Laterobasal Amygdalar Enlargement in 6- to 7-Year-Old Children With Autism Spectrum Disorder
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Context: There is substantial imaging evidence for volumetric abnormalities of the amygdala in younger children with autism spectrum disorder (ASD). The amygdala can be divided into functionally distinct laterobasal, superficial, and centromedial subregions. To date, we are not aware of any in vivo reports specifically assessing subregional amygdalar abnormalities in individuals with ASD.

Objectives: To evaluate alterations in subregional amygdalar morphology in children with ASD compared with typically developing (TD) children and to examine the relationships with ASD symptom severity.

Design: A cross-sectional study encompassing a narrow age range of children with ASD and age-matched TD children that evaluated magnetic resonance imaging–defined subregional morphology of the amygdala using a novel subregional analytic method.

Setting: Participants were recruited and clinically evaluated through the University of Washington Autism Center and imaged at the Diagnostic Imaging Sciences Center at the University of Washington. Imaging data were analyzed through the Brain Imaging Laboratory at the Seoul National University.

Participants: Fifty-one children 6 to 7 years of age (ASD, n=31 and TD, n=20) were assessed using magnetic resonance imaging and behavioral measures.

Main Outcome Measures: Volume and subregional measures of the amygdala and measures of social and communication functioning.

Results: The ASD group exhibited larger right and left amygdalae, by 12.7% and 11.0%, respectively, relative to the TD group. Subregional analysis revealed that the ASD group had enlarged laterobasal amygdalar subregions, relative to the TD group, after adjusting for age, sex, and hemispheric cerebral volume (P<.05, false discovery rate corrected and with clustered surface points >15). Exploratory analyses revealed that there were linear trends comparing a strictly defined subgroup of children with autistic disorder, who exhibited the greatest extent of laterobasal enlargement, followed by a subgroup of children with pervasive developmental disorder not otherwise specified and then the group of TD children (P for linear trend <.001). There were linear trends between enlargement of laterobasal subregions and lower levels of social and communication functioning (P<.001, P<.001, and P=.001 for 3 areas in the right laterobasal subregion; P<.001 for 1 area in the left laterobasal subregion).

Conclusion: The current study demonstrates bilateral enlargement of laterobasal subregions of the amygdala in 6- to 7-year-old children with ASD and that subregional alterations are associated with deficits in social and communicative behavior.
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Among the more consistent findings have been alterations in brain volume,16-18 frontal lobes,19,20 cerebellum,21-23 corpus callosum,24-26 and amygdala.17,27-30 Increasingly, there has been an appreciation that brain structural alterations in individuals with ASD may be age related, with the occurrence of volumetric abnormalities, particularly cerebral and amygdalar enlargement, more consistently observed in younger children.17,30-33 There also have been efforts to characterize subregional anatomical differences in vivo using large-deformation high-dimensional brain mapping methods, which revealed characteristic subregional hippocampal deformations in 3- to 4-year-old children with ASD, predominantly in the vicinity of the subiculum, that are correlated with neuropsychological test results of medial temporal lobe function.34

The amygdala may play a pivotal role in the pathophysiology of ASD, since it orchestrates various aspects of social cognition and emotion.35 Electrophysiological and functional neuroimaging studies in healthy volunteers indicate a crucial role of the amygdala in processing the social signals of body motion, facial expressions, and eye gaze.36-38 Functional brain imaging studies in individuals with ASD also suggest that core symptoms of ASD are associated with amygdalar dysfunction.39,40 A study that assessed neuroimaging predictors for the trajectory of social and communicative development in 3- to 4-year-old children with ASD found that amygdalar volume was inversely related to the rate of social and communicative development in 3- to 4-year-old children with ASD, predominantly in the vicinity of the subiculum, that are correlated with neuropsychological test results of medial temporal lobe function.34

