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Background: Uncertainties exist about the prevalence and comorbidity of substance use disorders and independent mood and anxiety disorders.

Objective: To present nationally representative data on the prevalence and comorbidity of DSM-IV alcohol and drug use disorders and independent mood and anxiety disorders (including only those that are not substance induced and that are not due to a general medical condition).

Design: Face-to-face survey.

Setting: The United States.

Participants: Household and group quarters’ residents.

Main Outcome Measures: Prevalence and associations of substance use disorders and independent mood and anxiety disorders.

Results: The prevalences of 12-month DSM-IV independent mood and anxiety disorders in the US population were 9.21% (95% confidence interval [CI], 8.78%-9.64%) and 11.08% (95% CI, 10.43%-11.73%), respectively. The rate of substance use disorders was 9.35% (95% CI, 8.86%-9.84%). Only a few individuals with mood or anxiety disorders were classified as having only substance-induced disorders. Associations between most substance use disorders and independent mood and anxiety disorders were positive and significant (P < .05).

Conclusions: Substance use disorders and mood and anxiety disorders that develop independently of intoxication and withdrawal are among the most prevalent psychiatric disorders in the United States. Associations between most substance use disorders and independent mood and anxiety disorders were overwhelmingly positive and significant, suggesting that treatment for a co-morbid mood or anxiety disorder should not be withheld from individuals with substance use disorders.
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SUBSTANCE USE DISORDERS AND mood and anxiety disorders are widespread among the general population, and are associated with substantial societal and personal costs. Furthermore, national epidemiologic surveys and numerous clinical studies consistently indicate that substance use disorders and mood and anxiety disorders have strong associations when considered on a lifetime basis. However, consensus has not been achieved on the meaning and implications of the lifetime association of these widespread disorders. Recent work in the general population separating past and current disorders has clarified that intoxication or withdrawal effects do not entirely account for the association, as had been asserted earlier. However, the nature of current or recent co-occurrence of substance and mood or anxiety disorders remains largely unexamined and poorly understood. Relative to lifetime disorders, current co-occurrence has much more salience in its public health and clinical implications. Thus, an important gap in knowledge about comorbidity remains.

One factor that has persistently hindered a better understanding of the relationship between substance use disorders and mood and anxiety disorders is diagnosis. The diagnosis of current mood or anxiety disorders among active substance abusers is complicated by the fact that many symptoms of intoxication and withdrawal from alcohol and other substances resemble the symptoms of mood and anxiety disorders. The diagnostic challenge among individuals with current substance use disorders has been to devise diagnostic criteria and measurement techniques that differentiate between intoxication and withdrawal symptoms and...
the symptoms of psychiatric disorders. This distinction is potentially crucial for etiologic research and treatment studies.

The DSM-IV\(^{19}\) represented a major departure from previous nomenclature in the importance placed on the independent and substance-induced distinction and the clarity and specificity of the guidelines for making the distinction. Among individuals with substance use disorders, independent DSM-IV diagnoses of mood or anxiety disorders can be made 2 ways. First, the full mood or anxiety syndrome is established before substance use. Second, the mood or anxiety syndrome persists for more than 4 weeks after the cessation of intoxication or withdrawal. In contrast, substance-induced disorders are defined as those occurring only during periods of substance use (or remitting shortly thereafter). These specific diagnostic criteria provide a clearly defined situation for studying the association of substance use disorders and mood and anxiety disorders that eliminates potential diagnostic confusion arising from misdiagnosis of intoxication or withdrawal effects.

There have been recent attempts to respond to the challenge of differentiating independent and substance-induced mood and anxiety disorders in clinical samples, focusing on patients with substance use disorders.\(^{14-17}\) These differentiations were based on the occurrence of substance use disorders rather than on substance use per se. In these studies, independent mood or anxiety disorders were defined as episodes occurring either before the lifetime initial onset of a substance use disorder or during a period of remission lasting at least 3 months. Remission was defined as abstinence. Other episodes of mood or anxiety disorders were classified as substance-induced disorders. The distinction between independent and substance-induced disorders in these studies is problematic in several ways. First, retrospective reports of chronological sequences occurring many years earlier may be inaccurate. Second, basing the distinction on substance use disorders rather than on periods of substance use leaves open the possibility that independent psychiatric disorders occurring during periods of nondiagnosable substance use were missed. Third, the clinical assessment methods in these studies did not ascertain episodes of independent mood and anxiety disorders beginning during periods of drinking or drug use and persisting longer than 1 month after the cessation of use (as specified in DSM-IV), thus potentially missing further independent cases. From an epidemiologic perspective, however, the most serious problem with research on comorbidity in treated samples is that the samples of subjects do not represent the underlying populations. Avoiding this problem requires epidemiologic methods.

