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Context: Understanding the mechanisms underlying nicotine addiction to develop more effective treatment is a public health priority. Research consistently shows that nicotine transiently improves multiple cognitive functions. However, using nicotine replacement to treat nicotine addiction yields generally inconsistent results. Although this dichotomy is well known, the reasons are unclear. Imaging studies showed that nicotine challenges almost always involve the cingulate cortex, suggesting that this locus may be a key region associated with nicotine addiction and its treatment.

Objective: To identify cingulate functional circuits that are associated with the severity of nicotine addiction and study how nicotine affects them by means of region-specific resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging.

Design: Double-blind, placebo-controlled study.

Setting: Outpatient clinics.

Participants: Nineteen healthy smokers.

Intervention: Single-dose (21- or 35-mg) nicotine patch.

Main Outcome Measures: Correlation of nicotine addiction severity and cingulate resting-state functional connectivity, and effects of short-term nicotine administration on connectivity strength.

Results: Clearly separated pathways that correlated with nicotine addiction vs nicotine’s action were found. The severity of nicotine addiction was associated with the strength of dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (dACC)—striatal circuits, which were not modified by nicotine patch administration. In contrast, short-term nicotine administration enhanced cingulate-neocortical functional connectivity patterns, which may play a role in nicotine’s cognition-enhancing properties.

Conclusions: Resting-state dACC-striatum functional connectivity may serve as a circuit-level biomarker for nicotine addiction, and the development of new therapeutic agents aiming to enhance the dACC-striatum functional pathways may be effective for nicotine addiction treatment.
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Nicotine, the addictive component in tobacco, has been shown to transiently improve performance on a wide range of cognitive and neurophysiologic tasks in humans, including attention,1,2 visual information processing,3 computational abilities,4 prepulse inhibition,5 vigilance,6 and working memory.7 What remains unclear is how to explain nicotine effects on such diverse activities, which are controlled, at least in part, by different brain circuits. The seemingly pervasive behavioral effects of nicotine and the localization of nicotine receptors on neurons of different neurotransmitter systems8,9 suggest the interesting possibility that nicotine may exert a modulatory effect on multiple functional brain circuits independent of specific task performance. New magnetic resonance (MR) imaging approaches to identify functional network connectivity10,11 present the opportunity to test this hypothesis in human subjects.

A common thread running through the imaging literature is the association of the cingulate in nicotine’s short-term effects and nicotine addiction.9,12-15 Early autoradiographic imaging showed that the cingulate alone had increased glucose utilization after nicotine administration in rats.12 Positron emission tomographic studies in humans identified high concentrations of nicotine binding sites in the cingulate, insula, thalamus, basal ganglia, and frontal lobe.9 Nicotine dose-dependently
increased activity in the nucleus accumbens, amygdala, cingulate, and frontal lobes in the task-free state. Nicotine improved sustained attention by increasing activation in the posterior cingulate, bilateral parietal and occipital cortex, thalamus, and caudate and improved working memory by either enhancing or reducing activation in the cingulate and other areas. More recently, nicotine was found to improve attention by deactivating anterior and posterior cingulate and other brain regions, whereas activation in the posterior cingulate predicted the behavioral effects of nicotine. To summarize, of regions associated with nicotine’s actions, the cingulate was the most frequently detected, suggesting that this region may be a convergent structure pivotal for the diverse central nervous system effects of nicotine. However, the exact location of nicotine’s actions within the cingulate varies across studies. Perhaps more importantly, the apparent contradiction on the valence of nicotine’s action on the cingulate needs to be reconciled because both significant activation and deactivation have been reported.

Recent advances in understanding the resting-state functional connectivity of the brain provide a framework to test the hypothesis that specific cingulate functional circuitry may vary both as a function of nicotine dependence and as a function of short-term nicotine action, independent of any particular behavioral activation condition. Resting-state functional connectivity consists of task-independent, low-frequency synchronized activities across brain regions. Its neural bases are under intense study. The slow coherent fluctuations in resting-state blood oxygenation level–dependent signals on functional MR images may reflect suppression of neuronal activity. The stable spatial organization of resting-state functional connectivity maps suggests that it can be constrained by anatomic connectivity. On the basis of previous knowledge of the functional and histologic subdivisions of the cingulate cortex, we partitioned the entire human cingulate into anatomic subregions. This study was designed first to characterize the resting-state functional connectivity associated with each cingulate subregion, then to identify cingulate circuits that are associated with nicotine addiction, and finally to determine how short-term nicotine administration affects circuits that are associated with nicotine addiction.

