Intrauterine Hormonal Environment and Risk of Developing Anorexia Nervosa
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Context: Anorexia nervosa (AN) is approximately 10 times more common in females than in males. The reasons for this difference are not yet understood. Several mechanisms have been hypothesized as possible causes.

Objective: To determine whether the different hormonal environments to which male and female fetuses are exposed in utero might contribute to the increased risk of developing AN in females.

Design, Setting, and Participants: The study is based on a large population-based cohort of Swedish twins. The strategy used is to compare the prevalence for AN between same-sex and opposite-sex twins.

Results: The study shows that the risk of developing AN in female twins is higher than in male twins, as expected. The only exception is male members of opposite-sex pairs, who have a higher risk of developing the illness when compared with other males ($P = .62$ for narrow diagnostic criteria and $P = .60$ for broad diagnostic criteria). In fact, their risk is at a level that is not statistically significantly different from that of females from such a pair. A plausible explanation for this phenomenon is that in pregnancies bearing a female fetus, a substance is produced, probably hormonal, that increases the risk of having AN in adulthood. Because the male half of an opposite-sex twin pair would also be exposed to this substance, it could account for the observed elevated risk in males with female twins. The most likely candidates are sex steroid hormones.

Conclusions: The results of our study are compatible with the hypothesis that intrauterine exposure to sex hormones might influence neurodevelopment, affecting the risk of developing AN in adult life. This might be a factor contributing to the higher risk of developing AN in females.
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A

NOREXIA NERVOSA (AN) IS approximately 10 times more common in females than in males.1-3 The reasons for this difference are not known, and it is likely that their unraveling will represent an important step forward in the understanding of the etiopathogenetic factors involved in the development of eating disorders.

Several hypotheses have been developed to explain the discrepancy in the prevalence of AN between the sexes, but none of these has been completely satisfactory.

One school of thought maintains that, because of its rarity, AN in males must have atypical characteristics or even might be a different disease from the one that affects females.4,5 The other line of reasoning is instead that AN has similar characteristics in males and females, and that males who develop AN have special features that differentiate them from other males not affected by the illness.6,7

The literature available is not unequivocal, but altogether seems to support the second hypothesis. In most studies8-10 that compare how the 2 sexes are affected by AN, the similarities between males and females largely outweigh the differences in symptoms, course, and outcome. Furthermore, several studies13-15 show that males with AN have important differences in multiple parameters when compared with male control subjects. Burns and Crisp16 argue that the characteristics of AN in males are virtually indistinguishable from those of the same disease in females. Andersen17 cautioned that, despite the similarities, there are some specific characteristics of AN in males, especially in regard to diagnosis and treatment.

The differences between males and females deriving from prevalence studies of AN might be an overestimation of the real skew between the 2 sexes.17 There is, in fact, evidence for a higher level of underdiagnosis or misdiagnosis of AN in males than in females, possibly as a result of the popular belief that AN affects only teenaged girls.5,5 This might amplify the reluctance of males
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affected to seek help and of their families to recognize the disorder.17

The hypothesis tested in this study is that the different hormonal environments to which male and female fetuses are exposed influence neurodevelopment in utero, contributing to the diversity in the risk of developing AN between the sexes. The design used to test the hypothesis is a comparison of the prevalence of AN in members of monzygotic (MZ) and dizygotic (DZ) twin pairs, stratified by the possible different sex permutations. The analysis of the prevalence of AN in members of opposite-sex pairs of DZ twins is essential for testing the hypothesis.

Opposite-sex twins constitute a real-life laboratory for studying the influence of sex hormones on intrauterine development.18 The literature in humans and in animals shows that when a female and a male fetus are contiguous in utero, there is often a masculinization of the female fetus and a feminization of the male fetus.18,19 Furthermore, this has permanent lifetime consequences.18 There are indications from the literature18,19 that the cause of this phenomenon might be the change of the hormonal environment in utero because of the presence of an opposite-sex twin.

