Association of Anxiety and Depression With Microtubule-Associated Protein 2– and Synaptopodin-Immunolabeled Dendrite and Spine Densities in Hippocampal CA3 of Older Humans
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Context: Chronic psychological distress has deleterious effects on many of the body’s physiological systems. In experimental animal models, chronic stress leads to neuroanatomic changes in the hippocampus, in particular a decrease in the length and branching of dendrites as well as a decrease in the number of dendritic spines.

Objectives: To examine whether analogous distress-related neuroanatomic changes occur in humans and whether such changes might also be related to cognitive dysfunction observed in older people who report greater psychological distress.

Design: Postmortem study of brain tissues from participants of the Religious Orders Study, an ongoing population-based clinicopathological study of aging and cognition.

Setting: The Rush University Religious Orders Study and the University of Pennsylvania Cellular and Molecular Neuropathology Program.

Participants: Seventy-two deceased participants of the Religious Orders Study.

Main Outcome Measures: Densities of microtubule-associated protein 2–immunolabeled dendrites and synaptopodin-immunolabeled dendritic spines in the CA3 subfield of the hippocampus, quantified using semiautomated image acquisition and analysis.

Results: Higher levels of trait anxiety and longitudinal depression scores were associated with decreased densities of dendrites and spines in CA3. Dendrite and spine densities did not correlate with an index of global cognition or with densities of common age-related pathological changes.

Conclusions: Regressive neuronal changes occur in humans who experience greater psychological distress. These changes are analogous to neuronal changes in animal models of chronic stress.
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Chronic psychological distress has harmful effects on many of the body’s physiological systems, including the cardiovascular, endocrine, immune, and central nervous systems. Anxiety, depression, and other negative emotional states have been associated with increased risk of hypertension, diabetes mellitus, myocardial infarction, stroke, infection, and cancer, along with mortality due to all causes. It has long been recognized that psychological distress also has deleterious effects on cognition. In humans and experimental animal models, distress is associated with impairments in learning, memory, attention, executive functions, and other aspects of cognition. Furthermore, our group and others have reported that conditions of chronic psychological distress increase the risk of developing Alzheimer disease (AD)–like demenencias in late life. A history of major depression increases risk of clinical AD 2- to 3-fold, whereas longitudinal studies of aging persons without psychiatric illness have found that those who tend to experience more psychological distress in their day-to-day lives are at increased risk of incident mild cognitive impairment and clinical AD and have an accelerated rate of cognitive decline compared with those who experience lower degrees of distress.

Neuroanatomic investigations in human mental illnesses and animal models have identified structural and cellular morphological changes in the brain associated with high psychological distress. The hippocampus, an area important for cognition, emotion, and regulation of neuroendocrine response, has been one of the principal areas of interest. In humans, brain magnetic resonance imaging volumetric studies in depression and posttraumatic...
It has been presumed that these dendritic changes appear to be very prominent in the CA3 subfield of hippocampi from participants in the Religious Orders Study (ROS), a longitudinal clinicopathological study of aging and cognition in elderly Catholic nuns, priests, and brothers who had annual medical, neurological, cognitive, and psychological assessments annually until death.

### METHODS

**PARTICIPANTS**

All clinical and pathological data are from participants in the ROS conducted by the Rush University Alzheimer’s Disease Center with approval from the institutional review board at Rush University. Its participants are older Catholic nuns, priests, and brothers without dementia who agreed after a complete description of the longitudinal study to annual clinical evaluation and brain autopsy at death. The 72 cases used in this study were selected from the approximately 350 ROS participants who had died and undergone autopsy by 2007 (autopsy rate exceeds 90%). Demographic, clinical, and postmortem data on these participants are presented in Table 1. Cases were chosen by random selection stratification according to distress scores and the global cognitive score most proximate to death. This stratified random sampling procedure was intended to enrich the sample with a range of variables of interest without introducing bias. Preceding analyses showed that the cohort used in this study did not differ from the larger unselected group of autopsied cases from which it was drawn in terms of age, sex, education, last