### METHODS

#### SUBJECTS

Nineteen (14 male and 5 female) healthy smokers (≥10 cigarettes a day) participated in the study. Subjects gave written informed consent approved by both University of Maryland and National Institute on Drug Abuse institutional review board panels. Subjects were 18 to 50 years of age, were right-handed, and were recruited through media advertisements. Major medical and neurologic conditions were exclusionary criteria. Subjects had no Axis I diagnoses other than nicotine dependence as evaluated by the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV. The severity of nicotine addiction was assessed by the Fagerström Test for Nicotine Dependence (FTND). The FTND includes 6 items and produces a score from 0 to 10, with higher scores indicating more severe nicotine addiction. The FTND marks the genetic liability of nicotine addiction. The cumulative exposure to smoking was calculated as pack-years.

#### DESIGN

This was a double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover, randomized functional MR imaging study comparing the effect of nicotine vs placebo patch on resting-state functional connectivity. Subjects underwent imaging on 2 occasions approximately 1 week apart, within 5 to 14 days. They received either a nicotine patch (Nicoderm CQ, GlaxoSmithKline, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina) or an identical placebo patch on each occasion. We used a 2-dose strategy to better match the regular nicotine intakes: 21 mg for individuals who smoked 10 to 15 cigarettes a day (n=6) and 35 mg for individuals who smoked more than 15 cigarettes a day (n=13).

Subjects were instructed to maintain their normal smoking routine before patch application, and then abstain for about 4.5 hours after patch administration, including 2.5 hours before imaging, and 2 hours inside the imager. The 4.5-hour window was chosen to avoid confounders induced by withdrawal, which generally begins 6 to 12 hours after the start of abstinence.

In the imager, each subject underwent 1 hour of smooth-pursuit eye movement/fixation tasks (data not shown), followed by a 5-minute resting image and an 8-minute structural image. Resting image data were therefore collected about 3.5 hours after patch administration, which is within the window of steady plasma nicotine levels. A self-reported symptom checklist and a self-reported mood change questionnaire were administered before patch application and after imaging. Breath carbon monoxide concentration was measured immediately before patch application. Plasma nicotine levels were measured at the end of each imaging session.

### MR IMAGE ACQUISITION

Data were collected on a 3-T MR imager (Magnetom Allegra; Siemens, Malvern, Pennsylvania) equipped with a quadrature volume head coil. Subjects were given a simple instruction to rest and keep their eyes open. A static neutral image (the projector’s logo) was presented on the screen during the resting image. A bite bar was used to minimize head motion. Resting-state functional MR images were acquired over 39 axial, inter-leaving, 4-mm sections by means of a gradient-echo planar imaging sequence (150 volumes; echo time/repetition time, 27/2000 milliseconds; flip angle, 80°; field of view, 220 x 220 mm2; image matrix, 64 x 64). High-resolution (1 x 1 x 1 mm3) T1-weighted magnetization-prepared rapid gradient echo images were acquired after each resting image.

### DATA PROCESSING

Data were analyzed in AFNI and MATLAB (The MathWorks Inc, Natick, Massachusetts). Volumes were section-timing aligned and motion corrected to the base volume that minimally deviated from other volumes by means of an AFNI built-in algorithm. After linear detrending of the time course of each voxel, volumes were spatially normalized and resampled to Talairach space at 3 x 3 x 3 mm3, spatially smoothed (full-width half-maximum, 6 mm), and temporally low-pass filtered (fcut-off=0.1 Hz). Correlation analyses were performed by calculating the cross-correlation coefficient between each voxel’s time course and the template time course extracted by averaging time courses of all the voxels in the defined regions of interest (“seed ROIs”), including the 6 rigid head-motion parameter time courses and the average time course in white.
matter as nuisance covariates.\textsuperscript{41,42} The white matter mask was generated by segmenting the high-resolution anatomic images in SPM5\textsuperscript{43} and down-gridding the obtained white matter masks to the same resolution as the functional data. These nuisance covariates regress out fluctuations unlikely to be relevant to neuronal activities.\textsuperscript{44} Cross-correlation coefficient maps were then converted to z-score maps by means of an AFNI built-in function.