To our knowledge, no epidemiologic survey has used the DSM-IV definitions of independent and substance-induced disorders to investigate comorbidity between substance use disorders and mood and anxiety disorders. The Epidemiologic Catchment Area\(^{19}\) survey, conducted in the early 1980s, based its diagnoses on the DSM-III,\(^{20}\) which had little relevance to today's diagnostic concepts, in either the criteria for substance use disorders or the characterization of the independent and substance-induced distinction. The 1990-1992 National Comorbid Survey (NCS)\(^{2}\) used DSM-III-R\(^{21}\) criteria. While the DSM-III-R definitions of substance use disorders were more similar to those in the DSM-IV, the handling of substance-induced disorders was quite different. The more recent 2001-2002 NCS-2 and NCS-Replication\(^{22}\) were intended to yield DSM-IV diagnoses. However, the NCS-2 and NCS-Replication assessment instruments did not differentiate between independent and substance-induced disorders, but rather asked respondents if they thought their mood or anxiety disorder was due to drinking or drug use or to a physical illness. Clearly, such opinions may differ from the intent and the specific definitions provided in the DSM-IV.

In addition, measurement of substance use disorders itself has hindered examination of the independent and substance-induced distinction and its effect on the comorbidity between substance use disorders and mood and anxiety disorders in the general population. In the Epidemiologic Catchment Area survey\(^{23}\) and the NCS,\(^{2}\) substance dependence was not measured as a syndrome, because clustering in time of the required number of symptoms was not assessed. In addition, the NCS-2 and NCS-Replication do not yield drug-specific diagnoses, but rather produce polysubstance dependence diagnoses for which dependence criteria are met for substances as a group, but not necessarily for any specific drug. In addition, the symptoms of abuse are used as screeners for dependence, with negative responses to abuse questions leading to a skip past questions on dependence. This leads to an undercount of about one third of the cases of dependence in the general population.\(^{24}\) However, more seriously, it leads to a loss of specific types of cases, because women with dependence are much less likely to have symptoms of abuse than men.\(^{24}\) Women are also the individuals most likely to have mood and anxiety disorders, so missing these cases of dependence without abuse symptoms is likely to lead to underestimates of prevalence and comorbidity.

Because of the widespread prevalence of mood, anxiety, and substance use disorders and their associated disabilities and social costs, an accurate understanding of their comorbidity is crucial to prevention and treatment. This report presents data from a major national survey designed to overcome the problems of previous epidemiologic surveys on comorbidity. This survey, the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism's National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions (NESARC),\(^{25,26}\) covers the comorbidity of DSM-IV substance use disorders and 9 independent mood and anxiety disorders in a nationally representative US sample of 43,093 respondents. To our knowledge, this is the largest comorbidity survey ever conducted. The sample size allows for accurate estimation of current comorbidity and/or rare conditions. More important, to our knowledge, the NESARC is the first and only national survey to use the specific DSM-IV definitions of independent and substance-induced disorders to determine if mood, anxiety, and substance use disorders are associated even when substance-induced disorders are ruled out. Furthermore, the NESARC operationalized alcohol and drug dependence as syndromes, measured drug-specific diagnoses of dependence, and ascertained alcohol and drug...
dependence among all alcohol and drug users, regardless of whether they had an abuse diagnosis. The study also provides comorbidity rates separately for respondents seeking treatment for alcohol, drug, and emotional problems because rates and patterns of comorbidity associated with the presenting complaint are most germane to practicing clinicians.