A difference in the risk of developing AN in twins who are part of opposite-sex pairs, when compared with members of same-sex pairs, would be consistent with a prenatal influence of gonadal hormones on the likelihood of developing AN in adult life. This could explain, at least in part, the difference in the prevalence of AN between the sexes. An increased prevalence of AN in males who are members of opposite-sex pairs would be suggestive that the presence of a female fetus influences the intrauterine environment, favoring the development of AN. If there were a decreased prevalence of the disease in female members of opposite-sex pairs, this would be indicative that the presence of a male fetus in utero is a protective factor against the development of AN. The 2 previously stated outcomes are not mutually exclusive.

### METHODS

**SUBJECTS**

We have used, for testing the hypothesis, the population identified by Bulik et al20 in their study on the epidemiological features and heritability of AN. We chose this study because the researchers identified the largest series of twin subjects diagnosed as having AN using rigorous diagnostic criteria and because, unlike most twin research, the data set includes opposite-sex twins, which is essential for our analysis.

The population studied by Bulik et al20 is represented by all members of the Swedish Twin Registry who were born between January 1, 1935, and December 31, 1958, and who consented to being interviewed on the telephone. The subjects in this population are those who, at the interview, satisfied the criteria of the DSM-IV definition of AN regardless of the criterion that needed amenorrhea to make a diagnosis. A subpopulation of the previously described group that satisfied the full DSM-IV criteria for AN, including amenorrhea, was defined as fulfilling a narrow definition of AN.20 Therefore, all subjects who satisfied the narrow definition were also part of the group that satisfied the broader definition of AN, but not necessarily vice versa. This distinction applies only for females because the amenorrhea criterion is not relevant in males, in whom the broad and narrow groups coincide.

The participation rate was 76%.20 The test-retest reliability was assessed by Bulik et al,20 who selected at random 105 individual twins who completed the telephone screening. They interviewed these subjects within 2 weeks from the first interview and assessed the short-term reliability for 2 key variables associated with eating disorders: low weight and dieting behavior. The Cohen κ value was 0.63 for AN criterion A (“Did you ever weigh less than other people thought you should weigh?”) and 0.48 for the broader variable querying about dieting history. The researchers judged that the κ variables suggest moderate to substantial test-retest reliability.20 The reliability of the dieting history variable may be defined as low.

The data used for our analysis were taken from the summary statistics of Table 4 in the article by Bulik et al.20 We calculated, for each phenotype, the number of individual twins identified as having AN on the telephone interviews. Adding up, for each phenotype, the twins affected by AN and those not affected by the illness, we obtained the total number of twins who participated in the study for each phenotype. From this, we were able to calculate the prevalence of AN for each of the phenotypes. The same operation was done for narrow and broad diagnostic criteria.

### STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Table 1 and Table 2 show that there are marked differences in proportions between males and females in the prevalence of AN, except in the case of a member of an opposite-sex DZ twin pair. In the analysis of this article, the null hypotheses of no differences in proportions are compared using tests for equality of proportions for both dependent and independent samples, as implemented by a statistics package.21 Unless otherwise stated, all tests are 2-sided, and a continuity correction was used only if it did not exceed the difference of the sample proportions in absolute value. When the test used is appropriate for independent samples, a large sample (χ²) test for equal proportions was used, which is appropriate because the smallest sample was greater than 2000. For the case of dependent samples (eg, different sex twins), the McNemar test is used. The McNemar test is a test of equality of proportions for matched binary responses.22 It is equivalent to other tests based on linear models, such as the logistic or nonparametric tests, like the Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test.22