### Table 1. Characteristics of the Entire Sample and by Clinical Cognitive Diagnosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Normal Cognition</th>
<th>MCI</th>
<th>AD</th>
<th>P Valuea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Characteristic</td>
<td>(N=72)</td>
<td>(n=26)</td>
<td>(n=24)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (SD), y</td>
<td>84.6 (6.4)</td>
<td>81.4 (6.0)</td>
<td>85.3 (6.5)</td>
<td>87.9 (4.9)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex, No. (%)</td>
<td>42 (58)</td>
<td>16 (57)</td>
<td>10 (50)</td>
<td>16 (67)</td>
<td>.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, mean (SD), y</td>
<td>18.6 (3.5)</td>
<td>19.1 (3.4)</td>
<td>15.6 (3.9)</td>
<td>17.9 (3.2)</td>
<td>.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postmortem interval, mean (SD), h</td>
<td>7.1 (5.6)</td>
<td>7.6 (5.6)</td>
<td>7.6 (7.0)</td>
<td>6.2 (4.4)</td>
<td>.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric, mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety-depression index</td>
<td>-0.06 (0.73)</td>
<td>-0.21 (0.50)</td>
<td>0.08 (0.84)</td>
<td>0.0 (0.85)</td>
<td>.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety Trait Scale score</td>
<td>3.2 (3.7)</td>
<td>2.6 (3.0)</td>
<td>3.5 (3.9)</td>
<td>3.7 (4.2)</td>
<td>.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression sum score</td>
<td>1.4 (1.2)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.8)</td>
<td>1.7 (1.5)</td>
<td>1.4 (1.3)</td>
<td>.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive, mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE score</td>
<td>24.2 (5.8)</td>
<td>27.9 (1.5)</td>
<td>26.3 (2.7)</td>
<td>18.3 (6.2)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global cognition score</td>
<td>-0.65 (0.74)</td>
<td>-0.04 (0.60)</td>
<td>-0.53 (0.38)</td>
<td>-1.47 (0.60)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Episodic memory score</td>
<td>-0.67 (1.1)</td>
<td>0.26 (0.36)</td>
<td>-0.50 (0.50)</td>
<td>-1.89 (0.95)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication exposure, ever, No. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antidepressant</td>
<td>27 (38)</td>
<td>10 (36)</td>
<td>8 (40)</td>
<td>9 (38)</td>
<td>.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiolytic</td>
<td>12 (17)</td>
<td>7 (25)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>4 (17)</td>
<td>.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antipsychotic</td>
<td>8 (11)</td>
<td>2 (7)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>5 (21)</td>
<td>.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estrogensb</td>
<td>13/42 (31)</td>
<td>5/16 (31)</td>
<td>2/10 (20)</td>
<td>6/16 (37)</td>
<td>.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuroanatomic, mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuron density in CA3, neurons/mm³</td>
<td>2.25 × 10³ (3.9 × 10³)</td>
<td>2.23 × 10³ (3.9 × 10³)</td>
<td>2.17 × 10³ (2.9 × 10³)</td>
<td>2.33 × 10³ (4.7 × 10³)</td>
<td>.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP2 dendrite index in CA3</td>
<td>0.96 (0.34)</td>
<td>1.05 (0.37)</td>
<td>0.92 (0.30)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.32)</td>
<td>.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synaptopodin spine index in CA3</td>
<td>51.8 (22.0)</td>
<td>54.6 (24.4)</td>
<td>47.1 (19.9)</td>
<td>52.3 (21.0)</td>
<td>.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuropathological</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHF-tau tangles in CA1, mean (SD), tangles/mm³</td>
<td>15.0 (18.6)</td>
<td>5.8 (8.2)</td>
<td>12.7 (17.2)</td>
<td>27.8 (21.4)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Amyloid plaques in CA1, mean (SD), % of area</td>
<td>0.5 (1.0)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.7)</td>
<td>0.4 (1.3)</td>
<td>0.8 (1.0)</td>
<td>.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infarcts, any, No. (%)</td>
<td>20 (28)</td>
<td>5 (18)</td>
<td>5 (25)</td>
<td>10 (42)</td>
<td>.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewy bodies, any, No. (%)</td>
<td>13 (18)</td>
<td>4 (14)</td>
<td>4 (20)</td>
<td>5 (21)</td>
<td>.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer disease; MAP2, microtubule-associated protein 2; MCI, mild cognitive impairment; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; PHF-tau, paired helical filament tau.