REGIONS OF INTEREST

Each subject’s cingulate was partitioned into 7 ROIs per hemisphere: 3 subregions for anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), a middle cingulate cortex (MCC), and 3 subregions for the posterior cingulate cortex (PCC). The ROIs were manually drawn on the gray matter at the coronal and sagittal planes of each subject’s T1 image volume based on previous conventions when available, supplemented by histologic, structural, and functional evidence (Figure 1):

1. The ACC was divided into dorsal ACC (dACC), rostral ACC (rACC), and subcallosal ACC (sACC). The dACC was separated from the rACC by drawing a coronal plane that was 1 section forward from the disappearance of the juncture of the anterior corpus callosum from both hemispheres.\textsuperscript{26,29} The posterior end of the dACC was the first section posterior to the anterior commissure, as proposed by McCormick et al.\textsuperscript{29} This is more anterior than that defined by McClellan et al.\textsuperscript{29} but is close to the division of anterior and posterior MCC that was proposed by Vogt et al.\textsuperscript{26} and Vogt and Vogt.\textsuperscript{26} The deepest points of the cingulate and callosal sulci defined the superior and inferior boundaries, respectively, of the dACC. When the second cingulate was present, we traced the structure as part of the cingulate ROI following rules established by McCormick et al.\textsuperscript{29} For the rACC, the posterior margin was the first plane anterior to the first dACC plane. The anterior margin was defined when the cingulate gyrus was no longer visible at the coronal slide.\textsuperscript{26,29} The sACC was defined by the anterior dACC plane, moving posteriorly to the first coronal section in which the caudate body and the putamen separated. The interior and superior margins were the cingulate sulcus and the corpus callosum, respectively.\textsuperscript{26} The mean (SD) average sizes of the dACC, rACC, and sACC were 3455 (851), 1360 (456), and 813 (212) mm\textsuperscript{3}.

2. The MCC as defined herein was the posterior MCC per Vogt et al.\textsuperscript{26} The anterior boundary was the posterior plane of the dACC. The posterior boundary was the vertical plane on the tip of marginal ramus, which was called the posterior plane for ACC per McCormick et al.\textsuperscript{29} Separating the MCC from the ACC as an ROI is justified by cytologic findings\textsuperscript{25,26} and its involvement in motor control.\textsuperscript{44} The mean (SD) size of the MCC is 2679 (717) mm\textsuperscript{3}.

3. The PCC (Brodman areas 23 and 31) was divided into 3 ROIs: (1) dorsal PCC (dPCC), which was posterior to the marginal ramus plane and anterior to the ventral branch of the splenial sulci; the latter defined the histologic division of areas d23b and v23b, or dorsal and ventral PCC; (2) ventral PCC (vPCC), whose anterior border was the dPCC and posterior-inferior border was the common trunk of the calcarnie and parieto-occipital sulci;\textsuperscript{24,27} and which wrapped around the retrosplenial cortex (RSC); and (3) the RSC, which was bordered by the common trunk posteriorly and the callosal sulcus dorsosanteriorly.\textsuperscript{24} The anterolateral segment was buried within the callosal sulcus, while the posteroventral end was on the surface of the medial hemisphere of the isthmus of the PCC.\textsuperscript{24} To segregate the 3 PCC ROIs, the RSC was drawn first with the use of sagittal sections, which identified the RSC within the callosal sulcus. The dPCC and vPCC were then drawn with the use of the coronal sections, where the RSC was the inferior border of the dPCC and the inferior and anterior borders of the vPCC.\textsuperscript{24,25,27} The mean (SD) sizes of the dPCC, vPCC, and RSC were 1911 (573), 1207 (391), and 1395 (366) mm\textsuperscript{3}, respectively.

Cingulate anatomic ROIs for each hemisphere were drawn separately. The structural image of the first session was used to draw the ROIs. Structural images of the 2 sessions were aligned and the ROIs were then applied to the structural image of the second session.