Studies of amygdalar anatomy or dysfunction in ASD also more consistently implicate involvement of the right amygdala, although the basis for this observation is not yet established.17,36-40 Consistent with considerations of age-related anatomical findings in ASD (eTable 1 and eFigure 1, http://www.archgenpsychiatry.com), a recent postmortem neuropathological study suggested an early overgrowth of the amygdala in younger children with ASD, followed by a failure to maintain continued growth of the amygdala during later childhood and adolescence.41

The amygdala can be divided into laterobasal, superficial, and centromedial subregions having differential arrays of cortical and subcortical connections and thus distinct functional relationships.42-44 Postmortem studies indicate individuals with ASD have cytoarchitectonic abnormalities in specific amygdalar subregions, mostly involving the lateral nucleus.41 The laterobasal subregion, which includes the lateral nucleus, has reciprocal projections with widespread brain regions that are associated with reception of sensory information including visual stimuli of facial expression and body posture and auditory stimuli of voices and intonations.45 It also has afferent and efferent connections with various neocortical and striatal brain regions.13,43-45 This wide array of connections is likely to subserve comprehension of social context and help to modulate actions and affective states.43,45 It is, therefore, important to evaluate whether amygdalar volumetric abnormalities in ASD are, to some extent, specific to the laterobasal subregion. To the best of our knowledge, there have not been any prior in vivo studies that examined the subregional specificity of amygdalar volume changes in ASD.

Children with ASD were imaged for the current study at age 6 to 7 years. This group is a subset of children with ASD previously studied at 3 to 4 years of age.17 Based on our prior findings of amygdalar morphometric differences, and functional relationships to social and communicative development between 3 and 6 years of age,17,40 we hypothesized that children with ASD would have enlarged amygdalar volumes and that amygdalar enlargement would be regionally localized to the laterobasal subregion, reflecting its key role in social cognition. High-resolution magnetic resonance images (MRIs) were acquired and analyzed using a novel subregional analytic method using probabilistic subregioning to examine subregional morphometric features of the amygdala. We further examined relationships between the extent of amygdalar subregional enlargement and clinical severity in children with ASD.

METHODS

Thirty-one children with ASD and 20 children with typical development (TD) were evaluated in the current study. The ASD group consisted of 20 children with autistic disorder (AD) (15 boys and 5 girls; mean age, 79.0 months; age range, 73-88 months) and 11 children with pervasive developmental disorder not otherwise specified (PDD-NOS) (9 boys and 2 girls; mean age, 77.5 months; age range, 73-88 months). The TD comparison group consisted of 12 boys and 8 girls (mean age, 78.5 months; age range, 72-94 months). With the exception of 2 subjects new to the study at age 6 years, subjects in the ASD group had entered the study and previously been assessed and scanned at age 3 to 4 years.17 The ASD and TD groups were matched for chronological age and sex. Children with neurologic disorders, including seizures; physical abnormalities; neurologic impairment in motor or sensory function, including deafness and blindness; or genetically defined disorders (eg, fragile X syndrome), were excluded. Subjects who had any contraindications for MRI, including metal implants or prostheses, were also excluded. Written informed consent, approved by the University of Washington Internal Review Board, was obtained from parents of all participating children.

Diagnosis of ASD was made by experienced clinicians according to criteria in the DSM-IV56 and using the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule–Generic57 and the Autism Diagnostic Interview–Revised.58 The ASD group was further divided into subgroups of AD and PDD-NOS based on the extent of symptom manifestation, integrating findings from the clinical assessment and the 2 diagnostic instruments, using criteria applied in a consistent manner."Specifically, children in the AD group met criteria for autism on the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule–Generic or Autism Diagnostic Interview–Revised (or within 2 points of criteria) and by DSM-IV–based clinical assessment. The PDD-NOS diagnosis was made if the child met social criteria for autism on the Autism Diagnostic Interview–Revised but did not meet full criteria in the other symptom domains or was classified as having “ASD” (not AD) on the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule–Generic and as having PDD-NOS by DSM-IV–based clinical assessment. Adaptive functioning in the domains of communication, socialization, and daily living skills were evaluated in children with ASD using the Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales (VABS).59 Intellectual ability was assessed using the Differential Ability Scales–General Conceptual Ability (DAS GCA).60 The DAS GCA re-
ports scores with a mean (SD) of 100 (15), providing estimates for intelligence level. Among the ASD group, 16 children had scores less than 70 (mean, 52.6; range, 44-68), 14 children had scores more than 70 (mean, 91.8; range, 71-116), and 1 was not assessed with DAS.