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>Total No. of Twins</th>
<th>Twins Diagnosed as Having Anorexia Nervosa</th>
<th>Prevalence (95% Confidence Interval)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female-female</td>
<td>2854</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.07 (0.01-0.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male-male</td>
<td>4048</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.02 (0.001-0.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DZ opposite-sex twin</td>
<td>4478</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.45 (0.28-0.70)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: DZ, dizygotic; MZ, monozygotic.
In our data, the smallest value was 36; thus, the test was of positive cases (males and females) needs to be greater than that the data are not too sparse. In general, the total number of an opposite-sex DZ twin pair: in this case, his risk increases to much higher levels than males have a much higher prevalence of AN than males (P < .001 for broad and narrow criteria for comparing all males and females). The only exception, which is the main focus of our study, is observed when a man is the member of an opposite-sex DZ twin pair: in this case, his risk of developing AN increases to much higher levels than in same-sex male twins, levels that are not significantly different (P = .62 for narrow diagnostic criteria and P = .60 for broad diagnostic criteria) from that of the females in that pair. The risk of developing AN in females who are part of opposite-sex twin pairs is not significantly different from that of other females. These results are obtained using narrow and broad criteria for the diagnosis of AN (P = .48 for narrow diagnostic criteria and P = .18 for broad diagnostic criteria).

The main question of interest in this investigation is whether different hormonal environments contribute to an increased risk of developing AN. Because this is fundamentally a question about a causal relationship, no definitive conclusions can be drawn from the data, which are observational and archival. The possibility that the observed differences between males in opposite-sex twin pairs compared with those in same-sex pairs could be because of unobserved confounding factors cannot be ruled out and has not been controlled for by any type of randomization.

One possible factor of interest is a cohort effect. This is of particular interest because Bulik et al. noted a difference in the rate of AN in males before and after 1945. However, for such an effect to be important for this study, there would have to be a difference effect between same-and mixed-sex twin pairs and not just a time change within a sex group. The trend in time in males and females is clear, but we have no information about a differential effect across type of twin pair.

Other limitations include the fact that the data came from retrospective interviews and the change in detection rate for AN. Also, because of the rareness of reported male AN, the sample sizes are small, although, as previously discussed, they are adequate for the implementation of the statistical tests used.

Table 1 and Table 2 represent the prevalence of AN for different twin phenotypes using narrow and broad diagnostic criteria, respectively. There were no examples, in the sample analyzed, of couples of mixed-sex twins in which both members were affected by AN.

This study confirms, in a twin population, that females have a much higher prevalence of AN than males (P < .001 for broad and narrow criteria for comparing all males and females). The only exception, which is the main focus of our study, is observed when a man is the member of an opposite-sex DZ twin pair: in this case, his risk of developing AN increases to much higher levels than in same-sex male twins, levels that are not significantly different (P = .62 for narrow diagnostic criteria and P = .60 for broad diagnostic criteria) from that of the females in that pair. The risk of developing AN in females who are part of opposite-sex twin pairs is not significantly different from that of other females. These results are obtained using narrow and broad criteria for the diagnosis of AN (P = .48 for narrow diagnostic criteria and P = .18 for broad diagnostic criteria).

The main question of interest in this investigation is whether different hormonal environments contribute to an increased risk of developing AN. Because this is fundamentally a question about a causal relationship, no definitive conclusions can be drawn from the data, which are observational and archival. The possibility that the observed differences between males in opposite-sex twin pairs compared with those in same-sex pairs could be because of unobserved confounding factors cannot be ruled out and has not been controlled for by any type of randomization.

One possible factor of interest is a cohort effect. This is of particular interest because Bulik et al. noted a difference in the rate of AN in males before and after 1945. However, for such an effect to be important for this study, there would have to be a difference effect between same-and mixed-sex twin pairs and not just a time change within a sex group. The trend in time in males and females is clear, but we have no information about a differential effect across type of twin pair.

Other limitations include the fact that the data came from retrospective interviews and the change in detection rate for AN. Also, because of the rareness of reported male AN, the sample sizes are small, although, as previously discussed, they are adequate for the implementation of the statistical tests used.