CINGULATE FUNCTIONAL CONNECTIVITY MAP

One-sample, 2-tailed t-tests were performed on each individual’s z-score maps to obtain thresholded group functional connectivity maps at $P_{uncorrected} < .05$ based on Monte Carlo simulations.\textsuperscript{46} The corrected threshold corresponds to $P_{corrected} < .001$ with a minimum cluster size of 810 mm\textsuperscript{3} in both the nicotine and placebo conditions. For each seed ROI, thresholded group functional connectivity maps from both nicotine and placebo conditions were combined by means of an “OR” operation to generate a mask, which was used to constrain the subsequent analyses.

NICOTINE ADDICTION SEVERITY $\times$ DRUG INTERACTION ON CINGULATE CONNECTIVITY

We first investigated interactions between addiction severity (as measured by the FTND) and nicotine effect on functional connectivity by using the regression model: $V'(diff) = \beta'0 + \beta'X + \epsilon'$, where $V'$ was the z-score of the arithmetic difference between nicotine and placebo condition on the ith voxel and $X$ was the centered FTND score, plus a random error term $\epsilon'$. The $t$ statistics of $\beta'$ tested the effect of FTND on nicotine vs placebo differences, i.e., drug $\times$ FTND interaction. Statistical significance of the $t$ statistic for this and the subsequent analyses was thresholded after correction for multiple comparisons using Monte Carlo simulations to obtain $P_{corrected} < .05$, corresponding to $P_{uncorrected} < .001$ with a minimum cluster size of 243 to 405 mm\textsuperscript{3}. The different cluster size thresholds reflect different numbers of comparisons to be corrected for connectivity maps of different seed ROIs.
**MAIN EFFECT OF NICOTINE ADDICTION SEVERITY ON CINGULATE CONNECTIVITY**

In the event of no significant interaction, we applied a second regression model: \( V_{(mean)} = \beta_0 + \beta X + \epsilon \), where \( V \) was the \( z \) score of the mean of nicotine and placebo conditions on the ith voxel. The \( t \) statistics of \( \beta \) tested the main effect of FTND \( (X) \). To investigate whether the effect of FTND on cingulate connectivity was secondary to covariates such as long-term smoking exposure, nicotine level, and blood pressure, exploratory regression analyses were performed to examine the relative contribution of addiction severity and each covariate. The \( \beta \) of FTND represented the independent contributions of FTND to the functional connectivity after controlling for the covariate.

**NICOTINE EFFECTS ON CINGULATE CONNECTIVITY**

To identify nicotine effects on cingulate functional connectivity, paired sample \( t \) tests were performed on \( z \)-score maps to assess placebo vs nicotine differences for each ROI.

For clinical data, paired \( t \) tests were used to examine nicotine vs placebo effect on side effects, withdrawal symptoms, and nicotine and carbon monoxide measures. Pearson correlations were used to examine relationships between clinical and nicotine addiction parameters.

**RESULTS**

**NICOTINE AND DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION**

Participants were 35.7 (11.1) (mean [SD]) years of age, had 13.0 (1.8) years of education, started smoking at 16.9 (5.7) years of age, and became regular smokers at 18.9 (5.7) years of age. Their nicotine addiction severity, as measured by the FTND, was 4.3 (2.4). Lifetime exposure to cigarette smoking was 15.6 (10.9) pack-years. Changes in withdrawal, side effect symptoms, and mood were not statistically different between placebo and nicotine conditions (Table 1). Withdrawal symptoms assessed with time (before and after imaging) and drug (nicotine vs placebo) used as repeated measures also did not show a significant time \( \times \) drug interaction \((F_{1,18}=0.42, P=0.52)\) or main effect of drug \((P=0.21)\) or time \((P=0.09)\). However, change in systolic blood pressure was significantly greater in the nicotine condition \((P=0.05)\). The FTND score significantly correlated with carbon monoxide level before placebo \((r=0.53, P=0.02)\) or nicotine patch \((r=0.61, P=0.006)\), but not with nicotine plasma level in placebo \((r=0.24, P=0.35)\) or nicotine \((r=0.29, P=0.28)\) conditions, confirming that nicotine level by itself is a state measure and is not directly related to addiction severity.

