Impaired Visual Object Processing Across an Occipital-Frontal-Hippocampal Brain Network in Schizophrenia
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Context: Perceptual closure is the ability to identify objects based on partial information and depends on the function of a distributed network of brain regions that include the dorsal and the ventral visual streams, prefrontal cortex (PFC), and hippocampus.

Objective: To evaluate network-level interactions during perceptual closure in schizophrenia using parallel event-related potential (ERP), functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), and neuropsychological assessment.

Design: Case-control study.

Setting: Inpatient and outpatient facilities associated with the Nathan Kline Institute for Psychiatric Research.

Patients: Twenty-seven patients with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder and 23 healthy controls.

Intervention: Event-related potentials were obtained from 24 patients and 20 healthy volunteers in response to fragmented (closeable) and control-scrambled (non-closeable) line drawings. Functional MRI was performed in 11 patients and 12 controls.

Main Outcome Measure: Patterns of between-group differences for predefined ERP components and fMRI regions of interest were determined using both analysis of variance and structural equation modeling. Global neuropsychological performance was assessed using standard neuropsychological batteries.

Results: Patients showed impaired generation of event-related components reflecting early sensory and later closure-related activity. In fMRI, patients showed impaired activation of the dorsal and ventral visual regions, PFC, and hippocampus. Impaired activation of dorsal stream visual regions contributed significantly to impaired PFC activation, which contributed significantly to impaired activation of the hippocampus and ventral visual stream. Impaired ventral stream and hippocampal activation contributed significantly to deficits on neuropsychological measures of perceptual organization.

Conclusions: Schizophrenia is associated with severe activation deficits across a distributed network of sensory and higher order cognitive regions. Deficit in early visual processing within the dorsal visual stream contributes significantly to impaired frontal activation, which, in turn, leads to dysregulation of the hippocampus and ventral visual stream. Dysfunction within this network underlies deficits in more traditional neurocognitive measures, supporting distributed models of brain dysfunction in schizophrenia.
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stream visual areas such as the lateral occipital complex (LOC).1–3 Lateral occipital complex activation, in turn, depends on convergent bottom-up and top-down processes.6–10 Prior studies have demonstrated significantly impaired LOC activation in schizophrenia along with impaired object recognition processes.11

The LOC receives convergent visual input from the magnocellular and parvocellular visual system. The magnocellular system is specialized for rapid conduction of low-resolution information to the dorsal visual stream, which plays a critical role in representation of spatial information and guiding attention and is thus commonly referred to as the “where” pathway. Dorsal stream projections to the prefrontal cortex (PFC) activate low-resolution image representations within this region,12 which in turn provide top-down “framing” input to the hippocampus and LOC regions. In contrast, the parvocellular system provides slower, higher-resolution visual information that projects directly to the LOC.13 Because of the more rapid transit of information through the magnocellular/dorsal stream pathway than through the parvocellular/ventral stream, information from the 2 pathways converges in LOC within the temporal window of object identification.

In ERP, magnocellular/dorsal stream activation is reflected in generation of visual P1 (approximately 100 milliseconds), which indexes bottom-up activation of structures such as cortical visual area V3A.5 Subsequent activation is observed over the PFC,10,14 and in individuals with implanted intracranial electrodes in the hippocampus.5 Generation of Nc1 is preceded by a marked neurophysiological synchrony between the hippocampus and LOC, suggesting that magnocellular-driven top-down information flow through the PFC/hippocampal loop provides critical framing input to the ventral stream closure process. Parvocellular/ventral stream activation is reflected in generation of the visual N1 (approximately 170 milliseconds) potential, which reflects initial parvocellular-driven input to the LOC. For simple objects that can be identified without top-down input such as illusory contour detection, closure processes are reflected by modulation of the N1 potential itself.8 However, for more complex processes,15 such as closure of fragmented images, the closure process is delayed,3 reflecting the need for convergent bottom-up and top-down processes.16 Activation of brain regions involved in the perceptual closure process are reflected as well in differential activation of the dorsal/ventral visual regions, PFC, and hippocampus to nonfragmented vs fragmented images, with the high spatial resolution of MRI complementing the high temporal resolution of ERP.