A telephone interview with parents was first conducted to screen out a history of learning difficulties, autism spectrum–related difficulties, or the presence of earlier-mentioned exclusionary criteria for those children participating in the TD group. Children who passed this screening were then evaluated directly to further verify TD. The social and communication subscales of the VABS parent interview were assessed for all TD participants. All these scores were in the adequate range or higher (mean [SD] social domain score, 101.1 [11.4]; range, 86-120; mean [SD] communication domain score, 98.5 [9.7]; range, 80-120). A subset of TD children (n=13 with usable MRI data) whose parents agreed to further testing were assessed using the DAS GCA and all were in the average range or higher (mean [SD] score, 116.2 [13.1]; range, 97-137).

MRI ACQUISITION AND AMYGDALAR TRACING

Detailed procedures for MRI scanning of participants are described in the report of Sparks et al. All participants were studied on the same 1.5-T General Electric Signa scanner (General Electric Medical Systems, Milwaukee, Wisconsin) used at age 3 to 4 years. T1-weighted coronal images with contiguous 1.5-mm slices were obtained using 3-dimensional spoiled gradient echo pulse sequence (repetition time=33 milliseconds, echo time=minimum, 256×256 matrix, field of view=22 cm, flip angle=30°). Axial proton density and T2-weighted images were also acquired for inspection of any gross brain abnormalities (repetition time=2000 milliseconds, echo time=13/91 milliseconds, field of view=22 cm, 256×160 matrix, slice thickness=2.5 mm, no skip).

Propofol sedation was used for scanning children with ASD whereas TD children were imaged late at night while asleep, which minimized motion artifacts. Most studies had good to excellent image quality with minimal motion artifact according to the Iowa MHCRC image rating scale. After review, data from 2 TD children (2 of 22) were discarded because of inadequate MRI quality. Manual tracing of the amygdala to produce binary amygdalar images was performed using MEASURE. A rater blinded to participants’ identity traced the amygdala based on anatomical definition of the amygdala as described by Honeycutt et al. Fifteen cases were traced 3 times by an experienced and trained rater. Intrarater intraclass correlation coefficients were 0.98 and 0.96 for the right and left amygdalae, respectively. Interrater intraclass correlation coefficients with another experienced and trained rater were 0.95 and 0.92 for the right and left amygdalae, respectively.

SUBREGIONAL ANALYSIS

The 3-dimensional surface of each amygdala was constructed from the 2-dimensional slices of the binary amygdalar images using a marching cube algorithm. Smoothing of the surface was performed with a 3-dimensional Laplacian algorithm.

A 2-step process was used for amygdalar registration. In the first step, the x-, y-, and z-axes of the amygdalae were calculated using principal component analysis. All amygdalae were rotated for these axes to be aligned and translated for the origins of the axes so that the center of inertia was positioned in the same coordinate. In the second step, an iterative closest point algorithm was applied for fine registration. This algorithm registers structures based on local features of the contours. Using the iterative closest point algorithm, individual amygdalae were registered to an amygdalar template, customized for use in this study by averaging aligned amygdalae from all subjects. This 2-step registration process allowed the matching points of individual amygdalar surfaces to accurately correspond with those of the template amygdala. Because manual correction can be performed in the case of misregistration, during each step the registration process was visually inspected and verified. Among 102 right or left amygdalar image sets, 14 (7 of 62 and 7 of 40 for the ASD and TD groups, respectively; χ²=0.79, P=.37) required manual corrections of principal component analysis alignment.