**METHODS**

**SAMPLE**

Wave 1 of the NESARC is a nationally representative face-to-face survey of 43,093 respondents, 18 years and older, conducted by the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism in 2001-2002.25,26 The target population of the NESARC is the civilian noninstitutionalized population residing in the United States, including Alaska and Hawaii. The housing unit sampling frame of the NESARC was the US Bureau of the Census Supplementary Survey.25 The NESARC also included a group quarters’ sampling frame derived from the Census 2000 Group Quarters Inventory.25 The group quarters’ sampling frame captures important subgroups of the population with heavy substance use patterns not often included in general population surveys. These included the military living off base, boarding houses, rooming houses, nontransient hotels and motels, shelters, facilities for housing workers, college quarters, and group homes. Hospitals, jails, and prisons were not among the group quarters sampled. The overall survey response rate was 81.0%, substantially higher than that of other surveys of this kind.

Black and Hispanic households were oversampled. The oversampling procedure increased the percentage of non-Hispanic black households in the sample from 12.3% to 19.1% (n=8245) and the percentage of Hispanic households from 12.5% to 19.3% (n=8308). Black and Hispanic persons were oversampled because these subgroups have been underrepresented in previous comorbidity surveys. One sample person from each household or group quarters’ unit was randomly selected for interview, and young adults, aged 18 to 24 years, were oversampled at a rate of 2.25 times that of other members in the household.

The NESARC sample was weighted to adjust for the probabilities of selection of a sample housing unit or housing unit equivalent from the group quarters’ sampling frame, nonresponse at the household and person levels, the selection of 1 person per household, and oversampling of young adults. Once weighted, the data were adjusted to be representative of the US population for various sociodemographic variables, including region, age, sex, race, and ethnicity, based on the 2000 Decennial Census. The sociodemographic distribution of the NESARC sample is shown in Table 1.

**SUBSTANCE USE DISORDER ASSESSMENT**

The diagnostic interview used to generate the diagnoses presented in this report is the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism Alcohol Use Disorder and Associated Disabilities Interview Schedule–DSM-IV Version (AUDADIS-IV).34,35 a state-of-the-art structured diagnostic interview designed for use by lay interviewers. The DSM-IV diagnoses reported herein, and included in the AUDADIS-IV, were alcohol and drug–specific abuse and dependence (excluding nicotine dependence), major depression, dysthymia, mania, hypomania, panic disorder with and without agoraphobia, social phobia, specific phobia, and generalized anxiety disorder. Not all mood and anxiety disorders were assessed in wave 1 of the NESARC because of time and space constraints. However, wave 2 of the NESARC will assess posttraumatic stress disorder.

The AUDADIS-IV included an extensive list of symptom questions that separately operationalized DSM-IV criteria for substance use disorders, including alcohol abuse and dependence and drug–specific abuse and dependence for 8 classes of drugs, including sedatives, tranquilizers, opiates (other than heroin or methadone), stimulants, hallucinogens, cannabis, cocaine (including crack cocaine), and inhalants/solvents. Consistent with the DSM-IV, 12-month (current) AUDADIS-IV diagnoses of alcohol abuse required a respondent to meet at least 1 of the 4 criteria defined for abuse in the 12-month period preceding the interview. The AUDADIS-IV dependence diagnoses required the respondent to satisfy at least 3 of the 7 DSM-IV criteria for dependence during the past year. The drug-specific diagnoses of abuse and dependence were derived using the same algorithm previously described for alcohol use disorders.

The test-retest reliabilities of AUDADIS-IV alcohol and drug disorder measures were excellent, exceeding $\kappa=0.74$ for alcohol diagnoses and $\kappa=0.79$ for drug diagnoses.36-38 The discriminant and convergent33-44,46 construct47-49 and popula-
Mood and Anxiety Disorder Assessment

Independent and substance-induced disorders were defined for respondents who met the criteria for specific mood and anxiety disorder occurring during the past 12 months. Disorders were classified as independent if (1) the respondent abstained from alcohol and drug use in the past 12 months; (2) the episode(s) did not occur in the context of alcohol or drug intoxication or withdrawal; (3) the episode(s) occurred before alcohol or drug intoxication or withdrawal; or (4) the episode(s) began after alcohol or drug intoxication or withdrawal, but persisted for more than 1 month after the cessation of alcohol or drug intoxication or withdrawal. Substance-induced disorders were defined as episodes that began after alcohol and/or drug intoxication and/or withdrawal, but either (1) were not associated with a period of at least 1 month of abstinence or (2) did not persist for more than 1 month after the cessation of alcohol or drug intoxication or withdrawal.