Prior schizophrenia studies have demonstrated impaired Nc1 generation using ERP along with behavioral closure impairments.11 Parallel studies, in turn, have demonstrated impaired early magnocellular/dorsal stream processing,17 suggesting potential loss of bottom-up input to PFC and hippocampal nodes of the perceptual closure network. However, assessment of the hippocampal node of this network, in particular, requires convergent fMRI/ERP assessment, as hippocampal generated activity is poorly represented in scalp ERP. The present study is the first to use a combined ERP/fMRI approach to assess network dysfunction underlying impaired perceptual closure processing in schizophrenia. Finally, the present study evaluates the relationship between ERP/fMRI activation to perceptual closure stimuli and impairments in perception-related neuropsychological tasks. In particular, the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale III (WAIS-III) includes the picture arrangement test as well as subtests, including the Perceptual Organization Index, a component of the performance IQ index.18 Similarly, the Measurement and Treatment Research to Improve Cognition in Schizophrenia (MATRICS) battery2 incorporates the Brief Visual Memory Task, which assesses visual/perceptual processing. Although performance deficits on these measures are well established in schizophrenia, the underlying brain substrates are poorly understood.

Overall, in this study, we hypothesized that (1) patients would show deficits in fMRI as well as ERP indices of dorsal stream activation and closure, (2) deficits in dorsal stream activation would predict dysfunction throughout the closure circuit, and (3) closure deficits would be correlated with impairment in performance IQ related to assessment of perceptual organization.

METHODS

PARTICIPANTS

Data were collected in 2 separate experiments. In experiment 1 (ERP), 24 male patients who met DSM-IV criteria for schizophrenia (n=22) or schizoaffective disorder (n=2) and 20 healthy volunteers (17 male) of similar age participated. In experiment 2 (fMRI), 11 patients (10 male) who met criteria for schizophrenia (n=9) or schizoaffective disorder (n=2) and 12 healthy volunteers (11 male) of similar age participated. Eight male patients and 9 controls (8 male) participated in both experiments, so that the total sample included 27 patients (26 male, 1 female) and 23 controls (20 male, 3 female). Experiment 1 consisted of a single ERP session and experiment 2 was part of a larger fMRI study.

Patients were recruited from inpatient and outpatient facilities associated with the Nathan Kline Institute for Psychiatric Research. Diagnoses were obtained using the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV.19 Healthy volunteers with a history of Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV–defined Axis I psychiatric disorder were excluded. Subjects were excluded if they had any neurological or ophthalmologic disorders that might affect performance or if they met criteria for alcohol or substance dependence within the last 6 months or abuse within the last month. Informed consent was obtained after full explanation of procedures.

Patient and control groups did not differ significantly in age (mean [SD]: patients, 38.9 [10.3] years; controls, 33.3 [10.0] years). Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale mean total score was 37.4 (SD, 9.4; n=26) and the Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms20 mean total score was 34.0 (SD, 9.4; n=26) reflecting the need for convergent bottom-up and top-down processes.16 Activation of brain regions involved in the perceptual closure process are reflected as well in differential activation of the dorsal/ventral visual regions, PFC, and hippocampus to nonfragmented vs fragmented images, with the high spatial resolution of MRI complementing the high temporal resolution of ERP.