One thousand surface points were distributed on the surface of the amygdala using spherical mapping methods. Spatial distances from the center of inertia to evenly distributed surface points were measured. Euclidean distances from the center of inertia to surface points of the amygdala, ie, radii of the amygdala, were subjected to statistical modeling. The procedure to obtain probabilistic maps for each subregion of the amygdala was based on stereotaxic probabilistic maps of right and left amygdalar subregions, as described in the Amunts and colleagues report, wherein the amygdalae of 10 postmortem brains were subdivided into lateral, superficial, and centromedial subregions based on microscopic cytoarchitectonic characteristics. The 3-dimensional probabilistic maps for determining each amygdalar subregion are available. These were constructed from digitized histological sections and registered to the standard Montreal Neurological Institute space. Using these probabilistic maps, 3-dimensional amygdalar surfaces were reconstructed with an isosurface of 30% probability. This isosurface was affine-transformed to the template amygdala of our sample and then registered to the template amygdala using principal component analysis and iterative closest point. The matrix used in the registration process from the isosurface amygdala to the template amygdala and its reverse matrix were calculated. By overlaying reverse matrix-transformed template amygdala on the probabilistic maps, the probability that a surface point of the template amygdala belongs to each subregion was calculated. Finally, surface probabilistic maps of our template amygdala were produced as shown in Figure 1A and eFigure 5. For the right amygdala, the laterobasal subregion occupied 61% of surface points, 30% superficial and 9% centromedial. For the left amygdala, the laterobasal subregion occupied 53%, 36% superficial and 11% centromedial.

Considering that the normal shape and size of amygdalar subregions in an older population can be different from those of a pediatric subject, we also visualized the boundaries of subregions based on the relative subregional positions and proportions using amygdalar histological sections from the postmortem brain of a 10-year-old who had a sudden cardiac death (Figure 1B). Subregional boundary map findings based on this pediatric brain demonstrate that the relative positions and proportions of the amygdala are similar to those from the adult probabilistic map, except that the relative proportion of the superficial subregion is smaller. Detailed procedures of tissue preparation, staining, and subregion boundary delineation are presented in eAppendix 2 and eFigure 6.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Independent-sample t tests, 2-tailed, were used to compare continuous variables of participants’ demographic and clinical char-
acteristics (age and DAS and VABS scores) between ASD and TD groups. A Pearson $\chi^2$ test was used to assess relationships for sex. Generalized linear models were used for analyzing between-group differences in amygdalar volume and radii from the center of inertia to surface points. Age, sex, and hemispheric cerebral volume were included as covariates in the model. Statistical significance was defined at an $\alpha$ level less than .05. Multiple comparisons were corrected with false discovery rate. As an additional measure to reduce the chances of false-positive findings, only clusters with more than 15 surface points were retained. The mean radii of the clusters were extracted for further analyses.

Three sets of auxiliary analyses were conducted to assess the potential influence of general cognitive ability. First, DAS GCA levels were covaried to assess between-group subregional morphology differences: subjects were subgrouped as having moderate cognitive impairment (DAS GCA score $\leq 50$; $n = 7$), mild cognitive impairment (50$< \text{DAS GCA score} < 70$; $n = 9$), and low/normal cognitive level (DAS GCA score $\geq 70$; $n = 34$). One child with ASD without assessment with DAS was excluded from this set of analyses. Seven children in the TD group without DAS testing were regarded to belong to the low/normal cognitive level subgroup based on parent interview and clinician observation. Second, within the ASD and TD groups, areas of significant associations between cognitive ability and radii were analyzed based on the same significance criteria of false discovery rate correction and cluster size thresholding and identified on the template amygdala, after adjusting for age, sex, and hemispheric cerebral volume, to test whether cognitive ability–associated amygdalar areas were distinct from those affected by ASD. To examine whether cognitive ability is differently associated with morphological changes in the TD group as compared with the ASD group, a group $\times$ DAS GCA score interaction term was tested in the model. Areas with significant interactions were identified on the template amygdala. Third, the extracted mean radii of the clusters with significant group differences were subjected to the model including a group $\times$ categorized DAS GCA score interaction term as an additional independent variable to test whether there was a significant interaction between diagnostic group and general cognitive ability and whether diagnostic group effects remained significant when accounting for this potential interaction. Trend analyses were performed to investigate the radii differences of the clusters according to groups of TD children, chil-