Table 2. Prevalence of Anorexia Nervosa Using Broad Diagnostic Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>Total No. of Twins</th>
<th>Twins Diagnosed as Having Anorexia Nervosa</th>
<th>Prevalence (95% Confidence Interval)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male-male</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.02 (0.001-0.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female-female</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.71 (0.50-1.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MZ</td>
<td>3634</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.99 (0.70-1.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DZ</td>
<td>4818</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>1.12 (0.85-1.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DZ opposite-sex twin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>4478</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.71 (0.50-1.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>4478</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.60 (0.41-0.89)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: See Table 1.

Because the McNemar test is based on the χ², it is required that the data are not too sparse. In general, the total number of positive cases (males and females) needs to be greater than 10. In our data, the smallest value was 36; thus, the test was appropriate.

Table 1 and Table 2 represent the prevalence of AN for different twin phenotypes using narrow and broad diagnostic criteria, respectively. There were no examples, in the sample analyzed, of couples of mixed-sex twins in which both members were affected by AN.

This study confirms, in a twin population, that females have a much higher prevalence of AN than males (P < .001 for broad and narrow criteria for comparing all males and females). The only exception, which is the main focus of our study, is observed when a man is the member of an opposite-sex DZ twin pair: in this case, his risk of developing AN increases to much higher levels than in same-sex male twins, levels that are not significantly different (P = .62 for narrow diagnostic criteria and P = .60 for broad diagnostic criteria) from that of the females in that pair. The risk of developing AN in females who are part of opposite-sex twin pairs is not significantly different from that of other females. These results are obtained using narrow and broad criteria for the diagnosis of AN (P = .48 for narrow diagnostic criteria and P = .18 for broad diagnostic criteria).

The main question of interest in this investigation is whether different hormonal environments contribute to an increased risk of developing AN. Because this is fundamentally a question about a causal relationship, no definitive conclusions can be drawn from the data, which are observational and archival. The possibility that the observed differences between males in opposite-sex twin pairs compared with those in same-sex pairs could be because of unobserved confounding factors cannot be ruled out and has not been controlled for by any type of randomization.

One possible factor of interest is a cohort effect. This is of particular interest because Bulik et al. noted a difference in the rate of AN in males before and after 1945. However, for such an effect to be important for this study, there would have to be a difference effect between same-and mixed-sex twin pairs and not just a time change within a sex group. The trend in time in males and females is clear, but we have no information about a differential effect across type of twin pair.

Other limitations include the fact that the data came from retrospective interviews and the change in detection rate for AN. Also, because of the rareness of reported male AN, the sample sizes are small, although, as previously discussed, they are adequate for the implementation of the statistical tests used.

Members of twin pairs are exposed to the same milieu in utero and usually share a similar environment during at least the first 2 decades of their postnatal lives. Therefore, the increased prevalence of AN in males who are members of opposite-sex DZ pairs could be explained either by the effect, on the prenatal environment, of the contemporaneous presence of a female fetus in utero or the effect of growing up with a female twin. It is unlikely that the increased risk observed in male members of opposite-sex twin pairs is because of obstetric complications connected to twin births, because otherwise the risk would be increased also in MZ and DZ pairs composed of 2 males.

As already mentioned, a hypothesis compatible with the results obtained in our study is that the presence of a twin sister in an opposite-sex DZ couple increases the likelihood that the twin brother is exposed to an environment, during childhood and/or adolescence, that increases his risk of developing AN. The literature on family structure in AN does not seem to support this hypothesis, showing that the risk of developing AN is not altered by the sex of siblings, certainly not to the extent of explaining the dramatic phenomenon observed in our study. These findings are confirmed by the literature on twins and AN, in which the role of the shared family environment does not seem to be significantly different from 0.

The results obtained are consistent with the hypothesis tested in our study; there exists an intrauterine influence because of gonadal hormones, possibly on neurodevelopment, that affects the risk of developing AN. The research on opposite-sex twins, described later, offers a framework that allows an understanding of the potential mechanisms involved in such a hypothesis.