Respondents were classified with a 12-month independent mood or anxiety disorder if none or only some of their episodes were substance induced. Respondents were classified with a substance-induced disorder if all of their episodes in the past 12 months were substance induced.

The prevalence of major depression reported herein ruled out bereavement. All mood and anxiety disorders due to general medical conditions also were ruled out. The latter were defined as those occurring during the past 12 months when the respondent was physically ill or recovering from being physically ill, with the additional requirement that a physician or other health professional confirmed that the episode was related to the respondent’s physical illness or medical condition. This definition also required the onset of the mood or anxiety disorder to begin during the time of a physical illness or during recovery from it.

The test-retest reliabilities of AUDADIS-IV measures of DSM-IV mood and anxiety disorders were fair to good, ranging from \( \kappa = 0.42 \) for specific phobia to \( \kappa = 0.64 \) for major depression.\(^{24,25}\) The validity of current mood and anxiety disorders was assessed in a series of linear regression analyses, using the NESARC data that examined the associations between each mood and anxiety disorder and Short-Form-12v2 mental disability scores, controlling for age, personality disorders, current comorbid alcohol and drug use disorders, and all other comorbid mood and anxiety disorders. The Short-Form-12v2 is a reliable and valid measure of generic quality of life used in large population surveys. In the present analyses, the focus was on 4 Short-Form-12v2 mental disability scores (the mental component summary score, the social functioning score, the role emotional function score, and the mental health score), reflecting general mental health functioning. With the exception of hypomania, all mood and anxiety disorders assessed in the NESARC were highly significant (\( P < 0.003 \)) as predictors of the mental component summary, social functioning, role emotional, and mental health scores. Respondents with these current mood and anxiety disorders had significantly greater disability and social/occupational dysfunction than respondents who did not have the particular mood or anxiety disorder. A diagnosis of hypomania was a significant predictor (\( P = 0.049 \)) of the social functioning score.

Twelve-Month Treatment Use

The NESARC respondents were asked about 12-month treatment use separately for alcohol, drugs, and each specific mood or anxiety disorder. Alcohol treatment use was defined as seeking help for alcohol problems in the 12 months preceding the survey, at any of the following agencies or from any of the following health professionals: human services, including family services or other social service agencies; emergency department or crisis center; alcohol specialty services, including alcohol or drug detoxification ward or clinic, outpatient clinic, outreach program, or day or partial patient programs; inpatient ward of a psychiatric or general hospital or community mental health facility; alcohol or drug rehabilitation program; halfway house; and visits to a physician, psychiatrist, psychologist, social worker, or other health professional. The 12-month drug treatment use questions paralleled those of the alcohol treatment use questions, with the exception that methadone maintenance programs were also included as drug specialty services.

Twelve-month treatment use was ascertained separately for each specific mood and anxiety disorder. Respondents were classified as receiving treatment in the past 12 months if they: (1) visited a counselor, therapist, physician, psychologist, or person like that to get help for a mental disorder; (2) were a patient in a hospital for at least 1 night related to a mental disorder; (3) visited an emergency department to get help for a mental disorder; or (4) were prescribed medications for a mental disorder.

Interviewer Training and Field Quality Control

Approximately 1800 experienced lay interviewers from the US Census Bureau administered the NESARC using laptop computer-assisted software that included built-in skip, logic, and consistency checks. On average, the interviewers had 5 years of experience working on census and other health-related national surveys. The interviewers completed 10 days of extensive training. This was standardized through centralized training sessions under the direction of the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism and census headquarters staff.

Regional supervisors recontacted a random 10% of all respondents for quality control purposes and for verification of the accuracy of the interviewer’s performance. In addition, 2637 respondents were randomly selected to participate in a reinterview study after completion of their NESARC interview. These interviews not only served as an additional check on survey data quality but formed the basis of a test-retest reliability study\(^{30}\) of AUDADIS-IV modules introduced in the NESARC.

Statistical Analysis

Cross tabulations were used to calculate prevalences, comorbidity, and 12-month treatment use for alcohol, drug, mood, and anxiety disorders. Odds ratios (ORs) were used to study associations between substance use disorders and independent mood and anxiety disorders. Standard errors and 95% confidence intervals were estimated using a software package \( \text{SUDAAN}^{55} \) that uses Taylor series linearization to adjust for the design effects of complex sample surveys like the NESARC.