**CINGULATE FUNCTIONAL CONNECTIVITY**

The connectivity maps of each cingulate seed ROI \((P_{uncorr} < 0.05, \text{corresponding to } P_{uncorr} < 0.001 \text{ and a cluster size of } 810 \text{ mm}^3)\) are presented in Figure 2 (see also the eFigure, http://www.archgenpsychiatry.com). In general, the strongest connectivity of each cingulate ROI was adjacent to its seed region. Nonadjacent regions also showed significant connectivity associated with each cingulate subregion. Specifically, the sACC showed significant blood oxygenation level–dependent synchrony with dACC, medial wall of the superior frontal and orbitofrontal cortex, caudate, middle temporal cortex, precuneus, and vPCC. The oACC showed connectivity with sACC, dACC, superior frontal cortex, middle temporal cortex, insula, caudate, ventral striatum, precentral cortex, and precuneus. The dACC showed connectivity with sACC, oACC, superior frontal, orbitofrontal, and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex; dorsal and ventral striatum; and thalamus. The MCC showed connectivity with dACC, superior and middle frontal cortex, PCC, insula, superior temporal cortex, inferior parietal cortex, thalamus, precuneus/cuneus, and precentral cortex. The dPCC showed connectivity with PCC, precuneus, cuneus,

### Table 1. Nicotine- vs Placebo-Related Measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Placebo Patch Condition (n = 19)</th>
<th>Nicotine Patch Condition (n = 19)</th>
<th>( t ) Value</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>( P ) Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon monoxide level before patch application, ppm</td>
<td>27.2 (13.0)</td>
<td>25.0 (11.5)</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicotine level at end of scan, ng/mL</td>
<td>3.0 (4.7)</td>
<td>34.3 (13.1)</td>
<td>9.32</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in pulse rate, beats/min</td>
<td>-4.9 (8.5)</td>
<td>-2.9 (11.3)</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in systolic blood pressure, mm Hg</td>
<td>3.9 (13.4)</td>
<td>9.6 (12.3)</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg</td>
<td>5.8 (6.3)</td>
<td>6.4 (10.3)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in withdrawal/side effect symptoms</td>
<td>0.7 (1.4)</td>
<td>0.8 (1.3)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in self-reported mood</td>
<td>0.8 (2.9)</td>
<td>1.5 (4.8)</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SI conversion factor: To convert nicotine to micromoles per liter, multiply by 0.006164.

\( a \) There was no significant bias on smoking level before each condition on the basis of similar carbon monoxide levels before placebo vs nicotine patch applications. Postscan nicotine levels confirmed robust differences in drug levels during placebo vs nicotine scanning.

\( b \) Paired \( t \) test.

\( c \) Nicotine levels were available in 15 subjects for both nicotine and placebo patch conditions. Nicotine levels were not available in 1 subject in the placebo condition, 2 subjects in the nicotine condition, and 1 subject in both the placebo and nicotine conditions because of laboratory errors (blood sample hemolysis or equipment problem).

\( d \) Statistically significant.

\( e \) Changes from prepatch application to immediately before patch removal, about a 4.5-hour interval.
middle frontal and orbitofrontal gyrus, and thalamus (left side only). The vPCC showed connectivity with PCC, precuneus, cuneus, lingual gyrus, angular gyrus, middle and superior temporal gyrus, inferior parietal gyrus, medial superior and middle frontal gyrus, and parahippocampal gyrus (right side only). The RSC showed connectivity with PCC, postcentral cortex, precuneus, cuneus, parahippocampal/fusiform gyrus, thalamus, superior frontal gyrus (left side only), medial precentral area, left cerebellum, and superior temporal gyrus.

**CINGULATE CONNECTIVITY AND NICOTINE ADDICTION SEVERITY**

Voxelwise regression analyses showed no significant interaction between FTND score and drug condition in any
cingulate functional circuit. In contrast, voxelwise regression analyses for the main effect of FTND score showed that FTND was significantly ($P_{\text{corrected}} < .05$, corresponding to $P_{\text{uncorrected}} < .001$ and a cluster size of 243-405 mm$^3$) and negatively correlated with 3 coactivated circuits: between left dACC and bilateral striatum (Figure 3) and between right dACC and right striatum (Figure 4). The extent and coordinates of these findings are listed in Table 2. Correlation coefficients for each condition were calculated by extracting the mean $z$ score of each significant cluster and correlating it with the FTND score. All 3 cingulate-striatal correlations remained significant even after a Bonferroni correction for 14 comparisons ($P < .004$) in the main analysis where nicotine condition and placebo condition were combined (because there was no interaction). However, when we separated nicotine condition and placebo condition as an exploratory analysis, all 3 connectivities remained significant in the placebo condition but only 1 was significant in the nicotine condition. Note that these correlations were present and statistically significant in both the placebo and nicotine conditions, indicating that nicotine did not remove the negative correlations.