Both animals and humans have characteristics that differ between sexes. These sexually dimorphic aspects include anatomical and psychological/behavioral features. There is extensive literature on the reciprocal influence of fetuses that are members of opposite-sex twin pairs in humans, and of mixed-sex litters in animals, on these sexually dimorphic characteristics. The indication is that female fetuses can be masculinized and male fetuses feminized by the presence of an adjacent opposite-sex twin in utero, in humans and in animals, with permanent consequences. This means that subjects who developed in utero in the proximity of an opposite-sex fetus can show some of the sexually dimorphic features that are usually present in the opposite sex.
The first studies to unravel the previously mentioned phenomenon showed a masculinized pattern of sexually dimorphic features in female mice that developed in utero in proximity to opposite-sex mice, when compared with other female mice. This phenomenon was explained by the influence on the fetal ovary of the testosterone produced by the adjacent male fetus(es).27,34 The described findings are consistent with the observation that the differentiation of the reproductive system in mammals depends mainly on the presence or absence of androgens during critical periods of intrauterine life.29

More surprisingly, it has been observed, at times unintentionally, while analyzing data on the masculinization of females, that also the opposite effect occurs: a feminization in the male mice that were surrounded in utero by females.35,36 This phenomenon is difficult to explain when looking at the development of feminine characteristics as simply the passive result of absent or low concentrations of androgens, without involving the action of other hormones.

Observations in rats,27,34,37,38 mongolian gerbils,39,40 and pigs31,42 confirm that the position in utero in relation to opposite-sex littermates contributes to variability of dimorphic features within the same-sex group. It is not yet clear from the literature43-45 if the hormonal influence between opposite-sex littermates takes place through fetofetal diffusion or the local circulation of hormones.

The research conducted in humans is consistent with the previously described animal studies in suggesting that often twins of an opposite-sex pair show sexually dimorphic features in female mice that were surrounded in utero by females.35,36 This phenomenon is difficult to explain when looking at the development of feminine characteristics as simply the passive result of absent or low concentrations of androgens, without involving the action of other hormones.

More evidence for feminization of male members of opposite-sex twin pairs derives from the analysis of a database that showed that fetal death rates, a sexually dimorphic feature with lower rates in females, is less frequent in opposite-sex twin mice than in other male twins.51

A study52 of childhood sex role behaviors comparing same-sex twins with opposite-sex twins showed that male co-twins of females tended to show a decrease of sex role behaviors when compared with male members of same-sex twin pairs.

A study52 of childhood sex role behaviors comparing same-sex twins with opposite-sex twins showed that male co-twins of females tended to show a decrease of sex role behaviors when compared with male members of same-sex twin pairs.

Sensation-seeking behavior is a sexually dimorphic trait that is overrepresented in males across cultures.53 Analysis of sensation-seeking behavior in twins showed that it was significantly higher in the female half of opposite-sex twin pairs when compared with female members of same-sex twin pairs.58,19

Two studies59,62 on general attitudes in twin populations, one performed in England and one in Australia, showed that members of opposite-sex twin pairs were more likely to give opinions characteristic of the opposite sex than members of same-sex twin pairs.

A twin study60 on spatial performance, on which males perform on average significantly better than females, has shown that the female part of opposite-sex twin couples had on average significantly higher test scores than members of same-sex female twin pairs. Studies of cerebral lateralization in opposite-sex twins also confirm the effect of prenatal hormones on sexually dimorphic traits.55 As in the animal research, some human studies seem to suggest that the influence between opposite-sex twins takes place through a change in the hormonal levels in the local maternal circulation, while other studies imply a local hormone transfer between the 2 fetuses.50 Studies in humans are more complex for obvious ethical reasons.50

It is unfortunate that information on the “chorionicity” and “amniocity” of the twin couples was not available in the Swedish sample, because this would have added interesting material for discussion on how the hormone transfer between fetuses might take place.

The evidence from the literature on opposite-sex twins in humans and mixed-sex litters in animals, therefore, supports a masculinizing effect on females caused by the presence of a contiguous male fetus in utero, possibly through hormonal percolation. This is not surprising because the evidence on sexual differentiation in mammals shows that a fetus, even if genetically female, develops the sexual characteristics of a male if exposed to androgens during a critical phase of the intrauterine development.