Results

Prevalence of Mood and Anxiety Disorders

The 12-month prevalences of independent mood and anxiety disorders were 9.21% and 11.08% in the total sample, respectively (Table 2). The prevalences of substance-induced mood and anxiety disorders among re-
spondents with any mood or anxiety disorder in the total sample and among respondents with and without a current substance use disorder were small, less than 1.0%. Of the approximately 19.3 million adults who had a current mood disorder, only 202,211 experienced episodes that were classified exclusively as substance induced. Similarly, among those with a current anxiety disorder (23.0 million), only a few (50,980) experienced episodes that were exclusively classified as substance induced. Of those respondents who were classified as having at least 1 current independent mood or anxiety disorder, only 7.35% and 2.95%, respectively, reported experiencing independent and substance-induced episodes during the year preceding the survey.

**PREVALENCE OF SUBSTANCE USE DISORDERS**

The 12-month prevalences of any substance, any alcohol, and any drug use disorders were 9.35%, 8.46%, and 2.00%, respectively (Table 3). The rate of cannabis use disorder was 1.45%, far exceeding the rates of other drug-specific use disorders (0.02% for inhalant/solvent abuse to 0.35% for opioid use disorders). The rates for abuse exceeded those for dependence regardless of the specific substance use disorder examined.

**CO-OCCURRENCE OF SUBSTANCE USE DISORDERS AND MOOD AND ANXIETY DISORDERS**

The 12-month associations between substance use disorders and independent mood and anxiety disorders are shown in Table 4 in the form of ORs. The overall pattern of ORs is overwhelmingly positive, with 84.8% of the disorder-specific ORs positive (ie, >1.0) and statistically significant. All independent mood and anxiety disorders were strongly and consistently related to alcohol and drug use disorders (ORs, 1.6-13.9). Any drug abuse also was
significantly related to all independent mood and anxiety disorders (ORs, 1.6-4.2). The exception to the overall pattern was the level of association between alcohol abuse and specific independent mood and anxiety disorders, which was not always significant. All the independent mood and anxiety disorders were consistently more strongly related to alcohol and drug dependence than to drug abuse. Mania was more strongly related to the substance use disorders (ORs, 1.4-13.9) than any other mood or anxiety disorder. Among the anxiety disorders, panic disorder with agoraphobia was most strongly associated with substance use disorders (ORs, 1.9-10.5).

**PREVALENCE OF MOOD OR ANXIETY DISORDERS AMONG RESPONDENTS WITH SUBSTANCE USE DISORDERS**

As indicated by the entry in the upper left corner of Table 5, 19.67% of the respondents with any substance use disorder had at least 1 independent mood disorder during the same 12-month period. Furthermore, 17.71% had at least 1 independent anxiety disorder. Among respondents with any substance use disorder, 3.30% to 14.50% also had a specific mood disorder and 1.46% to 10.54% had a specific anxiety disorder. These rates were consistently lower for abuse than for dependence, and highest for any drug dependence. Respondents with substance use disorders were more likely to have major depression and specific phobia than any other mood or anxiety disorder.

**PREVALENCE OF SUBSTANCE USE DISORDERS AMONG RESPONDENTS WITH MOOD OR ANXIETY DISORDERS**

Among respondents with any 12-month mood disorder, 19.97% had at least 1 substance use disorder, and among those with any 12-month anxiety disorder, 14.96% had at least 1 substance use disorder. This was also true of 13.83% to 24.15% of the respondents with specific anxiety disorders. Prevalences were consistently lower for abuse than for dependence. Respondents with panic disorder with agoraphobia and generalized anxiety disorder were more likely than those with other mood and anxiety disorders to have a substance use disorder.