Figure 1. Probabilistic maps of laterobasal, superficial, and centromedial amygdalar subregions shown on the template amygdala (A), and 3-dimensional rendering of amygdalar subregions approximated based on subregional divisions of histological amygdalar sections from a pediatric postmortem brain (B). CM indicates centromedial subregion; LB, laterobasal subregion; SF superficial subregion. A, The surface probabilistic maps of 3 amygdalar subregions are shown. Blue represents the laterobasal subregion; green, superficial; and pink, centromedial. Transition areas are expressed as the colors in between blue, green, and pink. The amygdalae are shown being rotated in a 45° clockwise order. For the right amygdala, the laterobasal subregion occupied 61% of surface points, 30% superficial and 9% centromedial. For the left amygdala, the laterobasal subregion occupied 53%, 36% superficial, and 11% centromedial. Probabilistic subregional maps of each subregion are shown in figure 2. B, Three-dimensional rendering of amygdalar subregions that were approximated based on subregional divisions at amygdalar histological sections from a postmortem 10-year-old brain. Blue-tone colors represent the laterobasal subregion (within the laterobasal subregion, dark blue indicates the lateral nucleus; blue, basolateral; and light blue, basomedial); green, the superficial subregion; and pink, the centromedial subregion. The amygdalae are shown being rotated in a 45° clockwise order.
dren with PDD-NOS, and children with AD and to the level of social and communication functioning as measured by VABS. Children with ASD were divided into 2 bitile groups of moderate-level and low-level adaptive functioning groups based on the sum of socialization and communication domain scores of the VABS. Age-, sex-, and hemispheric cerebral volume–corrected residuals for the radii of the clusters were calculated for trend analyses. Statistical significance was defined as an α level less than .006 to correct for multiple comparisons (8 test sets).

All statistical procedures were performed with Stata version 11 (StataCorp, College Station, Texas). All means are presented with standard deviations.

### RESULTS

Demographic and clinical characteristics of participants are shown in the Table. There was no significant age or sex difference between children in the ASD and TD groups.

The ASD group exhibited significantly larger amygdala bilaterally compared with the TD group, adjusting for age, sex, and hemisphere size, and the amygdala (right amygdala: 12.7% larger with adjusted values, 15.9% larger unadjusted; z = 2.7; P = .007; left amygdala: 11.0% larger with adjusted values, 13.8% larger unadjusted; z = 3.0; P = .003; mean [SD], right amygdala: 1.68 [0.25] cm³ [ASD] vs 1.45 [0.27] cm³ [TD]; left amygdala: 1.82 [0.20] cm³ [ASD] vs 1.60 [0.30] cm³ [TD]). Hemispheric cerebral volumes were not significantly different between ASD and TD groups (mean [SD], right hemisphere: 641.45 [51.83] cm³ [ASD] vs 600.95 [45.46] cm³ [TD]; left hemisphere: 611.57 [51.41] cm³ [ASD] vs 601.22 [46.65] cm³ [TD]).

The areas of amygdalar regional enlargement in children with ASD, after adjusting for age, sex, and hemisphere size, corresponded primarily to the right laterobasal subregions, labeled as area a, b, and c, respectively, and 1 in the left laterobasal subregion, labeled as d). Areas of significant enlargement in the right and left amygdala were 244 and 124 surface points, respectively. To ensure that our findings were robust independent of potential confounders, analyses were repeated with and without covariates of motion artifact rating and sex (eFigure 8).