Prior schizophrenia studies have demonstrated impaired Nc1 generation using ERP along with behavioral closure impairments.11 Parallel studies, in turn, have demonstrated impaired early magnocellular/dorsal stream processing,17 suggesting potential loss of bottom-up input to PFC and hippocampal nodes of the perceptual closure network. However, assessment of the hippocampal node of this network, in particular, requires convergent fMRI/ERP assessment, as hippocampal generated activity is poorly represented in scalp ERP. The present study is the first to use a combined ERP/fMRI approach to assess network dysfunction underlying impaired perceptual closure processing in schizophrenia.
presented on an Iiyama Vision Master Pro 502 monitor located 143 cm from the subject. Images subtended a mean 4.8° (SD, 1.4°) and 4.4° (SD, 1.2°) of angle in the vertical and horizontal planes, respectively. For fMRI, stimuli were delivered through magnetic resonance–compatible liquid crystal display goggles.

**TIMING OF STIMUlUS PRESENTATION FOR THE ERP STUDY**

For ERP, each image appeared for 750 milliseconds, followed by a blank screen (800 milliseconds), a “Y/N” prompt (200 milliseconds) requesting subjects to indicate by button press whether the object was recognizable vs scrambled, and a second blank screen (2200 milliseconds) showing the response window. If subjects pressed “Y” they were then requested to name the object. Only correctly named objects were considered correct “Y” responses. A total of 400 unique images (200 each, nonscrambled and scrambled) were presented in 8 runs (3.25 minutes/run).

**TIMING OF STIMUlUS PRESENTATION FOR THE MRI STUDY**

For fMRI, each image appeared for 500 milliseconds, followed by a 500-millisecond blank screen, resulting in a stimulus onset asynchrony of 1 second. Nonscrambled, scrambled, and complete (not used in present analyses) stimuli were presented in a block design. Each block consisted of 24 unique, nonrepeated images. Twelve blocks total (4 blocks × 3 stimulus types) were presented per subject. Order of stimuli was pseudorandomly distributed across subjects but organized such that the complete version of a stimulus was never presented prior to either the scrambled and incomplete versions. To ensure central fixation, subjects were asked to respond by button press whenever an irrelevant image (a dog, 4.2% probability) was presented.

**ELECTROENCEPHALOGRAPHY DATA ACQUISITION AND ANALYSIS**

Continuous electroencephalography was acquired using a BioSemi ActiveTwo electrode system with 168 scalp electrodes that was digitized at 512 Hz and re-referenced to the nose. Data were analyzed using BESA, version 5.1 (Brain Electric Source Analysis, MEGIS Software GmbH). Electrode channels were subjected to an artifact criterion of ±120 µV from −100 to 500 milliseconds. The vertical and horizontal electrooculograms were, in addition, visually inspected for blinks and large eye movements. For each subject, epochs were calculated for a time window from −100 to 500 milliseconds poststimulus and baseline-corrected relative to the prestimulus baseline. Accepted trials were then averaged separately for both nonscrambled and scrambled stimulus conditions to compute the visual evoked potential. Only identified stimuli were included in averages for nonscrambled stimuli. A priori analysis10,11 tested between-group differences in amplitude of the ERP components P1, N1, NCL, and frontal closure-related activity (NfCL) within predetermined spatial and temporal windows (information in legends to **Figure 2** and **Figure 3**).
fMRI DATA ACQUISITION AND ANALYSIS

Images were acquired at the Center for Advanced Brain Imaging at the Nathan Kline Institute on a 3-T MRRS (formerly SMIS, Guildford, England) MRI system. This system uses a 38-cm inner diameter gradient coil with a gradient strength of 40 mT/m, rise time of 280 microseconds, and a 30-cm inner diameter transmission line radio frequency coil (Morris Instruments). In each run, T2*-weighed echoplanar functional images (repetition time=2000 milliseconds, flip angle=90°, matrix size=64/64, field of view=224 mm, voxel size=3.5 mm3) that emphasized the blood oxygenation level–dependent response were acquired while the participant attended to the visual stimuli. High-resolution (1 mm3), T1-weighed anatomical images of the whole brain were acquired from each subject using a standard 3-dimensional magnetization-prepared rapid gradient echo pulse sequence to allow volume statistical analyses of signal changes. Head movement was minimized with the use of a custom-made head holder. In all subjects, motion never exceeded 0.75 mm along any axis.