---

**Table 3. Twelve-Month Prevalence of DSM-IV Substance Use Disorders**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disorder</th>
<th>Respondents, % (SE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Any substance disorder</td>
<td>9.35 (0.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any substance abuse</td>
<td>5.28 (0.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any substance dependence</td>
<td>4.07 (0.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol use disorder</td>
<td>8.46 (0.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol abuse</td>
<td>4.65 (0.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol dependence</td>
<td>3.81 (0.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any drug use disorder</td>
<td>2.00 (0.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any drug abuse</td>
<td>1.37 (0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any drug dependence</td>
<td>0.63 (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedative use disorder</td>
<td>0.16 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedative abuse</td>
<td>0.09 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedative dependence</td>
<td>0.07 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tranquilizer use disorder</td>
<td>0.13 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tranquilizer abuse</td>
<td>0.08 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tranquilizer dependence</td>
<td>0.05 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opioid use disorder</td>
<td>0.35 (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opioid abuse</td>
<td>0.24 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opioid dependence</td>
<td>0.11 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphetamine use disorder</td>
<td>0.16 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphetamine abuse</td>
<td>0.09 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphetamine dependence</td>
<td>0.07 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hallucinogen use disorder</td>
<td>0.14 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hallucinogen abuse</td>
<td>0.12 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hallucinogen dependence</td>
<td>0.02 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannabis use disorder</td>
<td>1.45 (0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannabis abuse</td>
<td>1.13 (0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannabis dependence</td>
<td>0.32 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocaine use disorder</td>
<td>0.27 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocaine abuse</td>
<td>0.13 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocaine dependence</td>
<td>0.13 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvent/inhalant abuse*</td>
<td>0.02 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The base rate of solvent/inhalant dependence was virtually 0.0% in the sample.

---

**Table 4. Twelve-Month Odds of DSM-IV Substance Use Disorders and Independent Mood and Anxiety Disorders**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comorbid Disorder</th>
<th>Any Substance Use Disorder</th>
<th>Any Substance Abuse</th>
<th>Any Substance Dependence</th>
<th>Any Alcohol Use Disorder</th>
<th>Alcohol Abuse</th>
<th>Alcohol Dependence</th>
<th>Any Drug Use Disorder</th>
<th>Any Drug Abuse</th>
<th>Any Drug Dependence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Any mood disorder</td>
<td>2.8 (2.3-3.1)</td>
<td>1.4 (1.2-1.7)</td>
<td>4.3 (3.5-5.3)</td>
<td>2.6 (2.3-3.2)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.1-1.6)</td>
<td>4.1 (3.5-4.8)</td>
<td>4.9 (4.0-5.9)</td>
<td>2.7 (2.1-3.5)</td>
<td>12.5 (8.8-17.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major depression</td>
<td>2.5 (2.2-2.9)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.1-1.6)</td>
<td>4.1 (3.4-4.8)</td>
<td>2.3 (2.0-2.6)</td>
<td>1.2 (1.0-1.5)</td>
<td>3.7 (3.1-4.4)</td>
<td>4.2 (3.4-5.2)</td>
<td>2.5 (1.9-3.3)</td>
<td>9.0 (6.5-12.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysthymia</td>
<td>2.2 (1.7-2.7)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.8-1.7)</td>
<td>3.4 (2.5-4.5)</td>
<td>1.7 (1.3-2.2)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.5-1.3)</td>
<td>2.8 (2.0-3.8)</td>
<td>5.3 (3.8-7.2)</td>
<td>2.6 (1.9-4.3)</td>
<td>11.3 (7.5-17.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mania</td>
<td>3.9 (3.1-4.8)</td>
<td>1.5 (1.1-2.2)</td>
<td>5.1 (4.0-6.7)</td>
<td>3.5 (2.7-4.5)</td>
<td>1.7 (1.1-2.7)</td>
<td>5.2 (3.9-6.8)</td>
<td>4.1 (2.8-5.9)</td>
<td>3.7 (2.4-6.0)</td>
<td>4.4 (2.2-8.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypomania</td>
<td>3.6 (2.8-4.6)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.2-2.8)</td>
<td>5.1 (4.0-6.7)</td>
<td>3.5 (2.7-4.5)</td>
<td>1.7 (1.1-2.7)</td>
<td>5.2 (3.9-6.8)</td>
<td>4.1 (2.8-5.9)</td>
<td>3.7 (2.4-6.0)</td>
<td>4.4 (2.2-8.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any anxiety disorder</td>
<td>1.9 (1.7-2.1)</td>
<td>1.0 (1.0-1.3)</td>
<td>2.8 (2.4-3.2)</td>
<td>1.7 (1.5-2.0)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.9-1.3)</td>
<td>2.6 (2.2-3.0)</td>
<td>2.8 (2.3-3.5)</td>
<td>1.7 (1.3-2.2)</td>
<td>6.2 (4.4-8.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panic disorder</td>
<td>3.1 (2.1-4.6)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.3-3.1)</td>
<td>4.2 (2.5-7.1)</td>
<td>2.5 (1.6-4.0)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.8-2.6)</td>
<td>3.6 (2.0-6.5)</td>
<td>6.0 (3.6-9.7)</td>
<td>3.5 (1.6-7.7)</td>
<td>10.5 (5.6-19.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With agoraphobia</td>
<td>2.1 (1.6-2.6)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.6-1.3)</td>
<td>3.5 (2.6-4.7)</td>
<td>2.0 (1.5-2.6)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.5-1.2)</td>
<td>3.4 (2.5-4.7)</td>
<td>3.4 (2.4-5.0)</td>
<td>1.6 (0.9-3.0)</td>
<td>7.6 (4.7-12.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without agoraphobia</td>
<td>1.9 (1.5-2.4)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.8-1.5)</td>
<td>2.8 (2.1-3.7)</td>
<td>1.7 (1.3-2.1)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.7-1.3)</td>
<td>2.5 (1.8-3.3)</td>
<td>3.0 (2.2-4.0)</td>
<td>2.0 (1.3-3.0)</td>
<td>5.4 (3.5-8.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social phobia</td>
<td>1.6 (1.4-1.9)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.9-1.4)</td>
<td>2.2 (1.9-2.7)</td>
<td>1.6 (1.4-1.8)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.9-1.3)</td>
<td>2.2 (1.8-2.6)</td>
<td>2.3 (1.8-2.9)</td>
<td>1.6 (1.2-2.2)</td>
<td>3.8 (2.5-5.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific phobia</td>
<td>2.3 (1.9-2.9)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.8-1.6)</td>
<td>3.8 (2.9-5.0)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.5-2.5)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.6-1.4)</td>
<td>3.1 (2.3-4.1)</td>
<td>4.6 (3.3-6.4)</td>
<td>2.1 (1.3-3.5)</td>
<td>10.4 (6.5-16.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data are given as odds ratio (ORs) (95% confidence interval). The ORs represent the odds of having a specific mood or anxiety disorder among individuals with a specific substance use disorder relative to the odds of having a specific mood or anxiety disorder among individuals who do not have the specific substance use disorder.
PREVALENCE OF SUBSTANCE USE DISORDERS AMONG RESPONDENTS WITH MOOD AND ANXIETY DISORDERS WHO SOUGHT TREATMENT