To investigate whether the effect of FTND on the dACC-striatal connectivity could be secondary to long-term exposure to smoking, rather than addiction per se, exploratory regression analyses were performed to examine the relative contribution of long-term exposure (pack-years). Analyses were carried out in placebo and nicotine conditions separately for each circuit. The FTND correlations remained significant in all 3 DACC-striatal functional connectivity paths in the placebo ($n = 19, \beta = -0.88$ to $-0.95$, $t = -6.14$ to $-7.27$, all $P \leq .001$) and nicotine ($\beta = -0.56$ to $-0.70$, $t = -2.50$ to $-3.56$, $P = .02$ to .003) conditions, after controlling for long-term exposure.

Nicotine plasma concentration during imaging also could have influenced the contribution of FTND to the dACC-striatal path. We repeated the preceding analyses with the use of nicotine level as a predictor. The FTND remained negatively correlated with all 3 dACC-striatal functional connectivity scores in both placebo ($n = 17$, all $\beta = -0.78$, all $t \leq -4.65$, all $P < .001$) and nicotine ($n = 16$, all $\beta = -0.61$, all $t \leq -2.84$, all $P \leq .01$) conditions after controlling for nicotine levels. The FTND also remained significantly correlated with the corresponding dACC-striatal connectivity after controlling for age and sex (data not shown). Taken together, these findings do not support the conclusion that the negative correlations between addiction severity and the dACC-striatal connectivity were substantially biased by long-term smoking exposure, nicotine level during imaging, age, or sex.

Finally, we conducted exploratory regression analyses using the 7 DSM-IV criteria for nicotine dependence as predictors. The DSM-IV criteria together significantly contributed to the FTND-derived dACC-striatum functional connectivities ($R^2$ change, 0.58-0.67 for the 3 connectivities; all $P < .001$). Within the models, the functional connectivities were most closely associated with DSM-IV–defined tolerance (standardized coefficients $\beta = -0.69$ to $-0.79$ for the 3 connectivities, all $P < .001$) and, to a lesser extent, withdrawal ($\beta = 0.34$ for the right dACC-striatal connectivity, $P = .02$). These findings are consistent with the symptoms (tolerance and withdrawal) typically contributing to FTND scores in tobacco smokers.

**NICOTINE EFFECTS ON CINGULATE CONNECTIVITY**

Voxelwise paired $t$ tests showed that short-term nicotine administration significantly enhanced the coherence strength of several cingulate functional connectivity paths compared with the placebo condition ($P_{\text{corrected}} < .05$). The coordinates having significant nicotine effects are presented in Table 3. Figure 5 illustrates the increased connectivity after nicotine administration between seed ROIs and these locations. The $z$ scores of these 7 circuits were significantly different between nicotine and placebo conditions (paired $t$ tests, $t = 4.1-5.2$, $P \leq .001$) after Bonferroni correction for 14 ROI comparisons ($0.05/14 = .003$). A consistent pattern of nicotine effects was seen in the functional connectivity between PCC ROIs and frontal midline structures, including orbitofrontal, medial superior frontal, and anterior cingulate regions (Figure 3B, F, and G). In addition, left subcallosal ACC showed significantly enhanced connectivity with medial frontal cortex (Figure 3D). Another common pattern was the cingulate connectivity with pa-
rietal regions, including connectivity between left dACC and superior parietal lobule and the postcentral gyrus, and between the right MCC and the inferior parietal lobule and the postcentral gyrus (Figure 5A and E). Nicotine also enhanced a relatively "local" circuit between the dPCC and vPCC (Figure 5C). Notably, and in contrast, there was no indication that nicotine significantly enhanced any of the 3 ACC-striatal functional connectivity circuits, even with paired t tests. Finally, all nicotine effects were in the positive (enhanced) direction; nicotine never significantly reduced synchronized activity between the cingulate and any other region of the brain.