Results of 3 sets of auxiliary analyses to assess potential confounding effects of general cognitive ability on the current findings of laterobasal enlargement in ASD are as follows. First, among the 4 areas of a, b, c, and d in the laterobasal subregions that were significantly enlarged in the ASD group (Figure 2A), areas a and d, larger than the other 2 in cluster size, remained significant after accounting for the variance contributed by general cognitive ability. Second, no amygdalar areas were associated with DAS GCA score in the ASD group, using the same significance level of false discovery rate correction and cluster size thresholding as used in comparing ASD and TD groups. In the TD group, some areas in the right amygdala were negatively associated with general cognitive ability levels. However, the areas of significant associations in the TD group (eFigure 9) did not overlap with areas of significant diagnostic group effects of the main analysis shown in Figure 2. The interaction term between DAS GCA score and diagnostic group was also not significant, indicating that no amygdalar areas were differentially affected by general cognitive ability level between groups.

Third, the group × DAS GCA score interaction term was not significant in the model. The diagnostic group effect remained significant for 3 areas in the right laterobasal subregion when the group × DAS GCA score interaction term was added in the model (P = .005, P = .02, and P = .04). For 1 clustered area in the left laterobasal subregion, the diagnostic group effect became nonsignificant when the interaction term was introduced.

The radii from these clustered areas were longest in the AD group and shortest in the TD group; radii in the PDD-NOS group were intermediate in length (P for linear trend <.001, adjusting for age, sex, and hemisphere size, and the amygdala (right hemisphere: 641.45 [51.83] cm³ [ASD] vs 600.95 [45.46] cm³ [TD]; left hemisphere: 611.57 [51.41] cm³ [ASD] vs 601.22 [46.65] cm³ [TD]).

There were linear trends suggesting a relationship between greater sizes of these 4 clustered areas in the right and left laterobasal subregions and lower VABS social and communication domain scores, after adjusting for age, sex, and hemisphere size (P < .001, P < .001, and P = .004 for 3 sets of areas in the right laterobasal subregion; P < .001 for 1 set of area in the left laterobasal subregion).

### COMMENT

Subregional amygdalar imaging results from this study, analyzed using innovative subregional analytic meth-
ods, with probabilistic topographical reference for amyg-
dalar subregions, provide the first in vivo evidence, to
our knowledge, for specific involvement of the latero-
basal subregion underlying amygdalar enlargement in
6- to 7-year-old children with ASD. Comparisons of amyg-
dalar subregional measures demonstrate that areas of en-
largement primarily encompass laterobasal amygdalar subregions and labeled as a, b, c (right amygdala), and d (left amygdala) as shown above. There were no areas of shrinkage in any of 3 amygdalar subregions. The amygdalae are shown being rotated in a 45° clockwise order. B, Mean radii for areas of significant group differences. Radii were adjusted for age, sex, and hemispheric cerebral volume. Gray and black bars denote mean values for TD and ASD groups, respectively. Error bars denote 95% confidence intervals. Gray and black tick marks denote values for each individual in the TD and ASD groups, respectively. Unadjusted mean radii are presented in eFigure 7.

Figure 2. Enlarged amygdalar areas in children with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) (n=31) compared with typically developing (TD) children (n=20) (A) and graphical representations of mean radii for areas of significant group differences (B). A, Areas of greater or smaller amygdalar radii of children with ASD compared with TD children after adjusting for age, sex, and hemispheric cerebral volume (false discovery rate [FDR]–corrected P<.05, clustered surface points >15) are shown in red and purple, respectively. Enlarged areas were in the laterobasal amygdalar subregions and labeled as a, b, c (right amygdala), and d (left amygdala) as shown above. There were no areas of shrinkage in any of 3 amygdalar subregions. The amygdalae are shown being rotated in a 45° clockwise order. B, Mean radii for areas of significant group differences. Radii were adjusted for age, sex, and hemispheric cerebral volume. Gray and black bars denote mean values for TD and ASD groups, respectively. Error bars denote 95% confidence intervals. Gray and black tick marks denote values for each individual in the TD and ASD groups, respectively. Unadjusted mean radii are presented in eFigure 7.