Based on 1-way analyses of variance for quantified variables and Pearson χ² or Fisher exact test for categorical variables.

Data available for 42 cases.
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Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) score, postmortem interval, dementia diagnoses, anxiety and depression scores, or neuropsychological features. Complete clinical data were obtained in all cases and included annual clinical evaluations until the time of death, information about the proximal cause and acuity of death and underlying medical illnesses, and usage of psychotropic and other medications.

CLINICAL EVALUATIONS

On recruitment into the longitudinal study (ie, at baseline) and annually thereafter, a standardized evaluation was conducted of each participant. This included completion of a medical history, neurological examination, cognitive testing, and ratings on psychiatric scales. The evaluations most relevant to the present study were ratings on well-established scales of anxiety (at baseline) and depression (at baseline and annually thereafter). For anxiety, we used the 10-item version of the Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D), a measure of current level of depressive symptoms. The participants were asked whether they had experienced any of 10 symptoms much of the time in the past week. The number of symptoms reported (0-10) was averaged across evaluations to provide a measure of the enduring tendency to experience depressive symptoms. A mean CES-D score was generated across all evaluations before death because scores do not systematically change over time. We found that Anxiety Trait Scale and CES-D longitudinal scores were significantly correlated (r=0.66; P<.001); therefore, they were combined into a composite anxiety-depression index of psychological distress for primary analyses, followed by secondary analyses with each measure separately.

Cognition was assessed annually with a battery of 21 cognitive performance tests, as previously described. Data from the annual examination proximate to death for each participant (all within 1 year of death) were used in the analyses herein. The MMSE was used to describe participants but was not used in the analyses, and a different test was used in diagnostic classification. The remaining 19 tests consisted of 7 tests of episodic memory, 4 tests of semantic memory, 4 tests of working memory, 2 tests of perceptual speed, and 2 tests of visuospatial ability. For each participant, the raw scores on individual tests were converted to z scores by means of the baseline mean and SD in the entire ROS cohort; z scores on component tests were averaged to yield the composite global cognition index score. Detailed information on the individual tests and on the derivation and correlates of the composite measures is contained in previous publications.

At each evaluation, participants were also asked to bring in all prescription and over-the-counter medications, which were inspected. The name and dosage were recorded and classified according to the Medi-Span list independently by a pharmacy student and a physician, as previously described in this and other cohorts.

Tissue Processing, Histology, and Immunohistochemistry

Participants’ brains were removed in a standard fashion as described previously. After weighing, each brain was cut coronally into 1-cm-thick coronal slabs, immersed fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 48 to 72 hours, and then placed in graded glycerol-dimethylsulfoxide in phosphate-buffered saline for storage (final dilutions, 20% glycerol and 2% dimethylsulfoxide). The hippocampal formation was dissected from the coronal slabs into 0.5-cm-thick coronal blocks and embedded in paraffin. Sections measuring 20 µm thick were cut from each block for cyttoarchitectural assessment following staining of Nissl substance with a 1% cresyl violet solution. On the basis of this assessment, 2 adjacent blocks from intermediate rostrocaudal levels of the hippocampal formation were selected from each case and analyzed at comparable cyttoarchitectural levels of that structure (Figure 1). We used Figure 21.11 from Insausti and Amaral as our main reference, excluding the rostral and caudal sections (a-e and f). These Nissl preparations were also used to estimate neuron densities, as described in the “Image Acquisition and Analysis” section.

From the selected hippocampal blocks, 5-µm paraffin sections were cut for immunohistochemical labeling. In earlier studies, our group determined that 5-µm thin sections optimized
the imaging and analysis of both proteins of interest and allowed sufficient immunolabeling of objects without excessive overlap that would obfuscate dendrite or spine resolution for image analysis. Dendrites were immunolabeled with the use of a rabbit polyclonal antibody against microtubule-associated protein 2 (MAP2) (AB5622; Millipore Corp, Billerica, Massachusetts) and the dendritic spine apparatus was labeled using a mouse monoclonal antibody against synaptopodin (Q44590M; Biodesign International Inc, Saco, Maine). Optimal results with both antibodies were obtained with heat-induced epitope retrieval but with different types of signal amplification (silver for MAP2 and tyramide for synaptopodin). Separate protocols were thus used for the 2 antigens of interest.