There is also evidence, although not as robust, for the symmetrical effect: the feminization of males who were contiguous to female fetuses in utero. This phenomenon is not consistent with the view of feminization as an “on-off” passive default process that takes place in the absence of androgens. It is instead in line with a more modern view of feminization as the result of the active interaction of several sex hormones, including estrogens, and not just of the presence or absence of androgens.18 This model is particularly useful for traits that are in a continuum between masculinity and femininity, even within members of the same sex, like in personality traits, and not just either male or female, like in primary sexual characteristics.

A model that takes the presence or absence of androgens as the only factor that affects the masculinization-feminization phenomenon would have predicted that the results in this article would be consistent with the action of androgens as a protective factor against the development of AN. This study is instead compatible with the existence of factors that increase the risk of developing AN in adult life in pregnancies that involve a female fetus. As previously stated, a model with a simple dichotomy between feminine and masculine characteristics, driven only by the presence or absence of androgens, is likely to be simplistic, especially for complex traits like the ones we are studying. Other hormones, including estrogens, are likely to be important in this process.
al61 has shown that the risk of developing an eating dis-
order is correlated with the individual’s digits’ length ra-
tio, which is an indicator of the hormonal environment
to which the subject was exposed in utero. In another
study, Culbert et al62 examined disordered eating, not just
AN, in same-sex and opposite-sex twins, showing evi-
dence that was compatible with an influence of prenatal
hormones on the development of eating disorders. The
evidence in the latter study was in favor of testosterone
being a protective factor, rather than estrogens a predis-
posing one. The results of this study62 are not incompat-
able with ours. One possible explanation for the differ-
ence is that the populations in the 2 studies are not
homogeneous. The study by Culbert et al did not in-
clude only patients with AN but a broader group of sub-
jects with a variety of symptoms of disordered eating. Also,
in our study, there is a trend, albeit not reaching sta-
tistical significance, for a decreased prevalence of AN in
the female members of opposite-sex twin pairs when com-
pared with females in same-sex twin pairs. The trend was
stronger when using broad diagnostic criteria, therefore
analyzing a population more similar to the one in the study
by Culbert et al, rather than using narrow criteria. It is,
therefore, possible that both effects are present, a pro-
tective action of testosterone and a pathogenic action of
estrogens, and each study was able to show just 1 of the
2 phenomena at a statistically significant level because
of type II errors that are relatively large because of the
rarity of AN. Another possible explanation is that both
hormones are involved in the development of eating dis-
orders and the proportion between the concentrations
of estrogens and androgens in utero could play a part in
shaping the symptoms of the eating disorder in adult life.

It is interesting, with regard to the previous dis-
cussion, to consider a trend in the prevalence of AN, with
same-sex female twins showing the highest rates, fol-
lowed by opposite-sex female twins, opposite-sex male
twins, and eventually same-sex male twins. This trend
cannot be tested formally from the statistical point of view,
because the explanatory variate is categorical, but it does
offer a visual qualitative perspective of the potential in-
fluence on the prevalence of AN by male and female sex
hormones (Figure 1 and Figure 2).

The prevalence of AN in the study by Bulik et al20 was
significantly higher in DZ twins than in MZ twins. Before
developing hypotheses on the possible relevance of this re-
sult, it will need to be replicated. We are not aware of any
other published studies that could verify the previously de-
scribed result. Twin studies are, in fact, usually engi-
neered with the aim to compare the concordance between
MZ and DZ twins and not to compare their prevalence.63
It would be of interest to try to replicate the previously de-
scribed result in other twin series, also on the evidence that
the literature seems to indicate the presence of a similar
phenomenon in patients affected by schizophrenia.64

In conclusion, the results obtained after comparing
the prevalence of AN in opposite-sex and same-sex twins
are compatible with the hypothesis that female fetuses
produce a hormonal environment in utero that in-
creases the risk of developing AN in adult life, possibly
by influencing neurodevelopment. There is also evi-
dence for a trend, albeit not at a statistically significant
level, for a protective effect on the development of AN
of hormones produced by male fetuses. The difference
in the prevalence of AN between males and females might,
therefore, originate, at least in part, in utero.

