pains not associated with any medical or nutritional basis. Each PO symptom was coded as absent or present.

Stressful or Traumatic Life Events

It has been suggested that children who experience more traumatic life events are at greater risk for becoming guilt prone.21 Children's experience of stressful or traumatic life events from baseline until the day of their imaging were assessed using the PAPA and CAPA stressful or traumatic life events modules. There are 18 stressful life events (eg, change in day care or school) and 21 traumatic life events (eg, death of a loved one) assessed in the PAPA and CAPA. The frequencies of occurrences of all types of stressful or traumatic life events were summed to create an overall stressful life event frequency and
an overall traumatic life event frequency. These modules of the PAPA and CAPA have established reliability and acceptable psychometric properties.\textsuperscript{54,58}

**Magnetic Resonance Imaging Acquisition and AI Volume Analysis**

Structural images were collected as part of a longer imaging session that also included acquisition of task-based and functional connectivity data. Imaging data were collected using a 3-T imaging system (TIM TRIO; Siemens). The T1-weighted structural images were acquired in the sagittal plane using an MPRAGE 3-dimensional sequence (repetition time, 2400 milliseconds; echo time, 3.16 milliseconds; flip angle, 8\(^\circ\); slab, 176 mm; 176 sections; 256 × 256-pixel matrix; field of view, 256 mm; and voxel size, 1 × 1 × 1 mm).

A software program (FreeSurfer version 5.1.0; http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/) was used to segment each participant’s anatomical image using the atlas by Destrieux et al.,\textsuperscript{59} allowing estimation of left and right anterior gray matter volume (excluding the posterior portion of the insula). The white and pial FreeSurfer surfaces were visually inspected and were regenerated with manual intervention to correct errors when necessary. The AI volume was taken from the "S_circular_insula_ant + G_insular_short" parcellation of the Destrieux cortical atlas. Whole-brain volume (total gray plus cortical white matter volume) was also obtained from FreeSurfer. Consistent with existing published literature,\textsuperscript{60} AI volumes were adjusted by the total segmented whole-brain volume (structure divided by whole-brain volume, times 1000) before all analyses. A Shapiro-Wilk test\textsuperscript{61,62} (P > .05) and visual inspections of their histograms, normal Q-Q plots, and box plots showed that left and right hemisphere AI volumes were approximately normally distributed for children in the PO MDD and non–PO MDD groups and that neither group differed significantly from normal.

**Statistical Analysis**

**Clinical and Demographic Differences Between Groups**

The primary analyses focused on comparisons of children with PO MDD vs those without (ie, non–PO MDD). Similarity of these 2 groups on demographic and clinical variables was evaluated using t tests and \(\chi^2\) analyses (Table). The non–PO MDD group included children with other psychiatric diagnoses, children without PO MDD but who had school-age–onset MDD, and healthy children. Given our present objectives, we did not formally test a model using a 4-level diagnostic group variable.
AI Volume Differences in Relation to PO MDD

A 2 × 2 MANCOVA was conducted to test for a main effect of PO MDD on left or right AI volume, while controlling for children's age and sex. The same MANCOVA was repeated using anxiety diagnosis up to the time of imaging (described above) as an additional covariate. The aim was to determine whether the expected effect of PO MDD on AI volume was persistent when accounting for past or current anxiety disorders.

AI Volume Differences in Relation to Specific Symptoms of PO MDD

Three separate 2 × 2 × 2 MANCOVAs were conducted to test for main effects of PO MDD and PO guilt, as well as the interaction effect of PO MDD × PO guilt on left or right AI volume using age and sex as covariates. This same MANCOVA design was repeated using PO vegetative and PO somatic symptoms. Symptoms identified as having a significant effect on AI volume were further tested after covarying for children's experience of stressful or traumatic events from baseline up until the time of imaging.

### AI Volume as a Predictor of Full MDD After Imaging

Binary logistic regression analysis was used to test whether schoolchildren's right or left AI volume predicted the likelihood of being diagnosed as having MDD any time after their imaging. This analysis included children's age, sex, MDD up to the time of imaging (yes or no), and any PO symptom (yes or no) that predicted AI volume differences.