The BrainVoyager QX software package25 was used to process the fMRI data. Each subject's data were analyzed separately. Preprocessing of functional scans included slice scan time correction, head movement measurements, removal of linear trends, and temporal high-pass filtering. Each subject's functional data were coregistered with the anatomical data. The functional data were then transformed into Talairach space26 for the multisubject analysis. Group statistical maps were obtained using a random-effect analysis of the blood oxygenation level–dependent signal time series. To estimate the blood oxygenation level–dependent response associated with each condition, regressors representing the timing of each of the stimulation epochs were convolved with a canonical function adjusted with a double-gamma hemodynamic response delay27 and used in a multiple regression analysis. The resulting statistical maps were then grouped to obtain activation maps (Figure 4 and Figure 5). The P values of the statistical maps were corrected for multiple comparisons28,29 and z-normalized. Different conditions were then contrasted using a general linear model.30,31

Based on an a priori hypothesis, the coordinates from the intracranial generators of the P1, NCL,NfCL,10 and hippocampus3 were used to define regions of interest (ROIs) centered around these coordinates with a spread range of 10 mm3. These resulted in an ROI at the dorsal visual stream, LOC, PFC, and hippocampal formation, respectively, from which the parameter estimates (B values) were derived and subjected to a multivariate analysis of variance.

CLINICAL VARIABLES

In addition to ERP and fMRI, several relevant neuropsychological measures were administered. These included the WAIS-
and N\textsubscript{CL}\textsuperscript{33} and for each of the 4 ROIs in fMRI studies (dorsal stream, LOC, PFC, and hippocampus). Analyses were conducted using SPSS software (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois), with a between-subjects factor of group (patients and controls) and within-subjects factors of condition (nonscrambled and scrambled) and hemisphere. All tests were 2-tailed with a preset \( \alpha \) level of \( P < .05 \).

Interrelationship among measures was determined by linear regression, supplemented with structural equation modeling (path analysis). Path analysis was implemented using AMOS 7.0 (SPSS Inc).\textsuperscript{34} Selection among alternative models was determined by minimizing \( \chi^2 \) variance, with paths entered according to the criterion \( \chi^2 \) to include \( (d_{\text{without}} - d_{\text{with}}) \). Residual error and goodness of fit measures, including minimum sample discrepancy/df, root mean square error of approximation, and normed fit index, were used to assess model integrity. All significance levels are 2-tailed with a preset \( \alpha \) level for significance of \( P < .05 \). Values in the text are mean (SD) unless otherwise specified.

**RESULTS**

**BEHAVIORAL RESULTS**

Patients recognized the nonscrambled objects 50.6% of the time vs 67.5% for controls (\( t_{42} = 3.7, P = .002 \)). However, both groups scored substantially above chance, which was essentially 0, as the task consisted of naming the object with no information other than the fragmented image. The groups did not differ in their reaction times (patients, 1647 milliseconds [503 milliseconds]; controls, 1870 milliseconds [388 milliseconds]; \( t_{42} = 1.6, P = .1 \) ). Control values are similar to those obtained previously.\textsuperscript{10}

**ELECTROPHYSIOLOGICAL RESULTS**

The ERP measures were assessed at predefined electrodes within predefined intervals based on prior studies with these stimuli in normal volunteers.\textsuperscript{10} Separate analyses were conducted for the sensory components P1 and N1 and for closure-related components over LOC (N\textsubscript{CL}) and PFC (N\textsubscript{CL}).