For MAP2 immunolabeling of dendrites, dewaxed sections were rehydrated in ethanol and soaked in 3% hydrogen peroxide in absolute methanol for 30 minutes to quench endogenous peroxidase activity. For antigen retrieval, sections were then boiled in 1 mM EDTA in 0.1 M TRIS buffer (pH 8.0) for 10 minutes, cooled for 20 minutes, and then immersed in 0.5% sodium borohydrate for 5 minutes followed by washes in TRIS-Trition (0.01% Triton X-100 in 0.1 M TRIS-hydrochloride buffer, pH 7.6). Sections were blocked for 45 minutes in 2% horse serum dissolved in TRIS-Trition and incubated in the MAP2 primary antibody (1: 2000) at 4°C overnight (14-18 hours), as previously described. The primary antibody was detected by incubating sections with biotinylated secondary antibody (anti-mouse IgG) for 1 hour at room temperature, treated for another hour with an avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex made from a kit (Vectastain ABC; Vector Laboratories Inc, Burlingame, California), and developed for 10 minutes with the use of diaminobenzidine chromogen. For contrast intensification of the dendritic MAP2 immunoreactive product, we used a silver-gold protocol to maximize signal amplification, as previously described. This involved treatment with methenamine silver developer incubated in a 60°C oven for 60 minutes and later immersion in 0.1% gold chloride solution for 5 minutes. Sections were cleared in xylene, dehydrated, and coverslipped with an acrylic resin (Cytoseal; Stephens Scientific, Wayne, New Jersey).

For synaptopodin immunolabeling of the dendritic spines, another protocol was used. After the dewaxing, peroxidase quenching, and antigen retrieval steps described in the MAP2 protocol, sections were rinsed twice in a TRIS-hydrohydroxydride–sodium chloride–blocking reagent followed by a series of short incubations in TSA reagents with methenamine silver developer incubated in a 60°C oven for 60 minutes and later immersion in 0.1% gold chloride solution for 5 minutes. Sections were cleared in xylene, dehydrated, and coverslipped with an acrylic resin (Cytoseal; Stephens Scientific, Wayne, New Jersey).

For synaptopodin immunolabeling of the dendritic spines, another protocol was used. After the dewaxing, peroxidase quenching, and antigen retrieval steps described in the MAP2 protocol, sections were rinsed twice in a TRIS-hydrohydroxydride–sodium chloride–blocking reagent followed by a series of short incubations in TSA reagents with methenamine silver developer incubated in a 60°C oven for 60 minutes and later immersion in 0.1% gold chloride solution for 5 minutes. Sections were cleared in xylene, dehydrated, and coverslipped with an acrylic resin (Cytoseal; Stephens Scientific, Wayne, New Jersey).

Quantitative analyses of tissue elements visualized in Nissl, MAP2, and synaptopodin preparations were conducted with algorithmic image filtering using Image-Pro Plus software (Media Cybernetics Inc, Silver Spring, Maryland). Each of these photomontages covered the stratum pyramidale in the case of Nissl preparations and both the strata pyramidale and the lucidum in the case of MAP2 preparations. For the synaptopodin preparations, random, systematic sampling was used to capture separate 1000× images in the stratum lucidum.

Image acquisition and analyses were conducted blind to case information with the use of previously optimized acquisition and image processing protocols. To quantify aspects of the CA3 area and stained objects of interest within it, grayscale images of microscopic fields in CA3 of each section were captured via a light microscope (DMRB; Leica Microsystems GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany) equipped with a motorized stage (MAC 2000; Ludl Electronic Products Ltd, Hawthorne, New York) and digital camera (Retiga Exi/QEi; QImaging, Surrey, British Columbia, Canada). For Nissl and MAP2 preparations, a series of contiguous images captured at 100× magnification in each tissue section was assembled into a high-resolution composite image (ie, a photomontage) using Image-Pro Plus software (Media Cybernetics Inc, Silver Spring, Maryland). Each of these photomontages covered the stratum pyramidale in the case of Nissl preparations and both the strata pyramidale and the lucidum in the case of MAP2 preparations. For the synaptopodin preparations, random, systematic sampling was used to capture separate 1000× images in the stratum lucidum.