**COMMENT**

This study identified non–task-driven, resting-state functional networks associated with discrete cingulate subregions and examined the relationship between an established marker for nicotine addiction and each cingulate circuit. We report what we believe is the novel finding that the severity of nicotine addiction was inversely associated with the strength of the coherent activity between dACC and striatum, ie, the more severe the addiction, the weaker the functional connectivity. Critically, short-term nicotine challenge did not abolish these correlations. In contrast, as part of a double dissociation, short-term nicotine administration did enhance the coherence of other cingulate-cortical circuits that were not correlated with nicotine addiction.

The dACC-striatal functional connectivity paths coincide with known “hard-wired” pathways. Baleydier and Mauguière noted that the anterior cingulate gyrus (area 24) is connected with the caudate nucleus, the claustrum, and the frontal and parietal cortices. Others also showed that ACC projects to the ventromedial regions of the caudate nucleus and putamen. These fibers are also described as the striatal fibers that are branches from the cingulate bundle, all of which provide a putative anatomic basis for the functional connectivity observations.

The FTND is an established clinical and genetic trait marker of nicotine addiction and has a high heritability of around 0.72 to 0.75. Its validity in marking nicotine addiction is further supported by association studies using FTND as a primary phenotype, which have identified nicotinic acetylcholine receptor variants contributing to nicotine addiction. By showing significant correlations with FTND, we can hypothesize that resting-state synchronized activity in the dACC-striatum circuits may serve as a circuitry-level endophenotypic marker for nicotine addiction. Anatomically, the identified striatal clusters mainly include the putamen and its transi-

**Table 2. Effects of Nicotine Addiction Severity (FTND) on Cingulate Functional Connectivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seed ROIs</th>
<th>Co-activating Locations(^a)</th>
<th>Volume, mm(^3)</th>
<th>Talairach Coordinates of Maxima</th>
<th>Placebo and Nicotine Trials Combined</th>
<th>Placebo Trials Alone</th>
<th>Nicotine Trials Alone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>r Value</td>
<td>P Value</td>
<td>r Value</td>
<td>P Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left dACC</td>
<td>Right striatum</td>
<td>1242</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-0.76</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left striatum</td>
<td>702</td>
<td>-35</td>
<td>-13</td>
<td>-0.69</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right dACC</td>
<td>Right striatum</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-0.72</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: dACC, dorsal anterior cingulate cortex; FTND, Fagerström Test for Nicotine Dependence; ROIs, regions of interest.

\(^a\) Right striatum, a more anteroventral cluster than left striatum, included parts of the putamen, ventral striatum, and claustrum. The edge of the cluster also overlapped with the insula, the amygdala, and the parahippocampal gyrus. Left striatum included part of putamen, caudate tail, claustrum, and insula.  

\(^b\) Not significant if Bonferroni correction is applied for 14 comparisons.

---

**Figure 4.** Negative correlation between Fagerström Test for Nicotine Dependence (FTND) and right dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (dACC)–right striatum connectivity. One cluster in the right striatum (blue) had a significant main effect of FTND based on regression analysis (r=−0.72, P<.001). Scatterplot data were based on the mean z value of the significant cluster. See Table 2 for a description of the corresponding anatomic coordinates.
tion to the nucleus accumbens, encompassing roughly a transitional zone between dorsal and ventral striatum. The progression of addiction is thought to be associated with initial medial frontal/anterior cingulate cortex executive control over ventral striatal reinforcement mechanisms, which, as the addictive processes continue, are replaced by more habit-driven, dorsal striatal activity.54,55 The finding that more severe nicotine addiction is associated with weaker functional connectivity between ACC and striatum may be tied to an underlying dysfunction associated with addictive behaviors that are modulated by this circuit.