All analyses were conducted using statistical software. We used IBM SPSS 21.0 for Macintosh (SPSS Inc).

### Results

#### Demographic and Clinical Characteristics

The Table summarizes demographic and clinical information for the PO MDD group vs the non–PO MDD group. The PO MDD status did not differ significantly in relation to children's sex, age, handedness, pubertal status, family income, or caregivers' education.

#### Covariates

None of the covariates tested had a significant effect on AI volume and thus were excluded from all remaining analyses (eTable 2 in the Supplement). In addition to the covariate analyses, we also tested whether whole-brain volume at school age differed in relation to children's prior PO MDD diagnosis, and no differences were found ($F_{1,127} = 0.51, P = .48$). This analysis was conducted to investigate whether the results could be explained by diagnostic group differences at the whole-brain volume level.

#### Does PO MDD Predict Left or Right AI Volume?

There was a significant multivariable main effect of PO MDD status on AI volume ($\Lambda = 0.94, F_{2,124} = 3.37, P = .04, d = .23$). As summarized in Figure 1 and in eFigure 2 in the Supplement, Bonferroni-adjusted pairwise comparisons demonstrated that school-age children with a history of PO MDD (left: mean [SD], 2.83 [0.22]; right: 2.86 [0.31]) compared with same-age peers without a history of PO MDD (left: mean [SD], 2.95 [0.26]; right: 2.96 [0.28]) had significantly smaller left AI volume ($F_{1,125} = 6.29, P = .01, d = .22$) but not right AI volume ($F_{1,125} = 2.83, P = .10, d = .15$), although the trend was clearly in the same direction for the right side.

#### Does PO MDD Predict AI Volume When Covarying for Other Internalizing Disorders?

Prior diagnosis of an anxiety disorder did not have a significant multivariable effect on AI volume ($\Lambda = 0.97, F_{2,125} = 2.25, P = .11, d = .18$). The multivariable effect of PO MDD on AI volume was significant at a trend level even when including children's diagnosis of anxiety up to the time of imaging as a covariate ($\Lambda = 0.96, F_{2,127} = 2.81, P = .06, d = .21$). Most important, the effect size of PO MDD remained consistent whether anxiety was or was not included as a covariate. Follow-up comparisons indicated that PO MDD was associated with significantly smaller left AI volume ($F_{1,124} = 5.61, P = .02, d = .21$) but not right AI volume ($F_{1,124} = 1.39, P = .24, d = .10$).
Are There Specific Symptoms of PO MDD That Account for Its Effect on Insula? When the main effects of PO MDD and PO guilt, as well as their interaction effect, were tested using MANCOVA, PO guilt had the only significant multivariable effect (Λ = 0.96, F_{2,122} = 7.69, P = .001, d = .33). Independent of PO MDD status, school-age children who exhibited pathological guilt during preschool age (left: mean [SD], 2.79 [0.25]; right: 2.79 [0.31]) vs those without PO guilt (left: mean [SD], 2.97 [0.23]; right: 2.98 [0.27]) had significantly smaller left AI volumes (F_{1,123} = 10.71, P = .001, d = .28) and right AI volumes (F_{1,123} = 10.34, P = .002, d = .28) (Figure 2). With PO guilt included in the model, PO MDD no longer had a significant effect on insula volume (Λ = 0.99, F_{1,122} = 0.47, P = .63), and the effect size was substantially smaller (d = .09) than it was before PO guilt was included in the model (d = .23). The PO MDD × PO guilt interaction effect was not significant (Λ = 0.99, F_{2,122} = 0.41, P = .66, d = .08).