Quantitative analyses of tissue elements visualized in Nissl, MAP2, and synaptopodin preparations were conducted with algorithmic image filtering using Image-Pro Plus software (Figure 2). Neurons of the stratum pyramidale of CA3 are large with virtually no overlap in size with glial cells; therefore, after the automatic delineation of discrete objects, the software was engaged to apply a size parameter (>65 µm²) to distinguish neurons from glia and count these based on cross-sectional profiles. In addition, somal area size was averaged for all the neurons counted. To moderate the effect of a split-cell artifact, we applied a Fodrures correction factor for neuron density. For the MAP2 and synaptopodin immunohistochemi-
cal preparations, we applied histogram-based optical density thresholding to limit analysis to those tissue elements exceeding background levels of immunoreactivity. More advanced applications of the software were then used to selectively identify tissue elements of interest.

To obtain a reliable index of the dendrite density, we first applied optical density, pixel contiguity, and size (>5 µm²) threshold filters to delineate all MAP2-labeled dendritic processes in the CA3 strata pyramidale and lucidum. To attenuate the inherent noise of enzymatic-based immunohistochemical labeling, which could create variability in dendritic thickness from run to run, we applied a filter that "skeletonized" the dendrites, effectively reducing imaged dendrites of any thickness to a 1-pixel width. The software calculated the total length of the skeletonized dendrites and expressed this as a percentage of the area of the entire CA3. To adjust for the unpredictable variations in tissue shrinkage due to aldehyde fixation and other aspects of tissue processing, we divided this value by the density of neurons obtained in adjacent sections to create a biologically meaningful, dimensionless index of dendrites per neuron for that case. For synaptopodin-labeled dendritic spines, we applied optical density, pixel contiguity, size (>0.05 µm²), and segmentation filters to automatically delineate dendritic spines, which appeared as labeled puncta (Figure 2). These were counted to determine the number of spines per unit of area, and this density was divided by the neuron density to create an index of spines per neuron. Finally, because 2 hippocampal blocks were analyzed per case, the indices of dendrites and spines per neuron for each block were averaged for each case to calculate 1 composite dendrite index and 1 composite spine index for each case. These indices were used for the statistical analyses.

It should be noted that the dendrites and spines we quantified arise from both the pyramidal neurons and interneurons of the stratum pyramidale. We did not attempt to differentiate between these neuronal origins. However, we conducted a separate analysis on our tissue set, immunolabeling for parvalbumin, a calcium-binding protein present in important spinous γ-aminobutyric acid–containing interneurons of the stratum pyramidale of CA3. The results of this analysis showed that less than 2% of all neurons in the stratum pyramidale of CA3 are parvalbumin-immunoreactive interneurons. Thus, we reasoned that most of the dendrites and spines measured were those of pyramidal neurons.

Our methods for high-throughput estimation of β-amyloid plaque load (the percentage of tissue area occupied by contiguous immunoreactive pixels) and PHF-tau neurofibrillary tangle density (per unit of area) have been described previously.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Our sample reflected older adults with a continuum of anxiety, depression, and cognition scores. Initial descriptive analyses examined correlations of age, sex, education, postmortem interval, psychotropic medication exposure, and other potential confounders with the principal neuroanatomic outcome variables. Significant correlations prompted inclusion in the primary regression analyses. Correlation and linear regression models were used as our primary approach to assess the relationships of the principal neuroanatomic outcome variables of interest, including neuron density, the MAP2 dendrite index, the synaptopodin spine index, and the PHF-tau neurofibrillary tangle and β-amyloid senile plaque densities with the psychiatric and cognition scores. Post hoc analyses were then conducted for the individual anxiety and depression measures. Multiple regression modeling was further used to assess the degree to which the relationship between psychological distress and cognition measures may be mediated or modified by dendrites and/or spines. One-way analysis of variance and Pearson χ² or Fisher exact tests were used to examine differences among groups of participants diagnostically classified as having clinically normal cognition, mild cognitive impairment, or AD. All statistical analyses were conducted using JMP software (version 7.0.2; SAS Institute Inc, Cary, North Carolina).