Extensive analyses to test whether these findings are
associated with or driven by general cognitive ability lev-
els indicate that the observed enlargement of the laterobasal amygdalar subregion in the ASD group relative to the TD group is not directly related to this factor and thus is not likely to be associated with cognitive delay. Because our ASD sample is quite different from those re-
ported in many MRI studies that generally excluded low-functioning individuals with ASD, our findings may be more generalizable to the ASD population as a whole.

Our most notable finding was that the laterobasal sub-
region of the right and left amygdalae primarily ac-
counted for overall amygdalar enlargement in 6- to 7-year-
old children with ASD relative to TD children. The laterobasal subregion is composed of lateral, basal, and paralaminar nuclei. Assessments of rat and primate amygdalar connections indicate that the lateral nucleus primarily receives major sensory inputs of all modalities from cortical and subcortical regions. There are substantial intra-amygdaloid connections from the lateral to basal nuclei, through which sensory information
is conveyed. The basal nucleus also receives inputs from the hippocampus and prefrontal cortex and projects to the striatum and cortical areas including the prefrontal cortex, where social cues are integrated and motor response is executed, to the sensory cortex of temporal and occipital regions and has connections to the central nervous system.
nucleus. The basal nucleus additionally projects to the nucleus accumbens, which has an important role in appetitive learning and attachment behavior. Although this is a simplified and schematic representation of the complex amygdalar connections with other brain regions, as well as those within the amygdala, it is well in line with our findings that implicate alterations in the laterobasal subregion of the amygdala as a neuroanatomical underpinning for social interaction and communication deficits in ASD. However, to confirm the functional relevance of this amygdalar subregional structural finding in ASD, further studies are needed that combine structural and functional neuroimaging data with amygdalar subregional-level analytic methods.

The laterobasal subregion of the amygdala has extensive connections with the prefrontal cortex, which modulates the amygdala in a top-down manner. The laterobasal subregion also connects with medial temporal lobe structures, including the hippocampus. Because an inward deformation of the subiculum in children with ASD compared with TD children was noted when the current cohort was evaluated for hippocampal subregional abnormalities at 3 years of age, it is noteworthy that neurons in the CA1-subiculum border and subiculum have robust connections with the basal nucleus of the amygdala. Speculatively, since neural activity is an important mechanism influencing structural brain development and maturation, altered connectivity between these subregions could influence associated structural development in ASD.

Recent studies have shown that there are genetic risk factors for ASD related to neuronal cell adhesion and synaptic complexity, which is particularly important in the developing brain. Cadherin 10 is abundantly expressed in the orbitofrontal and frontal cortex of the human fetal brain, suggesting that these genetic variants might result in dysfunctions in cell adhesion and synaptic formations, which are vital for connections with other brain regions. It is intriguing that functional neuroimaging studies in ASD have consistently reported underconnectivity of neural circuits involving the frontal cortex. Though very speculative, compromised signals from the frontal cortex in the developing brain may in part be responsible for hyperactivity of the amygdala, which is also observed in ASD. Amygdalar hyperreactivity at earlier developmental stages may bolster synaptic plasticity but subsequently induce overuse atrophy. This consideration would be in line with the estimated trajectories of amygdalar volume changes in ASD. However, the current study does not provide information that may explain the observed enlargement at a cellular level. There are a number of mechanisms, including proliferation of neurons, glial cells, and astrocytes; impairment of nonfunctioning cell elimination; failed synaptic pruning; or neurophil increases, that may have resulted in laterobasal subregional enlargement.