**SENSORY POTENTIALS**

P1 was maximal over dorsal stream electrodes (PO5/PO6) within the 90- to 110-millisecond interval (Figure 2). P1 amplitudes were significantly reduced in patients relative to controls (\( F_{1,42} = 7.0, P = .008 \)). The group \( \times \) hemisphere (\( F_{1,42} = 4.3, P = .045 \)) interaction was also significant, reflecting differential asymmetry between groups. Group \( \times \) condition (\( F_{1,42} = 1.3, P = .3 \)) and group \( \times \) condition \( \times \) hemisphere (\( F_{1,42} = 0.02, P = .9 \)) interactions were not significant, reflecting similar P1 amplitude to nonscrambled and scrambled stimuli.

N1 was maximal over ventral stream electrodes (PO7/PO8) during the 160- to 180-millisecond latency range. N1 amplitude (Figure 3) was not significantly different between groups (\( F_{1,42} = 1.8, P = .19 \)). Group \( \times \) hemisphere (\( F_{1,42} = 0.96, P = .33 \)), group \( \times \) condition (\( F_{1,42} = 0.05, P = .82 \)), and group \( \times \) condition \( \times \) hemisphere (\( F_{1,42} = 0.62, P = .44 \)) interactions were also nonsignificant.

---
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\( P < .05, \text{corrected} \)

**Figure 4.** Functional magnetic resonance imaging group activation maps for controls and patients in response to the nonscrambled and scrambled conditions. The functional data are presented on the Talairach-normalized inflated brain of a single subject. All data are \( P < .05 \), corrected for multiple comparisons across the entire image volume. Areas of significant blood oxygenation level–dependent signal in the visual stream and prefrontal cortical regions are observed. LH indicates left hemisphere; RH, right hemisphere.
Figure 5. Functional magnetic resonance imaging responses for the nonscrambled vs scrambled comparison in controls, patients, and the difference between the 2 groups. In the functional magnetic resonance imaging activation maps for each group, red indicates a positive (nonscrambled > scrambled) and blue indicates a negative (nonscrambled < scrambled) direction in the blood oxygenation level-dependent (BOLD) signal change. Thus, the maps of the difference between the 2 groups illustrate areas of significant BOLD signal increase in controls compared with patients. The bar graph shows the magnitude of the BOLD signal change in response to the nonscrambled vs scrambled stimuli in controls and in patients at each region of interest (ROI), namely, the dorsal visual stream, ventral visual stream, lateral frontal cortex, and hippocampal formation. Significant differences between controls and patients are observed at the 4 ROIs bilaterally. Error bars indicate standard error of the mean; LH, left hemisphere; RH, right hemisphere.
CLOSURE-RELATED POTENTIALS

Closure-related activity was observed primarily over the LOC, with a smaller contribution over frontal regions (Figures 3). As in prior studies, the NCL was defined as the mean amplitude within a 300- to 330-millisecond latency range over left and right ventral stream electrodes (PO7/PO8). The expected significant main effect of condition was observed ($F_{1,42}=22.4, P<.001$), indicating differential activity across groups to nonscrambled vs scrambled stimuli. A significant group $\times$ condition was also found ($F_{1,42}=4.8, P=.04$), indicating reduced differential activity to nonscrambled vs scrambled in patients ($F_{1,19}=3.9, P=.06$) vs controls ($F_{1,19}=20.0, P<.001$). No significant main or interaction effects involving hemisphere were observed. Group $\times$ hemisphere ($F_{1,21}=0.15, P=.7$), condition $\times$ hemisphere ($F_{1,21}=0.94, P=.3$), and group $\times$ condition $\times$ hemisphere ($F_{1,21}=1.18, P=.3$) interactions involving LOC were not significant.