**RESULTS**

**SUBJECT CHARACTERISTICS**

Descriptive data are presented in Table 1 for the entire sample of 72 participants and for groups clinically diagnosed as having normal cognition, mild cognitive impairment, and AD according to standard diagnostic criteria.

As expected, 1-way analysis of variance demonstrated significant between-group differences for age, cognition (MMSE, global cognition, and episodic memory scores), and neurofibrillary tangle density in CA1 but not...
for other demographic, psychiatric, psychotropic medication, perimortem, or neuroanatomic and neuropathological variables.

**RELATIONSHIP OF ANXIETY AND DEPRESSION TO NEUROANATOMIC AND NEUROPATHOLOGICAL MEASURES**

As shown in Figures 1 and 2 and presented in Table 2, our analyses found significant relationships between the composite anxiety-depression index and the MAP2 dendrite and synaptopodin spine indices. Scores on this composite index of psychological distress were significantly associated with decreased densities of both the dendrites and spines. Post hoc analyses found that this was largely because of the contribution of the Anxiety Trait Scale measure for dendrites and the contributions of anxiety and depression for spines. Anxiety and depression were not related to neuron density, and there were no correlations between the psychiatric measures and hippocampal neurofibrillary tangle or senile plaque densities.

**RELATIONSHIPS OF COGNITION TO ANXIETY AND DEPRESSION AND TO NEUROANATOMIC AND NEUROPATHOLOGICAL MEASURES**

To test whether the significant effects of anxiety and depression on dendrites and spines might be related to cognition and thus mediate the relationship of psychological distress to cognition, we used linear regression modeling. First, we established the essential relationship of anxiety-depression to neuropsychological variables.

Next, we entered terms for the anxiety-depression interaction.首 descendent neurons for the interaction of anxiety-depression and neurofibrillary tangles and β-amyloid deposits. This model yielded a marginally significant association of the interaction with global cognition (mean [SE] parameter estimate, −0.01 [0.00]; P = .05) and a significant interaction with episodic memory (parameter estimate, −0.021 [0.009]; P = .03) for neurofibrillary tangles. This suggests that psychological distress may amplify the toxic effects of neurofibrillary tangles on cognition. No interactions were found for hippocampal β-amyloid deposition.

Finally, we used the same approach to assess whether the CA3 dendrite or spine indices might interact with neurofibrillary tangles to modify their association with cognition. There was no interaction effect for cognition.

**ASSESSMENT OF OTHER POTENTIAL CONFOUNDERS**

We used correlation analyses and unpaired, 2-tailed t tests to assess possible relationships of our primary data with other demographic, psychiatric, psychotropic medication, perimortem, or neuroanatomic and neuropathological variables.
a large number of potentially confounding variables. We found no relationships between psychiatric or dendrite and spine measures with age; sex; education; history of cardiovascular disease, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, cancer, and other medical illnesses; proximal causes of death; postmortem interval; global measures of neurofibrillary tangles and senile plaques (the composite of densities in multiple brain regions); presence or absence of infarcts or Lewy bodies anywhere in the brain; and current or past treatment with antidepressants, anxiolytics, antipsychotics, other psychotropic medications, or hormone therapy (Table 1).

**COMMENT**

To our knowledge, this is the first study to report a relationship between chronic psychological distress and regressive dendrite and dendritic spine changes in humans, specifically a reduction in MAP2-immunoreactive dendrites and synaptotagmin-immunoreactive dendritic spines in the CA3 subfield of the hippocampal formation. Our results are reminiscent of the extensive data in jewel fish, mice, rats, and tree shrews, which have shown that chronic stress induces atrophic changes in the architecture of neuronal dendrites and spines.26,28-31,63-66

Dendrites and spines are crucial components for synaptic function and plasticity. Changes in their density or morphological features can result in significant alterations in the connectivity of neural systems and would be expected to result in changes in the neurobehavioral functions subserved by those systems. Chronic stress exposure in rodents causes reversible atrophy of dendrites and spines in the dentate gyrus and hippocampus, as well as impairments in hippocampal-based spatial memory.68-72 Whether these anatomic and functional effects of chronic stress are causally related or are parallel phenomena has not been established yet. Although a direct pathophysiological relationship has been widely assumed because of the similar time courses of anatomic and functional changes with experimental, environmental, or pharmacological stress manipulations, important exceptions to this relationship have been recognized (for review, see Conrad).72 It is also important to note that our measures of psychological distress may be analogous but not equivalent to the presumed effects of chronic stressors used in animal experiments. Although our study found a similar association between distress and our anatomic variables of interest, we cannot assume that the pathophysiological mechanisms are similar.