Figure 1. The prevalence of anorexia nervosa (AN) for the 4 categories of
dizygotic twins using narrow criteria: the categories are same-sex female
pairs (SS Females), opposite-sex twins with the female member having AN
(OS Females), opposite-sex twins with the male member having AN (OS
Males), and same-sex male pairs (SS Males). The vertical bars represent the
95% confidence interval for the estimate of the prevalence.

Figure 2. The prevalence of anorexia nervosa (AN) for the 4 categories of
dizygotic twins using broad criteria: the categories are same-sex female
pairs (SS Females), opposite-sex twins with the female member having AN
(OS Females), opposite-sex twins with the male member having AN (OS
Males), and same-sex male pairs (SS Males). The vertical bars represent the
95% confidence interval for the estimate of the prevalence.
The finding of an influence of prenatal sex hormones on the cause of AN could be integrated in several ways with the evidence from the literature, showing that genetic factors have a central role in the cause of the illness. One possibility would be a multifactorial threshold model that could account for genetic and hormonal causes as additional factors contributing, probably jointly with other agents, to reaching the threshold for the development of the illness. Another possibility would be a “2-hit model” in which the genetic endowment would predispose the individual to AN and the prenatal hormonal environment could offer the second hit. It could be hypothesized that individuals who are not exposed to the second hit might show only a partial phenotype or vulnerability to other “second hits” later in life. The 2 previously described explanations, no matter how attractive from the theoretical point of view, are in contrast with the literature that shows nonshared environmental factors have only a marginal influence on the origin of AN in adulthood. It is more likely that the hormonal environment in utero might account for the significant effect of nonshared environmental factors on the origin of AN.

A third possibility, which is not mutually exclusive from the previously described possibilities, is that the genetic predisposition for AN coincides with the genetic predisposition to a certain prenatal hormonal setting, unifying the evidence for a genetic cause and our findings.
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Notice of Retraction. The revision of Table 4 in the Original Article by Cynthia M. Bulik, PhD, et al, titled “Prevalence, Heritability, and Prospective Risk Factors for Anorexia Nervosa,” published in the March 2006 issue of the *Archives* (2006;63[3]:305-312), nullifies the conclusions about the role of intrauterine hormonal environment for male twins in the risk of anorexia nervosa presented in the Original Article by Marco Procopio, MD, MRCPsych, and Paul Marriott, PhD, titled “Intrauterine Hormonal Environment and Risk of Developing Anorexia Nervosa,” published in the December 2007 issue of the *Archives* (2007;64[12]:1402-1408). Hence, we retract the article by Procopio and Marriott from the scientific literature.

For editorial comment, see page 994.

See also page 1061.


Errors in Table. In the Original Article by Cynthia M. Bulik, PhD, et al, titled “Prevalence, Heritability, and Prospective Risk Factors for Anorexia Nervosa,” published in the March 2006 issue of the Archives (2006;63[3]:303-312), errors of presentation occurred in Table 4 on page 310. These were errors of presentation only and have no effect on the findings of the study. The corrected table is reproduced here in its entirety.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>Zygosity</th>
<th>Tetrachoric Correlation</th>
<th>ASE</th>
<th>No. of Twin Pairs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T1-No/T2-No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T1-Yes/T2-Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T1-No/T2-Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T1-Yes/T2-Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AN, anorexia nervosa; ASE, asymptotic standard error; DZ, dizygotic; F, female; M, male; MZ, monozygotic; no, AN absent; T1, twin 1 (number refers to birth order); T2, twin 2; yes, AN present.

*Phenotypes are described in the “Definitions of AN” subsection of the “Methods” section.
†Indicates not calculable.

For editorial comment, see page 994. See also page 1052.