Bilateral differential activity was also observed over lateral-frontal scalp regions (FC5/FC6) within the NCL time frame ($F_{1,42}=9.08, P=.004$). The group $\times$ condition interaction was not significant ($F_{1,42}=0.345, P=.56$).

fMRI RESULTS

Both experimental conditions (nonscrambled and scrambled) activated widespread and substantially overlapping cortical networks (Figure 4). Blood oxygenation level–dependent activation patterns were assessed within predefined ROIs based on prior studies with these stimuli in normal volunteers.10 We investigated 4 brain regions—the dorsal visual stream, ventral visual stream, lateral PFC, and hippocampal formation—using multivariate analysis of variance, which revealed significant main effects of region ($F_{3,19}=7.9, P=.001$) and hemisphere ($F_{1,21}=11.8, P=.003$). No significant main effect of group was observed ($F_{1,21}=2.23, P=.15$), indicating absence of significant differences in the general activation of these brain regions across the 2 groups. However, significant effects for group $\times$ condition ($F_{3,19}=37.4, P<.001$), group $\times$ hemisphere ($F_{1,21}=5, P=.03$), and region $\times$ hemisphere ($F_{1,19}=4.5, P=.02$) were observed. No other significant interactions were found. Following a main effect of region, a set of preplanned analyses of variance were carried out to unpack the results observed at each region. Significant group $\times$ condition interactions were observed at all 4 regions bilaterally, indicating reduced closure-related activity in patients relative to controls (Table 1, Table 2, and Figure 5).

CORRELATION WITHIN ERP AND fMRI MEASURES

To determine contributions of early stage measures to subsequent closure-related activity, separate sets of analyses were conducted for ERP and fMRI data. For ERP data, correlational analyses using the differential activity to nonscrambled vs scrambled stimuli were used. However, because the hippocampal node was not represented in scalp-related activity, formal path analysis was not used. For fMRI data, a path analysis explored the interrelationship between ROIs, as well as the effect of cohort on pattern of interrelationships. Because only 8 subjects participated in both ERP and fMRI studies, correlation across modalities was not possible.

Event-Related Potentials

For both patients and controls, P1 amplitude correlated significantly with amplitude of Nf$_{CL}$ (patients, $r=0.64, P=.001$; controls, $r=0.53, P=.01$). Also, in both groups, strength of Nf$_{CL}$ correlated significantly with amplitude of Nf$_{CL}$ (patients, $r=0.75, P<.001$; controls, $r=0.76, P<.001$). For patients ($r=0.64, P=.001$), but not controls ($r=0.28, P=.24$), deficits in P1 generation correlated significantly with deficits in Nf$_{CL}$ generation over LOC.
Functional MRI

Interrelationship among regions was assessed using path analysis. An iterative model was used, with paths added to the model only to the extent that they statistically reduced free variance. Significant paths were observed from the dorsal visual stream to PFC, PFC to LOC, and PFC to hippocampal formation. In addition, a bidirectional interaction was observed between hippocampal formation and the ventral visual stream (Figure 6). When group was entered into the model, significant independent effects of diagnosis were observed on dorsal stream and frontal nodes, but not with hippocampal formation or ventral visual stream, suggesting significant effects of pathological processing primarily on processing within dorsal stream and frontal regions.

CORRELATION BETWEEN ERP/fMRI AND CLINICAL MEASURES

Mean performance IQ in patients with schizophrenia was 86.7 (17.3), which is significantly lower than the normative mean of 100 (t=3.68, P=.001). Patients showed significant reductions in picture arrangement (t=2.25, P=.03), Perceptual Organization Index (t=2.62, P=.02), Processing Speed Index (t=10.8, P=.001), and WMI scores (t=3.46, P=.002) relative to published norms, with greater deficit on the Processing Speed Index relative to the Perceptual Organization Index (t=3.31, P=.003) (Table 3).

Deficits in fMRI activation of the LOC correlated significantly with picture arrangement scores as well as the overall Perceptual Organization Index and matrix reasoning subtest. In contrast, no significant correlation was observed between LOC activity and Processing Speed Index, WMI, or BVMT-R scores (Table 3). Significant correlations were also observed between hippocampal activation and several indices of visual processing as well as with BVMT-R score. No significant correlations were observed between frontal or dorsal ROI activations and indices of perceptual closure (all, P>.2). Similar correlations were observed with differential N1 activation to nonscrambled vs scrambled stimuli vs both picture arrangement (n=24, r=-0.48, P=.02) and Perceptual Organization Index (r=-0.41, P=0.048) scores, but not with Processing Speed Index (r=-0.11, P=.61), WMI (r=-0.24, P=.29), or BVMT-R (r=-0.24, P=.29) scores. Reduced NCL generation correlated significantly with higher scores on Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale total (n=24, r=0.46, P=.03), positive (r=0.44, P=.03), and general (r=0.45, P=.03) symptoms.