Human postmortem research bears many uncontrollable factors that may confound data or their interpretation. Nevertheless, such research plays an important role in translating findings from preclinical studies to humans. Intraspecific biological and environmental variability, the reliability and fidelity of clinical assessment measures, changes that may occur during the intervals between death and the clinical assessments of participants, comorbid medical illnesses and pharmacotherapy, cause and manner of death, postmortem interval between death and tissue fixation, and other factors all may affect sensitive and dynamic neuroanatomic structures and molecular integrity. With regard to these factors, our sample has some notable strengths as well as potential weaknesses.

First, the ROS cohort from which this sample was drawn differs from the general US population in terms of educational, social, cultural, and other lifestyle factors. Many potential sources of variability are reduced in this group, possibly increasing the precision of the associations, but the generalizability of findings to other populations also may be limited. For instance, ROS participants are highly educated, generally maintain a healthy lifestyle, and are largely without histories of major psychiatric illness. The “cerebral reserve” that education and other lifestyle factors purportedly confer or reflect may have allowed study participants’ brains to tolerate more distress before anatomic changes or cognitive effects were manifest. Furthermore, although the participants we studied exhibited a range of scores on the psychological distress rating scales administered, these were generally mild. Therefore, because this study showed a significant association between distress measures and changes in hippocampal anatomy, we consider that the general population may present changes that are as great or even greater. Associations of psychological distress with cognition have been found in other cohorts, including the Rush Memory and Aging Project, a lay cohort of similar study design,6,73 and the Chicago Health and Aging Project, a longitudinal population-based study in a biracial community.15 These data suggest that, despite differences in some risk factors, associations with cognition are generally replicable.

Most postmortem neuroanatomic and molecular studies in psychiatry are typically conducted in clinical samples of patients with major psychiatric illness. Our study is unique in that it investigates the neuroanatomic and cognitive correlates of anxiety and depressive symptoms in people who have no history of major psychiatric illness. It is curious that, despite CA3 and the mossy fiber terminal zone being such a prominent focus of anatomic and molecular changes in animal models of chronic stress, there have been relatively few postmortem studies of this important hippocampal subfield in psychiatric illness. In schizophrenia, findings in CA3 have included decreased densities of mossy fiber synapses,74,75 decreased spirophilin messenger RNA expression,76 decreased dysbindin1 protein expression in the mossy fiber terminal zone,71 decreased chromogranin B and synapsin I in mossy fibers,77 and a variety of neurotransmitter receptor abnormalities.78-81 Such changes may be specific to schizophrenia or, alternatively, they may be nonspecific effects of the psychological distress that accompanies this severe mental illness. To our knowledge, there have been no similar postmortem studies in major depression or anxiety disorders that might shed light on this. Thus, it is noteworthy that, even in our nonclinical sample, we observed an association of dendrite and spine densities with trait anxiety and longitudinal symptoms of depression.

The dendrite and spine atrophy that occurs with chronic stress exposure in experimental animals is dynamic. It occurs over days and, upon discontinuation of the stress, reverts to normal.26 In our human sample, there
are likely to have been various stressors unknown to us occurring in the weeks before death. Illnesses culminating in natural deaths are major stressors, and it is not clear what effects these may have had on dendritic and spinal architecture.

Also of particular interest are medications that participants may have been taking, such as antidepressants, anxiolytics, antipsychotics, and estrogens, which have well-established though complex effects on dendrites and spines. We considered these medication exposures specifically, as well as a variety of other potentially confounding variables such as illnesses, causes of death, acuity of death, coexistent neuropathological lesions (e.g., infarction, AD lesions, and Lewy bodies), and various other medication exposures. Each of these may affect neuron, dendrite, or spine morphometry in vivo or even exert differential effects on tissue shrinkage during postmortem tissue processing. We statistically examined our neuroanatomic data for associations with each of these potential confounders but found none. Nonetheless, caution is advised in attributing the relative atrophy of hippocampal dendrites and spines solely to the enduring effects of trait anxiety and enduring depressive symptoms without applying other analytic approaches and replication in other samples.