We observed that nicotine, even at high plasma levels (34.3 [13.1] ng/mL [to convert nicotine to micromoles per liter, multiply by 0.006164]), did not substantially alter the negative correlations between FTND score and the ACC-striatal coherence. Although there were non-significant numerical reductions in the strength of the negative correlations during nicotine administration in the post hoc exploratory analyses, the significant negative correlations were not abolished. In other words, nicotine administration alone, at least for the short duration used in this study, did not robustly influence the circuit level abnormalities associated with nicotine addiction severity. In contrast, nicotine did significantly enhance the functional connectivity of several cingulate-cortical networks, which also seem to follow the presence of known fiber tracks interconnecting these regions. For example, one such connectivity path is between PCCs and the orbitofrontal cortex/ACC. Anatomically, PCC is linked with

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seed ROIs</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Laterality</th>
<th>Brodmann Area</th>
<th>Volume, mm³</th>
<th>Talairach Coordinates of Maxima</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left dACC</td>
<td>Superior parietal lobule and postcentral gyrus</td>
<td>Contralateral</td>
<td>5/7</td>
<td>999</td>
<td>19  -44  62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left vPCC</td>
<td>Medial frontal gyrus and ACC</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>10/32</td>
<td>1296</td>
<td>0  46  12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left dPCC</td>
<td>Posterior cingulate</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>23/30</td>
<td>648</td>
<td>-1 -54  19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left sACC</td>
<td>Medial frontal gyrus and posterior parietal lobule</td>
<td>Ipsilateral</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>-11  38  44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right MCC</td>
<td>Postcentral gyrus and inferior parietal lobule</td>
<td>Contralateral</td>
<td>5/40</td>
<td>1107</td>
<td>-30 -37  56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right vPCC</td>
<td>Medial frontal gyrus</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>9/10</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>-1  47  13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right dPCC</td>
<td>Medial frontal gyrus and ACC</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>9/10</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>3  59  19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ACC, anterior cingulate cortex; dACC, dorsal ACC; dPCC, dorsal posterior cingulate cortex; MCC, middle cingulate cortex; ROIs, regions of interest; sACC, subcallosal ACC; vPCC, ventral posterior cingulate cortex.
the medial orbitofrontal cortex, the medial extension of the prefrontal cortex, and the ACC by the cingulate bundle.25,47,49,51 Another example, the ACC/MCC-parietal lobe network, is a circuit with known reciprocal fiber connections.9,51 Notably, all of the observed nicotine effects were to enhance the higher-order, isocortical functional connections. Whether this corticocortical enhancement of functional connectivity is channeled directly via these structures cannot be determined with the present technology, although this may be suggested by the well-established corticocortical fibers linking these regions. That resting-state connectivity strength has been shown to be related to performance on a working memory task also suggests the physiological relevance of our observations.56

The apparent contrast between the effects of short-term nicotine on cingulate-neocortical but not cingulate-striatal functional connectivity may be clinically relevant. Although many available treatments have helped to reduce the prevalence of smoking, the efficacy of nicotine replacement therapy has been generally unsatisfactory; for example, nicotine patch treatment yields only about a 10% to 15% long-term quit rate.57 However, nicotine, even in a single dose, can induce robust, transient enhancement of diverse neurophysiological and cognitive functions (see the introductory material). The mechanisms responsible for this dichotomy are unknown.

On the basis of the current data, we hypothesize that nicotine may improve behavioral tasks through a transient enhancement of distributed cingulate-neocortical or other corticocortical functional networks, regardless of task demands. The observed nicotine-related behavioral and imaging signals in a given experiment may represent the circuits taxed by the specific behavioral task performed and may be reflected by the enhanced cingulate-neocortical connectivity strengths reported herein. Depending on the behavioral tasks during imaging, cingulate activity was shown to have increased3,12,13,16 or decreased2,14,58 after nicotine administration. The present resting-state connectivity data offer a new perspective to task-independent, functionally coherent resting-state networks. That resting-state connectivity strength has been shown to be related to performance on a working memory task also suggests the physiological relevance of our observations.56

In conclusion, this study demonstrated that nicotine increases cingulate-neocortical functional connectivity coherence strength during the resting state. However, this short-term nicotine challenge does not significantly alter the cingulate-striatal circuitry that was associated with the severity of nicotine addiction, suggesting that nicotine replacement does not necessarily correct the network abnormalities associated with nicotine addiction. The abnormal resting-state cingulate-striatal functional connectivity may serve as an in vivo biomarker for testing new, potentially more effective, nicotine addiction therapies. Our study further suggests that the non-task-dependent resting-state imaging approach might also be useful to characterize pharmacologically induced changes associated with nicotine and perhaps other pharmacological-imaging studies.
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