These identical analyses were repeated using PO vegetative and PO somatic symptoms instead of guilt. The significant main effect of PO MDD on insula remained when the PO vegetative symptom was included in the model (Λ = 0.94, F_{2,122} = 3.71, P = .03, d = .24). There was no significant main effect of vegetative symptom (Λ = 0.96, F_{2,122} = 2.57, P = .08, d = .20) on AI volume, and the interaction effect of PO vegetative symptom × PO MDD on AI volume was nonsignificant (Λ = 0.99, F_{2,122} = 0.05, P = .95, d = .03). Similarly, there was no significant main effect (Λ = 0.98, F_{2,122} = 0.97, P = .38, d = .13) or interaction effect of PO somatization × PO MDD on AI volume (Λ = 0.99, F_{2,122} = 0.004, P > .99, d = .003). The main effect of PO MDD on insula was at a trend level when PO somatization was included in the model (Λ = 0.96, F_{2,122} = 2.46, P = .09, d = .20). Again, the effect size of PO MDD on left and right AI volumes remained comparable to the results without somatization in the model.

Do AI Volumes Differ in Relation to MDD Symptoms When Covarying for Stressful or Traumatic Events? We conducted a follow-up MANCOVA to test whether AI volumes differed significantly in relation to PO MDD or PO guilt when children's experiences of stressful or traumatic life events from the time of study enrollment up until the time of imaging were included as covariates. When the main effects of PO MDD and PO guilt, as well as their interaction effect, were tested using children's sex, age, and experiences of stressful or traumatic events as covariates using MANCOVA, PO guilt had the only significant multivariable effect (Λ = 0.91, F_{2,122} = 6.17, P = .003, d = .30). Independent of PO MDD status and after covarying for age, sex, and stressful or traumatic experiences, school-age children who exhibited pathological guilt during preschool age had significantly smaller left AI volumes (F_{1,121} = 7.85, P = .006, d = .25) and right AI volumes (F_{1,121} = 9.00, P = .003, d = .26).

Do AI Volumes Predict a Subsequent Depression Diagnosis? To test whether AI volume predicted MDD diagnosis after imaging, it was necessary to include children's prior diagnosis of MDD up to the time of imaging as a covariate. Preschool-onset MDD was also included as a covariate to ensure that MDD after imaging was specific to AI volume and not to a history of MDD or PO guilt up to the time of imaging. Children with an MDD diagnosis up to the time of imaging were approximately 11 times as likely as same-age peers without a prior diagnosis of MDD to have an MDD diagnosis after their imaging (odds ratio [OR], 11.38; 95% CI, 2.88-44.94; P < .01). However, even when including the robust effect of MDD up to the time of imaging, as well as children's age, sex, and PO guilt symptoms (OR, 0.86; 95% CI, 0.28-2.65; P = .79), children with larger right-side AI volumes were significantly less likely to be diagnosed as having full MDD after their imaging (diagnosed on average 14 months after the imaging date [OR, 0.96; 95% CI, 0.01-0.75; P = .03]) (Figure 3). Left-side AI volume was not significantly associated with children's MDD diagnosis after the time of imaging (OR, 2.70; 95% CI, 0.24-29.88; P = .42). Given the known association between stressful or traumatic events and greater risk for recurrence of MDD, a follow-up analysis was conducted to examine whether AI volumes predicted MDD recurrence after imaging when children's stressful or traumatic life events were included in the model as covariates. Re-
sults indicated that smaller right AI volume remained a significant predictor (OR, 0.12; 95% CI, 0.01-0.99; \( P = .04 \)) of DSM-5 MDD diagnosis after imaging when covarying for MDD from baseline up until the time of imaging, age, sex, PO guilt, and children’s stressful or traumatic life events.

Discussion

Our findings demonstrated that PO MDD was associated with decreased AI volume at school age even when covarying for the effects of sex, age at imaging, stressful or traumatic life events, and co-occurring anxiety disorders. Although PO MDD was also associated with decreased volume in the right AI at a trend level, the association was not statistically significant. When preschool guilt (an emotion consistently linked to the AI) was included in the model, it significantly predicted smaller left-side and right-side AI volume at school age. Furthermore, when guilt was included in the model, PO MDD was no longer a significant predictor of AI volume, reducing the effect of PO MDD on AI volume by almost half. Contrary to expectations, preschool guilt did not significantly moderate the effect of PO MDD on AI volume. The unique role of preschool guilt on AI volume was further supported by the finding that other symptoms of preschool depression (ie, somatic or vegetative) were not significantly related to AI volume, nor did they serve to reduce the effect size of PO MDD on AI volume when included in the model. This suggests that the association between PO MDD and smaller AI volume may be partially explained by the experience of pathological guilt before age 6 years. However, future studies using continuous measures of guilt that can better assess variation in guilt severity are needed to further test this association.