In interpreting our investigation of dendrites and spines in light of studies of chronic stress in animal models, it is important to emphasize that we investigated the association of symptoms and traits of psychological distress and not stress per se. Exposure to stressors—whether experimental or naturally occurring, acute or chronic—should not necessarily be conflated with the experience of psychological distress because the neurophysiological, molecular, and anatomic correlates are diverse and complex.

We used a novel approach to estimate the densities of dendrites and spines in this study. Most morphometric studies of dendrites and spines in experimental animals and in human postmortem brain tissues have used Golgi staining with Scholl analyses or other labor-intensive approaches to estimate the number, lengths, and patterns of labeled processes and objects of interest in comparatively small samples. In the present study, we used a more high-throughput approach consisting of immunohistochemical labeling in thin sections for proteins that are highly enriched in the neuronal compartments of interest, i.e., MAP2 in dendrites and synaptopodin in dendritic spines. For quantitation, we used semiautomated algorithmic image analysis to segment and estimate the densities of neuronal profiles, dendrites, and spines. There are relative advantages and disadvantages to each approach. Morphological detail with Golgi staining can be exquisite, and much of the full extent of a given neuron’s dendritic tree can be measured. However, Golgi staining labels the somata, axons, dendrites, and spines of only some neurons, and which neurons it labels is unpredictable. Finally, Golgi staining is technically lengthy and challenging, variable from case to case, and does not lend itself well to larger-scale studies. In contrast, immunohistochemistry with antibodies directed at MAP2 and synaptopodin respectively label all dendrites and spines that express those proteins, is technically more uniform and robust, can be conducted in variously fixed and processed tissues, and is suitable for high-throughput analysis of relatively large samples. On the other hand, limitations of this method can include variability in enzymatic reaction product contrast and resolution, especially for dense and overlapping dendrites and spines, different shrinkages of tissue with heat-induced or other epitope retrieval methods, and vulnerability to split-object artifact inherent in 2-dimensional quantitation. We attempted to attenuate these limitations by using computer-assisted algorithmic size, shape, optical density, and pixel contiguity filtering to delineate and then measure standard 1-pixel-wide lengths of skeletonized dendrites or count segmented synaptopodin-immunoreactive puncta. These methods allowed for more reliable measurement, although they precluded measurement of certain data that may be informative, such as thickness, shape, or staining intensity. We also applied a correction factor to moderate split-cell artifact bias in our 2-dimensional neuron density determination. Finally, we “normalized” our dendrite and spine densities to the densities of neurons in CA3, thus eliminating the potential confounder of variable tissue shrinkage.

It has been suggested that chronic stress in animals causes memory impairment via stress-induced synaptic changes. Accordingly, we initially hypothesized that atrophy of dendrites and spines associated with anxiety and depression would mediate the relationship between these distress traits and cognitive impairment that our group has previously described in the ROS and Memory and Aging Project cohorts. Our analyses did not support this. One possible explanation is that our study was underpowered to detect a relationship with cognition. Another explanation is that dendrite and spine atrophy in CA3 is only one of many factors contributing to cognitive decline. Common neuropathological lesions in older people, especially neurofibrillary tangles and amyloid plaques, may have more powerful effects on cognition, obscuring the lesser effects of distress-related neuroanatomic changes in CA3. Indeed, while there was no relationship between anxiety and depression and densities of tangles and plaques in this sample, the relationship between these lesions and cognition was strong, as expected. Finally, another possibility is that dendrite and spine atrophy does not lie in a causal chain linking chronic stress and cognitive impairment, as has been proposed. Chronic stress in animals may cause neuroanatomic and behavioral changes via parallel but independent mechanisms that may not be the same in humans.

One final consideration is that human postmortem studies are limited in that they can only give a snapshot of the brain as it was at the time of death. As such, postmortem studies are useful for showing associations of clinical and brain data but cannot ascertain causal relatedness with certainty. Therefore, although animal studies of stress have shown causality in one direction, the results found in this study could imply causality in the opposite direction for humans. In other words, inherently reduced numbers of dendrites and spines could be one factor that makes a person more susceptible to distress than another.
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