Evidence from ex vivo human neuropathological studies does not provide evidence for a consistent pattern of amygdalar lateral nuclei abnormalities in ASD, but this may in part reflect age effects because brains from older individuals have generally been studied. In postmortem studies by Bauman and Kemper, specific pathology of the lateral nucleus was observed only in 2 younger patients with ASD, aged 12 and 21 years, whereas in most cases, other amygdalar nuclei were affected. Although Schumann and Amaral observed a reduced neuron number for the amygdala overall, and the lateral nucleus in particular, for a sample of mostly older adolescents and adults with ASD, neuron numbers in the youngest case study, a 10-year-old with ASD, were reversed, ie, greater numbers, in comparison with the closest age-matched control brain. However, the fact that available postmortem case study subjects are considerably older than children in our study, and the likelihood that in ASD there exists developmental age-specific structural abnormalities of the amygdala, precludes further speculation.

There are several limitations of the current study. A modest number of subjects (N=51) raises the possibility of a type II statistical error for specifically implicating alterations of the laterobasal amygdalar subregion in ASD because this is the largest of the 3 amygdalar subregions investigated and our methods might be insensitive to smaller proportional changes of the other subregions. However, results from experiments that modeled comparable phantom amygdalar data with a small mass (24 mm³, approximately 1.5% of the TD left amygdalar volume) added to or subtracted from the superficial or centromedial subregions demonstrate high sensitivity for the current subregional analysis method to correctly identify small subregional differences. Detailed procedures of making phantom amygdalar data, testing procedure, and results are presented in eAppendix 1.

Probabilistic maps based on cytoarchitectonic mapping techniques initially developed for more precise anatomical localization of results from functional imaging studies using functional MRI or positron emission tomography are becoming widely applied to structural brain imaging studies for localizing specific brain regions. The optimal fit of these maps to study-specific templates is important for accurately localizing the findings. In this regard, the 3-dimensional stereotaxic probabilistic maps of right and left amygdalar subregions of Amunts et al that we transformed and used as topographical references are based on the cytoarchitectonic and neuroimaging data of 10 adult postmortem brains. However, the overall shape and the size of amygdalar subregions in an older population can be different from those of a pediatric subject. To address this point, a subregional boundary map derived from a 10-year-old postmortem brain and applied as an additional topographic reference showed that using adult subregional maps did not substantively influence interpretation of our findings.

Because the current study is a cross-sectional assessment for a specific and narrow age range, potential influences of age, or an age × diagnosis interaction, on amygdalar morphology could not be examined. At 6 to 7 years of age, amygdalar volumes were larger in the ASD group, even after adjusting for age, sex, and hemispheric cerebral volume. When this ASD cohort was previously evaluated for amygdalar volume differences at 3 to 4 years of age, amygdalar enlargement was proportional to overall
increases in cerebral volume, although disproportionately enlarged for the AD subgroup. Taken together, these observations suggest the possibility that the cerebrum and amygdala may undergo differential developmental trajectories in ASD. Longitudinal studies to compare the growth trajectories between children with ASD and control children for the whole cerebrum, and subcortical regions of interest including the amygdala, are needed to address this complex issue. Future work applying the subregional analysis method to this longitudinal sample may also provide a complimentary approach that could allow us to specifically examine potential age-dependent subregional abnormalities of the amygdala in ASD.

CONCLUSIONS

We demonstrated amygdalar enlargement in 6- to 7-year-old children with ASD compared with TD children by subregional analyses found to primarily involve enlargement of the laterobasal subregions. This laterobasal subregion of the amygdala is a key structure for sensory information reception, processing, assigning emotional significance, and strategyconveying this information to other effector brain regions, including the prefrontal cortex, hippocampus, and striatum. To further assess the role of amygdalar subregional abnormalities in the pathophysiology of ASD, longitudinal investigation of the dynamic growth trajectory for amygdalar subregions in children with ASD, and in relationship to longitudinal assessment of symptom progression, is warranted.
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