The percentage of respondents with at least one 12-month independent mood disorder who sought treatment in the past 12 months was 25.81%, while the corresponding percentage for respondents with at least 1 independent anxiety disorder was 12.13% (Table 7). Treatment use was greater for those with dysthymia, major depression, and mania than for those with hypomania. Among respondents with anxiety disorders, treatment use was greater for those with panic disorder, with and without agoraphobia, and generalized anxiety disorder than for those with social and specific phobias.

Among respondents reporting specific independent mood disorders, between 18.54% and 30.97% had a comorbid substance use disorder, primarily an alcohol use disorder. Among respondents reporting specific independent anxiety disorders who sought treatment, 15.38% to 21.89% had a comorbid substance use disorder, again primarily an alcohol use disorder.

**PREVALENCE OF MOOD AND ANXIETY DISORDERS AMONG RESPONDENTS WITH SUBSTANCE USE DISORDERS WHO SOUGHT TREATMENT**

Only 5.81% and 13.10% of respondents who had a 12-month alcohol use disorder or a 12-month drug use disorder, respectively, sought treatment for their particu-
The major findings of this study document the extremely high rates of substance use disorders and independent mood and anxiety disorders in the US population, and confirm the strength of associations between them. The prevalence of any current independent mood disorder was 9.21%, representing 19.4 million US adults. The rate of any current substance use disorder was only slightly greater than that estimated for independent mood disorders, 9.33%, representing 19.4 million US adults. Among those with any drug use disorder who sought treatment for an alcohol use disorder, 40.69%, 33.38%, and 33.05% had at least 1 independent mood disorder, independent anxiety disorder, or drug use disorder, respectively. Among respondents with any drug use disorder who sought treatment for that disorder, 60.31% had at least 1 independent mood disorder, 42.63% had at least 1 independent anxiety disorder, and 55.16% had a comorbid alcohol use disorder.