Study findings also demonstrated that reduced right-side AI volume at school age was a significant predictor of risk for future occurrences of depression in later childhood and early adolescence. This finding is consistent with data in adults using neuroimaging and lesion investigations that report aberrations in AI volume in samples with MDD, obsessive-compulsive disorder, and eating disorders.42 Most important, right-side AI volume was a significant and robust predictor of DSM-5 MDD diagnosis after imaging even when MDD diagnosis up to the time of imaging, preschool guilt, age, and sex were included as covariates. This is consistent with adult literature suggesting that AI volume reduction may represent a biological marker of depression (as well as other disorders).3,64 Extending the adult literature, these study findings provide evidence for the first structural brain biomarker to date of risk for recurrent depression in childhood. Future studies that follow up children into later adolescence and early adulthood are needed to more fully inform this risk trajectory.

Preschool guilt emerged as a unique symptom in the prediction of AI volume even over and above the diagnosis of PO MDD itself. This finding supports a risk model in which high levels of guilt experienced early in life might have an effect on the development of the AI, and reduced AI volumes might then serve as a risk biomarker for a later recurrent course of depression. Alternatively, smaller insula volumes may have predicted the experience of high levels of guilt in the risk trajectory, an issue our study cannot inform because the children were not imaged during the preschool period. Regardless of whether reduced AI volume preceded or followed preschool guilt, it served as a statistically significant biomarker of later depression recurrence. Given the specific relationships found among guilt, AI volume, and the course of MDD, future prospective longitudinal studies with larger sample sizes and longer follow-up periods should be designed to further test the direction and magnitude of these effects.

As noted above, the present findings are limited by the absence of magnetic resonance imaging data obtained during the preschool period to determine whether reduced AI volume was present before the experiences of elevated guilt. Furthermore, children’s manifestation of pathological guilt was assessed using a single item from the caregiver, a potentially important limitation given developmental findings of poor convergence between behavioral and maternal report of children’s guilt expressions.65 Future studies that carefully track guilt experiences using multiple methods and reporters (eg, teachers and day care providers) and later course of depression into late adolescence and early adulthood are needed. While guilt has shown specificity to depression in early childhood, guilt in older children, adolescents, and adults also has been significantly associated with other disorders such as obsessive-compulsive, eating, and anxiety disorders; therefore, investigations of other psychopathological outcomes in preschool samples would be of interest.56,67,68 Furthermore, it would be important in future research to distinguish between different forms and functions of guilt69,70 because at least 2 forms of guilt have been identified in older populations. Deontological guilt is the intrapsychic sense of guilt, arising out of the assumption of having offended a moral authority, broken one’s moral code, or deviated from social norms;28,67 Altruistic guilt is the interpersonal sense of guilt, associated with the tendency to feel empathy, often arising from the distress of others.69,70 In adults, deontological guilt and altruistic guilt activate different neural systems.42,74 Altruistic guilt is often related to depression in adolescents and adults,31,76 whereas deontological guilt is thought to have a stronger role in other disorders such as obsessive-compulsive, eating, and anxiety disorders.66

Conclusions

The effects of early interventions that target reductions in pathological guilt and enhancement of adaptive guilt on brain development and later depression risk represent a promising future research direction. More specifically, examining structural and functional neurodevelopment of the insula of young people at high risk for depression could inform neurobiological models of the developmental psychopathology of MDD. Such developmental models are necessary to inform the earliest possible detection, targeted preventive intervention strategies, and perhaps estimates of therapeutic prognosis. Understanding the earliest antecedents in this risk trajectory will inform how to target interventions during this early developmental period of relatively high neuroplasticity.