COMMENT

We have previously demonstrated reduced perceptual closure ability in schizophrenia using both behavioral and ERP measures, along with impaired generation of the dorsal stream P1 potential, suggesting significant con-
tribution of early visual impairments to more complex forms of visual processing. Since that time, additional evidence has accumulated regarding the functional anatomy of the perceptual closure process using scalp ERP, fMRI, and direct intracranial recordings in humans, permitting more detailed hypothesis-driven analysis of underlying physiological disturbances in schizophrenia.

This study confirms and extends previous findings in 4 ways. First, it replicates the prior reports of impaired P1 and N170 generation using a more efficient paradigm we recently used in healthy individuals.13,14 Second, it combines ERP findings with results of parallel fMRI investigation, permitting an improved characterization of the deficit in schizophrenia, while third, providing a direct between-group comparison of fMRI activation patterns in patients and controls. Finally, neuropsychological data were collected to enable the characterization of the functional neuroanatomy of closure processes more fully within the context of neuropsychological dysfunction in schizophrenia.

Here, as in previous studies, the earliest deficits were found in generation of the dorsal stream P1 potential, suggesting failure of magnocellular and/or early-stage cortical processing, followed by impaired generation of N170. Although cortical visual area V1 activation was not assessed in this study relative to fragmented images, patients participating in this study were previously found to have reduced activation to magnocellular/dorsal stream input. However, intrinsic abnormalities in prefrontal and hippocampal function and structure have also been reported, leaving the degree to which intrinsic prefrontal and hippocampal pathology and deficit in top-down processes may contribute to perceptual closure ability unresolved as well.

As in our earlier studies, prominent closure-related activity was observed in nonscrambled vs scrambled objects in the LOC, consistent with the concept that LOC represents the locus of conscious object identification. However, several lines of evidence suggest that activation deficits were not due to intrinsic structural dysfunction within the LOC.

First, generation of the N1 potential, which reflects initial activation of the LOC primarily via the parvocellular stream, was unimpaired. Second, we have previously demonstrated intact N1 modulation in schizophrenia by illusory contour stimuli. As opposed to perceptual closure, which depends on recursive processing between brain regions and thus occurs significantly after the visual N1, illusory contour-related activity overlaps the N1, suggesting that it can be processed during the feed-forward sweep. Behavioral modulations of perceptual closure, such as repetition and word priming are also relatively intact, suggesting intact intrinsic function of the LOC and modulation by top-down influences.
Third, in our path analysis, illness-related effects were observed only at the level of the dorsal stream visual cortex, and, to a lesser extent, PFC, suggesting that impaired activation of the LOC in this task was driven primarily by impaired input from preceding stages of cortical analysis. Other studies have also demonstrated intact ventral stream function to stimuli like faces using behavioral43 and fMRI45 measures.

Finally, this study assesses closure-related activity relative to traditional neuropsychological measures. Performance IQ in the WAIS is made up of 2 indices: the Perceptual Organization Index and Processing Speed Index. Reduced performance IQ is a hallmark of schizophrenia and highlights the importance of correlations with regions as well. Deficits in early stages of processing contribute significantly to impairments in subsequent frontal, hippocampal, and ventral stream processing. Dysfunction within this network also contributes to overall performance IQ impairments in schizophrenia, with particular relevance to functions relating to processing of complex visual scenes. Overall, sensory input dysfunction must be considered a strong contributor to neurocognitive dysfunction in schizophrenia.
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