**COMMENT**

The major findings of this study document the extremely high rates of substance use disorders and independent mood and anxiety disorders in the US population, and confirm the strength of associations between them. The prevalence of any current independent mood disorder was 9.21%, representing 19.4 million adult Americans. The prevalence of any current independent anxiety disorder was slightly higher, 11.08%, representing 23.0 million US adults. The rate of any current substance use disorder was only slightly greater than that estimated for independent mood disorders, 9.33%, representing 19.4 million US adults. Almost 9% (17.6 million adult Americans) had an alcohol use disorder, while 2% (4.2 million adult Americans) had a drug use disorder. Furthermore, about 20% of all persons in the general population with a current substance use disorder had at least 1 current independent mood disorder and 18% had at least 1 current independent anxiety disorder. Similarly, about 20% of the individuals with at least 1 current independent mood disorder had a comorbid substance use disorder, while about 15% of the individuals with at least one 12-month independent anxiety disor-
under had a substance use disorder. More important, this study also demonstrated that a few individuals in the general population experienced current mood (202211 adult Americans) or anxiety (50980 adult Americans) disorders that were only substance induced. Of considerable clinical relevance is the finding that 40.7% of the individuals with a current alcohol use disorder who sought treatment during the same period had at least 1 current independent mood disorder, while more than 33% had at least 1 current independent anxiety disorder. Among individuals with a current drug use disorder who sought treatment, about 60% and 43% had at least 1 current independent mood or anxiety disorder, respectively. Similarly, among individuals with at least 1 current independent mood or anxiety disorder who sought treatment, about 20% and 16%, respectively, had a current substance use disorder that was more likely to be an alcohol than a drug use disorder. This suggests that the predominance of substance-induced (approximately 60%) rather than independent mood or anxiety disorders found in several recent clinical studies\(^5\)\(^6\)\(^7\) of substance abusers was most likely due to diagnostic methods that do not entirely conform to the DSM-IV guidelines for differentiating independent from substance-induced disorders. Regardless of the relative prevalence of independent and substance-induced disorders, however, substance-induced mood or anxiety disorders among individuals with substance use disorders are serious conditions. For example, when diagnosed carefully according to DSM-IV guidelines, substance-induced disorders have been shown to increase the risk for poor outcome of substance dependence\(^8\)\(^9\) and lifetime number of suicide attempts.\(^10\) Additional longitudinal research is needed to examine differences in the course and prognosis of chronic substance-induced disorders and independent mood and anxiety disorders in treated samples.

Taken together, the NESARC results provide clear and persuasive evidence that mood and anxiety disorders must be addressed by alcohol and drug treatment specialists and that substance use disorders must be addressed by primary care physicians and mental health treatment specialists. These results highlight the need for all individuals in treatment to be fully assessed for the presence or absence of a range of psychiatric disorders. Furthermore, the results underscore the importance of past and ongoing development of improved treatments for those individuals meeting the criteria for 2 or more disorders.\(^11\)\(^12\)\(^13\) Moreover, these results strongly suggest that treatment for a mood or anxiety disorder should not be withheld from those with substance use disorders in stable remission on the assumption that most of these disorders are due to intoxication or withdrawal. Left untreated, such mood disorders have been shown to lead to relapse of substance dependence\(^14\) and can also be fatal, as many former substance abusers with severe untreated independent depression will die by suicide. Short of this ultimately adverse outcome, independent mood and anxiety disorders, particularly among individuals who have a comorbid substance use disorder, are immensely disabling.\(^15\)\(^16\)

From an etiologic perspective, this study does not resolve questions regarding the casual mechanisms underlying the relationship between DSM-IV substance use disorders and independent mood and anxiety disorders. Prospective surveys have great potential to inform us about processes associated with comorbidity and will provide the vehicles for examining the sequencing of comorbid disorder onset. The NESARC was designed with this paradigm in mind, and its second wave will be fielded in 2004-2005.
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